

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ELECTRONIC

03/04/2013

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 13/034,340 CGR5001USCNT1 02/24/2011 Alan H. Auerbach 1597 27777 7590 03/04/2013 EXAMINER PHILIP S. JOHNSON HUI, SAN MING R **JOHNSON & JOHNSON** ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003 1629 NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)



		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		13/034,340	AUERBACH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		SAN-MING HUI	1629
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2013.		
2a)🛛	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on		
	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.		
4)			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
6) 7) 8) 9) * If any cl program http://www. Applicat	Claim(s) 37-56 is/are pending in the application 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 37-56 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or aims have been determined allowable, you may at a participating intellectual property office for the w.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or insert and i	vn from consideration. The election requirement. The be eligible to benefit from the Particle to be eligible to be eligible to be eligible. The proof of the eligible to be the eligible to be the eligible to be the eligible to be el	r more information, please see k@uspto.gov. Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Dui a vita	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 GFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachmen	• •	a) 🗖 lata == = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	(DTO 412)
1) L Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	3)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)	



Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 1629

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/2013 has been entered.

Claims 37-56 are pending.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al., British Journal of Cancer, 2004;90:2317-2325 in view of Tannock et al., J. Clin. Oncol., 1996;14:1756-1764. All of the references are of record in the parent application.

O'Donnell et al. teaches abiraterone acetate is known to be an inhibitor of 17α -hydroxylase/C17,20-lyase, which can be used to suppress testosterone level in



Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Page 3

Art Unit: 1629

prostate cancer patients (see the abstract for example). O'Donnell et al. teaches 800mg of abiraterone acetate as useful in suppressing the serum testosterone level (See the abstract for example). O'Donnell et al. also teaches that cocomitant glucocorticoid therapy may be needed for continuous use of abiraterone acetate (See the abstract and page 2323, col.2 for example).

O'Donnell et al. does not expressly teach the use of prednisone in the method of treating prostate cancer. O'Donnell et al. does not expressly teach the use of the herein claimed dosage and regimen for prednisone and abiraterone acetate.

Tannock et al. teaches 10mg of prednisone in combination with other anit-cancer drug as effective in treating refractory hormonal-resistance prostate cancer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ both prednisone and abiraterone acetate, in the dosage herein claimed, together in a method of treating prostate cancer, including refractory prostate cancer.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ both prednisone and abiraterone acetate, in the dosage herein claimed, together in a method of treating prostate cancer, including refractory prostate cancer. Since abiraterone acetate provide a new mechanism of action in treating prostate cancer and prednisone is known to be useful in treating refractory prostate cancer, concomitant employment of both compounds into a single method useful for the very same purpose, treating prostate cancer, would be considered *prima facie* obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980)). Treating refractory prostate cancer with abiraterone



Application/Control Number: 13/034,340 Page 4

Art Unit: 1629

acetate would be reasonably expected to be effective since abiraterone provides a new mechanism of action against prostate cancer. O'donnell et al. provides an additional motivation to concomitantly employ prednisone since employing replacement glucocorticoid such as prednisone would ensure the safety and effectiveness of abiraterone acetate.

Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan. The optimization of known effective amounts of known active agents to be administered, is considered well in the competence level of an ordinary skilled artisan in pharmaceutical science, involving merely routine skill in the art. It has been held that it is within the skill in the art to select optimal parameters, such as amounts of ingredients, in a composition in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). It is also noted that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/2013 averring the presence of unexpected results because abiraterine plus prednisone being more effective than prednisone alone, by citing Ryan et al., have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner notes that the superior results of using abiraterone and prednisone together is



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

