

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

Office Action Summary         13034,340         AUERBACH ET AL.           Examiner         At Umit         intal           - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address         Fordition of the provide of the communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address           ASHORTHEED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WILHENER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.           - Batterise does the application of the event the communication (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, with the origination is main applied to the state the rest or the cover sheet with the correspondence address           - This action is FINAL         - State State address of the communication (S) field on <i>B3 July 2012</i> - State State address of the communication (S) field on <i>B3 July 2012</i> Calin (S) G158.           - An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Control of the specific of the communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, PROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The problem of the communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, PROM THE MAILUNG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The problem of the communication replanet to the main address and the main as a why a mainweight and the communication.  The problem of the communication of the provide and the main address a		13/034,340	AUERBACH ET AL.	
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.     The draw of the reply and address of 25 CF1.1864. The event lower is a 1 say 16 think index to the provide of the communication of 25 CF1.1864. The event lower is a 1 say 16 in the rule of the communication.     These time of a single specific diame, for anymin diabety provide will apply and will apple 3X W KONTI Brunk the off the communication.     These time of the address of 25 CF1.1864.     Single Status  Status  II (S Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2012</u> 2a) This action is FINAL.     Zb) This action is a first.     Zb) This action is a non-final.     Zb) This action is captiment and derive been incorporated into this action.     d) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is     dosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims     Si (Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply         A SHORTENE STUDIORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.         - Index Strip in the strip set of extended problem of main and the strip with by data in data the strip with by data in the additional data. In the additional to be arrive ADM20NED GE US C. 9 130; extended to the strip with by data in data the strip with by data in the addition to be arrive ADM20NED GE US C. 9 130; extended to the strip with by data in the strip with by		SAN-MING HUI	1628	
WHCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.         • Bureadow of the manife date of this communication.         • SX (0) MONTIFS from the mailing date of this communication.         • Addition of the manife date of this communication.         • Addition of the manife date of this communication.         • Addition of the manife date of this communication.         • Addition of the manife date of this communication.         • Addition of the Mail of the manife date of this communication.         • Addition of the Mail of				
1)       Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 July 2012</u> 2a)       This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3)       An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.         4)       Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         5)       Claim(s)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months</li> </ul>	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ly will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a)       This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3)       An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.         4)       Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims       5)       Claim(s) <u>32.55</u> is/are pending in the application.         5a)       Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) <u>32.55</u> is/are rejected.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Application Papers       10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.       Application and requirement tawn objection to the drawing(s) tabled.       Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).       12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.         12)	Status			
2a)       This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3)       An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.         4)       Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims       5)       Claim(s) <u>32.55</u> is/are pending in the application.         5a)       Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) <u>32.55</u> is/are rejected.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Application Papers       10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.       Application and requirement tawn objection to the drawing(s) tabled.       Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).       12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.         12)	1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <i>03 July 2012</i> .		
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         5)       Claim(s) <u>37.56</u> is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) is/are rejected.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers       10)         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abayance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       13)         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         1       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Disposition of Claims         5)       Claim(s) <u>37-56</u> is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s)is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s)is/are rejected.         8)       Claim(s)is/are rejected.         9)       Claim(s)are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       13)         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         14)       I b)       Some * c)       None of:         1.       Crified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
5)       Claim(s) 37-56 is/are pending in the application.         5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) 37-56 is/are rejected.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       Calified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received.         4.       Interview Summary (PTO-413)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).       Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All b)         Some * c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Disposition of Claims			
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).       Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All b)         Some * c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	$5$ ) $\square$ Claim(s) 37-56 is/are pending in the application			
6)       Claim(s)is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s) 37-56         8)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s)is/are objected to by the estimation and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).       Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All b)       Some * c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
7) □       Claim(s) 37:56 is/are rejected.         8) □       Claim(s)				
8)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s)are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)         accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All         b)       Some* c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
9)       Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).       Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All         b)       Some * c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Application Papers         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).       Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All         b)       Some * c)         None of:       Ortified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         2.       Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3)       Interview Summary (PTO-413)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       S)         Notice of Informatin Discloscure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       S)				
11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       13)         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)         a)       All         b)       Some * c)         None of:	Application Papers			
11)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       13)         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)         a)       All         b)       Some * c)         None of:	$10\sqrt{10}$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2. Matchement(s) 1) Notice of Drafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Cother:				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         12)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All         b)       Some * c)       None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         1)       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         9)       Notice of Informal Patent Application				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) ☐ All       b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) ☐ All       b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application         9 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) ☐ Other:				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) All       b) Some * c) None of:         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3.       Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         Attachment(s)         1)       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s) <ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s) <ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		The foreign priority under 35 0.5.0.	g 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).	
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s) <ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>				
3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         Attachment(s)         1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       5) □ Notice of Informal Date         3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6) □ Other:				
<pre>application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</pre>				
<ul> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Attachment(s) <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			ricceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)         1)       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4)         2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5)       Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6)       Other:				
1)       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4)       Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date.       -         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5)       Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6)       Other:       -				
1)       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4)       Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date.       -         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5)       Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6)       Other:       -	Attachment/s)			
2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6) □ Other:		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)       5)       Notice of Informal Patent Application         Paper No(s)/Mail Date       6)       Other:		(PTO-948) Paper No(	(s)/Mail Date	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08	5) 📃 Notice of I		
L S. Datant and Trademark Office	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) 🛄 Otner:		

Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Art Unit: 1628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's response filed 7/3/2012 has been entered.

Claims 37-56 are pending.

The provisional double patenting rejection is withdrawn in view of the conflicting

patent application being abandoned.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

O'Donnell et al., British Journal of Cancer, 2004;90:2317-2325 in view of Tannock et al.,

J. Clin. Oncol., 1996;14:1756-1764. All of the references are of record in the parent

application.

O'Donnell et al. teaches abiraterone acetate is known to be an inhibitor of 17a-

hydroxylase/C17,20-lyase, which can be used to suppress testosterone level in

prostate cancer patients (see the abstract for example). O'Donnell et al. teaches 800mg

of abiraterone acetate as useful in suppressing the serum testosterone level (See the

abstract for example). O'Donnell et al. also teaches that cocomitant glucocorticoid

therapy may be needed for continuous use of abiraterone acetate (See the abstract and

page 2323, col.2 for example).

RM

Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Art Unit: 1628

O'Donnell et al. does not expressly teach the use of prednisone in the method of treating prostate cancer. O'Donnell et al. does not expressly teach the use of the herein claimed dosage and regimen for prednisone and abiraterone acetate.

Tannock et al. teaches 10mg of prednisone in combination with other anit-cancer drug as effective in treating refractory hormonal-resistance prostate cancer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ both prednisone and abiraterone acetate, in the dosage herein claimed, together in a method of treating prostate cancer, including refractory prostate cancer.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ both prednisone and abiraterone acetate, in the dosage herein claimed, together in a method of treating prostate cancer, including refractory prostate cancer. Since abiraterone acetate provide a new mechanism of action in treating prostate cancer and prednisone is known to be useful in treating refractory prostate cancer, concomitant employment of both compounds into a single method useful for the very same purpose, treating prostate cancer, would be considered *prima facie* obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980)). Treating refractory prostate cancer with abiraterone acetate would be reasonably expected to be effective since abiraterone provides a new mechanism of action against prostate cancer. O'donnell et al. provides an additional motivation to concomitantly employ prednisone since employing replacement glucocorticoid such as prednisone would ensure the safety and effectiveness of abiraterone acetate. Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Art Unit: 1628

Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan. The optimization of known effective amounts of known active agents to be administered, is considered well in the competence level of an ordinary skilled artisan in pharmaceutical science, involving merely routine skill in the art. It has been held that it is within the skill in the art to select optimal parameters, such as amounts of ingredients, in a composition in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). It is also noted that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

#### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/2012 averring the presence of unexpected results because abiraterine plus prednisone being more effective than prednisone alone have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner notes that it is expected because abiraterone and prednisone are known to be individually effective in treating prostate cancer. At least additive effective is expected.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/2012 averring the presence of commercial success have been considered, but are not found persuasive. The examiner notes that applicant bears the burden to provide evidence of commercial success. Furthermore, gross sales figures do not show commercial success absent evidence as to market share, *Cable Electric Products, Inc. v. Genmark, Inc.*, 770 F.2d 1015, 226 USPQ 881 (Fed. Cir. 1985), or as to the time period during which the product was sold, or as to

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.