

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC., BROCADE COMMUNICATION
SYSTEMS, INC., and NETGEAR, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00719
Patent 8,902,760 B2

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Matthew S. Yungwirth in this proceeding. Paper 7 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 4. For the following reasons, the Motion is *granted*.

II. ANALYSIS

Counsel may be admitted *pro hac vice* upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel is a registered practitioner. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Specifically, if lead counsel is a registered practitioner, back-up counsel may be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* “upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” *Id.* For the reasons set forth in the Motion and the accompanying affidavit of Mr. Yungwirth (Ex. 1019), we find that good cause exists to admit Mr. Yungwirth *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion is *granted*, and Matthew S. Yungwirth is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-listed proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner will continue to represent Petitioner as lead counsel in the above-listed proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Yungwirth is to comply with the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, and is subject to the USPTO’s Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R.

IPR2017-00719
Patent 8,902,760 B2

§§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37
C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

IPR2017-00719
Patent 8,902,760 B2

PETITIONER:

Joseph A. Powers
Christopher J. Tyson
DUANE MORRIS LLP
japowers@duanemorris.com
cjtyson@duanemorris.com

PATENT OWNER:

Frank A. Angileri
Thomas A. Lewry
Marc Lorelli
Christopher C. Smith
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
fangileri@brookskushman.com
tlewry@brookskushman.com
mlorelli@brookskushman.com
csmith@brookskushman.com
CHRMC0110IPR2@brookskushman.com

Richard W. Hoffmann
REISING ETHINGTON P.C.
hoffmann@reising.com