

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication  
Systems, Inc. and Netgear, Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

ChriMar Systems, Inc.,

Patent Owner

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,155,012**

Case No. Unassigned

---

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8) .....	1
II. Relevant Background on the '012 Patent .....	3
A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '012 Patent .....	3
B. Level of Ordinary Skill .....	5
III. Claim Construction.....	6
IV. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) and Reasonable Likelihood That the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable .....	7
A. <u>Ground 1</u> : The Challenged Claims are obvious based on Hunter in view of Bulan. ....	8
1. Overview of Hunter in View of Bulan.....	8
a. Reasons to Combine Hunter and Bulan.....	10
b. The Combined System of Hunter and Bulan.....	16
c. Operation of Bulan's Current Control Apparatus .....	17
d. Hunter in View of Bulan: Step-by-Step .....	23
2. Application of Hunter in View of Bulan .....	26
a. Independent Claim 31 .....	26
b. Dependent Claim 35 .....	34
c. Dependent Claim 36 .....	34
d. Dependent Claim 40 .....	35
e. Dependent Claim 43 .....	35
f. Dependent Claim 52 .....	36
g. Dependent Claim 55 .....	36

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012

h.	Dependent Claim 56 .....	37
i.	Dependent Claim 59 (across 31, 35, 36, 40, 43, and 52) .....	37
j.	Dependent Claim 60 .....	37
k.	Dependent Claim 65 (across 31, 35, 36, 40, 43, and 52) .....	38
B.	<u>Ground 2: The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based on Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3.</u> .....	38
1.	Overview of Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3 .....	38
a.	Overview of Bloch.....	38
b.	Overview of Huizinga .....	44
c.	Overview of IEEE 802.3 (IEEE-93 and IEEE-95).....	45
d.	The Combined System of Bloch, Huizinga, and IEEE 802.3.....	47
e.	Reasons to Combine Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3.....	48
2.	Application of Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3.....	50
a.	Independent Claim 31 .....	50
b.	Dependent Claim 35 .....	54
c.	Dependent Claim 36 .....	55
d.	Dependent Claim 40 .....	55
e.	Dependent Claim 43 .....	55
f.	Dependent Claim 52 .....	56
g.	Dependent Claim 55 .....	57
h.	Dependent Claim 56 .....	58

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,012

i.	Dependent Claim 59 (across 31, 35, 36, 40, 43, and 52) .....	59
j.	Dependent Claim 60 .....	59
k.	Dependent Claim 65 (across 31, 35, 36, 40, 43, and 52) .....	59
V.	Conclusion .....	59

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Short Name</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
<b>1001</b>	'012 Patent	U.S. Patent 8,155,012 to Austerman, III et al.
<b>1002</b>	Crayford	Declaration of Ian Crayford
<b>1003</b>	Hunter	WO 96/23377 to Hunter
<b>1004</b>	Bulan	U.S. Patent 5,089,927 to Bulan et al.
<b>1005</b>	Bloch	U.S. Patent 4,173,714 to Bloch et al.
<b>1006</b>	IEEE-1993	IEEE International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3: 1993
<b>1007<sup>1</sup></b>	IEEE-1995 (part 1)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1008</b>	IEEE-1995 (part 2)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1009</b>	Huizinga	U.S. Patent 4,046,972 to Huizinga et al.
<b>1010</b>	Blacharski	Dan Blacharski, "Maximum Bandwidth: A Serious Guide to High-Speed Networking", Que Corporation (1997)
<b>1011</b>	Katz	Randy H. Katz, "High Performance Network and Channel-Based Storage", Report UCB/CSD 91/650, September 1991
<b>1012</b>	Related Matters	List of Pending Cases Involving U.S. Patent 8,155,012
<b>1013</b>	Crayford C.V.	Resume of Ian Crayford

---

<sup>1</sup> IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995 has been separated into Exhibits 1007 and 1008 to comply with file size limitations for Exhibits. Exhibits 1007 and 1008 are continuously paginated, from 1-200, and 201-415, respectively.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.