

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF
JUDGMENT ACCOMPANIED BY OPINION**

OPINION FILED AND JUDGMENT ENTERED: 01/27/2014

The attached opinion announcing the judgment of the court in your case was filed and judgment was entered on the date indicated above. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing en banc. The questions and answers are those frequently asked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

Costs are taxed against the appellant in favor of the appellee under Rule 39. The party entitled to costs is provided a bill of costs form and an instruction sheet with this notice.

The parties are encouraged to stipulate to the costs. A bill of costs will be presumed correct in the absence of a timely filed objection.

Costs are payable to the party awarded costs. If costs are awarded to the government, they should be paid to the Treasurer of the United States. Where costs are awarded against the government, payment should be made to the person(s) designated under the governing statutes, the court's orders, and the parties' written settlement agreements. In cases between private parties, payment should be made to counsel for the party awarded costs or, if the party is not represented by counsel, to the party pro se. Payment of costs should not be sent to the court. Costs should be paid promptly.

If the court also imposed monetary sanctions, they are payable to the opposing party unless the court's opinion provides otherwise. Sanctions should be paid in the same way as costs.

Regarding exhibits and visual aids: Your attention is directed Fed. R. App. P. 34(g) which states that the clerk may destroy or dispose of the exhibits if counsel does not reclaim them within a reasonable time after the clerk gives notice to remove them. (The clerk deems a reasonable time to be 15 days from the date the final mandate is issued.)

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Daniel E. O'TooleDaniel E. O'Toole
Clerk of Court

cc: John Michael Challis
Arka Dev Chatterjee
Stacey L. Cohen
Brett E. Cooper
Robert A. Cote
Margaret Antinori Dale
Bryan N. DeMatteo
Daniel Alexander DeVito
Gareth DeWalt
John M. DiMatteo
Stephanie Donahue
Roderick George Dorman

James Elacqua
Syed Fareed
Lauren Lee Fornarotto
Michael Scott Fuller
Nolan Mathew Goldberg
Keith Jude Grady
Monica Grewal
Laura A. Handley
Roy W. Hardin
Benjamin W. Hattenbach
Michael Hawes
J. Michael Hennigan
Constance Sue Huttner
Rachel Hannah Kaufman
Lisa Catherine Kelly
Gregory H. Lantier
William F. Lee
Mark G. Matuschak
Daniel J. Melman
Brian Eugene Moran
Michael Martin Murray
Scott F. Partridge
Kevin Scott Prussia
Lynn E. Rzonca
Michael D. Saunders
James Holman Shalek
Joel Lance Thollander
Dirk D. Thomas
David Tobin

13-1092 - Realtime Data, LLC v. Morgan Stanley
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 11-CV-6696

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

REALTIME DATA, LLC (doing business as IXO),
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

**MORGAN STANLEY, THE GOLDMAN SACHS
GROUP, INC., J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO.,
MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC., GOLDMAN
SACHS & CO., GOLDMAN SACHS EXECUTION &
CLEARING, LP, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC.,
AND J.P. MORGAN CLEARING CORP.**
(formerly known as Bear, Stearns Securities Corp.),
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**CREDIT SUISSE HOLDINGS (USA), INC. AND
CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA), LLC,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**HSBC BANK USA, N.A. AND
HSBC SECURITIES (USA), INC.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

BNY CONVERGEX GROUP, LLC AND

2

REALTIME DATA, LLC v. MORGAN STANLEY

BNY CONVERGEX EXECUTION SOLUTIONS, LLC,
Defendants.

2013-1092

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in No. 11-CV-6696, Judge Katherine B. Forrest.

REALTIME DATA, LLC (doing business as IXO),
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

**CME GROUP, INC., BOARD OF TRADE OF THE
CITY OF CHICAGO, INC., NEW YORK
MERCANTILE EXCHANGE, INC. (agent of Nymex),**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**BATS TRADING, INC., (also known as BATS Ex-
change, Inc.)**
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**NASDAQ OMX GROUP, INC., AND
NASDAQ OMX PHLX, INC.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

REALTIME DATA, LLC v. MORGAN STANLEY

3

**NYSE EURONEXT, OPTIONS PRICE REPORTING
AUTHORITY, NYSE ARCA, INC., NYSE MKT, LLC
(formerly known as NYSE Amex, LLC), AND
SECURITIES INDUSTRY AUTOMATION
CORPORATION,
*Defendants-Appellees.***

2013-1093

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York in No. 11-CV-6697, Judge
Katherine B. Forrest.

**REALTIME DATA, LLC (doing business as IXO),
*Plaintiff-Appellant,***

v.

**THOMSON REUTERS CORPORATION,
*Defendant-Appellee,***

AND

**BLOOMBERG L.P.,
*Defendant-Appellee,***

AND

**FACTSET RESEARCH SYSTEMS, INC.,
*Defendant-Appellee,***

AND

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.