

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

K/S HIMPP
Petitioner

v.

III Holdings 4 LLC
Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD

**DECLARATION OF LES ATLAS, PH.D.
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 8,654,999**

HIMPP 1108

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Qualifications.....	2
III. Basis of Opinions.....	3
IV. Understanding of Legal Principles	4
V. Description of the Relevant Field and Relevant Timeframe.....	8
VI. The Person of Ordinary Skill in the Relevant Field in the Relevant Timeframe.....	8
VII. Technology Background for the '999 Patent.....	10
VIII. The '999 Patent (Ex. 1101).....	13
IX. Claim Construction.....	19
A. “hearing aid profile” (claims 1, 6, 9, 10, 16, 17, 20)	20
B. “hearing correction filter” (claims 1-6, 8-17)	21
C. “incremental hearing correction” (claims 1-5, 10-13, 15-17).....	23
D. “incremental hearing correction filter” (claims 1-5, 10-13, 15- 17).....	25
X. Discussion of Relevant Prior Art Patents and Publications	28
A. Fichtl.....	28
B. Sacha.....	30
C. Janssen.....	31
D. Bisgaard.....	32
E. Mangold.....	36
F. DE961.....	38
G. Roeck.....	40
XI. Claims 1-5 AND 16 are obvious over Fichtl (Ex. 1103) in view of Mangold (Ex. 1107) and Bisgaard (Ex. 1106).....	42
A. [Claim 1. Preamble]	43
B. [Claim 1.1] - “a microphone to convert sound into electrical signals”	43

A.	[Claim 1.2] - “a speaker to output audible sound”	44
B.	[Claim 1.3] - “a processor”	44
C.	[Claim 1.4] - “a memory to store instructions”	44
D.	[Claim 1.5.1] – “...instructions, which when executed by the processor, cause the processor to: receive a selection of a hearing aid profile from a plurality of hearing aid profiles, the selected hearing aid profile configured to modulate the electrical signals to a level to compensate for a hearing impairment of a user”	46
E.	[Claim 1.5.2] “apply a first one of a sequence of incremental hearing correction filters to the modulated electrical signals to produce a modulated output signal to reduce the amplitude of the modulated electrical signals produced by the selected hearing aid profile to a first level that is less than a level to compensate for the hearing impairment of the user”	48
F.	[Claim 1.5.3] “select a second one of the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters in response to receiving a trigger, the second one being designated to follow the first one in the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters and to reduce the amplitude of the modulated electrical signals produced by the selected hearing aid profile to a second level that is greater than the first level and less than the level to compensate for the hearing impairment of the user”	54
G.	[Claim 1.6] “cause the speaker to output an alert when a final one of the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters is being applied, the final one being the last hearing correction filter of the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters”	56
H.	A POSA Would Have Combined Fichtl, Mangold, and Bisgaard.....	57
I.	[Claim 2] “each of the incremental hearing correction filters comprises a collection of acoustic configuration settings configured to modulate the electrical signal to a level that is within a range between an uncompensated hearing level of the user and the level to compensate for the hearing impairment of the user”	61

J.	[Claim 3.1] “a transceiver coupled to the processor and configurable to communicate with a computing device through a communication channel during operation, the transceiver to receive a signal from the computing device and to provide the signal to the processor”	62
K.	[Claim 3.2] “wherein the processor applies the selected one of the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters in response to receiving the signal”	66
L.	[Claim 4] “hearing aid of claim 3, wherein the signal includes the selected one of the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters”	67
M.	[Claim 5.1] “hearing aid of claim 3, further comprising a memory to store the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters”	68
N.	[Claim 5.2] “wherein the signal includes an indicator identifying the selected one of the incremental hearing correction filters within the sequence”	68
O.	[Claim 5.3] “wherein, in response to receiving the signal, the processor retrieves the selected one of the incremental hearing correction filters from the memory and applies the selected one to the modulated electrical signals”	69
P.	[Claim 16] “hearing aid of claim 1, further comprising instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to generate the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters based at least in part on a magnitude of a difference between a hearing aid profile and a hearing loss level associated with the user of the hearing aid, the sequence of incremental hearing correction filters including at least the first hearing correction filter and the second hearing correction filter”	69
XII.	Claim 18 is obvious over Fichtl (Ex. 1103) in view of Mangold (Ex. 1107), Bisgaard (Ex. 1106), and Sacha (Ex. 1104).	70
A.	[Claim 18.1] “hearing aid of claim 1, further comprising instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to: determine an amount of time during which the first hearing correction filter is applied”	70

B.	[Claim 18.2] “apply the second hearing correction filter when the amount of time exceeds a pre-determined threshold”	73
XIII.	Claims 6-9 and 17 are obvious over Fichtl (Ex 1103) in view of Sacha (Ex 1104), Mangold (Ex. 1107), and DE19542961 (Ex. 1109).....	73
A.	[Claim 6.1] “A non-transitory computer-readable device comprising instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to...”	73
C.	[Claim 6.2] “select a hearing aid profile from a plurality of hearing aid profiles, the selected hearing aid profile configured to modulate an audio signal to a level to compensate for a hearing impairment of a user”	74
D.	[Claim 6.3] “apply a first hearing correction filter to the selected hearing aid profile to reduce the amplitude of the modulated audio signal produced by the selected hearing aid profile to a first level that is less than the level to compensate for the hearing impairment of the user”	74
E.	[Claim 6.4] “determine an amount of time during which the first hearing correction filter is applied”	74
F.	[Claim 6.5.1] “selectively apply a second hearing correction filter to the selected hearing aid profile to reduce the amplitude of the modulated audio signal produced by the selected hearing aid profile to a second level that is greater than the first level and less than the level to compensate for the hearing impairment of the user when the amount of time exceeds a pre-determined threshold”	75
G.	[Claim 6.5.2] “the pre-determined threshold is programmable by the user”	75
H.	A POSA Would Have Combined Fichtl, Sacha, and DE961	76
I.	[Claim 7] “computer-readable device of claim 6, wherein the pre-determined threshold is configurable by the user”	78
J.	[Claim 8] “computer-readable device of claim 6, further comprising instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to receive the first hearing correction filter and the second hearing correction filter from a transceiver	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.