

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication
Systems, Inc. and Netgear, Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

ChriMar Systems, Inc.,

Patent Owner

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,902,760**

Case No. Unassigned

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)	1
II. Relevant Background on the '760 Patent	3
A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '760 Patent	3
B. Level of Ordinary Skill	5
III. Claim Construction.....	6
IV. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) and Reasonable Likelihood That the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	7
A. <u>Ground 1</u> : The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based on Hunter in View of Bulan.	8
1. Overview of Hunter in View of Bulan.....	8
a. Reasons to Combine Hunter and Bulan.....	10
b. The Combined System of Hunter and Bulan.....	15
c. Operation of Bulan's Current Control Apparatus	16
d. Hunter in View of Bulan: Step-by-Step	22
2. Application of Hunter in View of Bulan	24
a. Independent Claim 1	24
b. Dependent Claim 31	34
c. Dependent Claim 37	35
d. Dependent Claim 59	37
e. Dependent Claim 69	37
f. Dependent Claim 72	39
g. Independent Claim 73.....	41

h.	Dependent Claims 106, 112, 134, 142, and 145.....	41
B.	<u>Ground 2</u> : The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based on Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3.....	41
1.	Overview of Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3	42
a.	Overview of Bloch.....	42
b.	Overview of Huizinga	47
c.	Overview of IEEE 802.3 (IEEE-93 and IEEE-95).....	48
d.	The Combined System of Bloch, Huizinga, and IEEE 802.3.....	50
e.	Reasons to Combine Bloch, Huizinga and IEEE 802.3	51
2.	Application of Bloch in View of Huizinga and IEEE 802.3	53
a.	Independent Claim 1	53
b.	Dependent Claim 31	60
c.	Dependent Claim 37	61
d.	Dependent Claim 59	61
e.	Dependent Claim 69	62
f.	Dependent Claim 72	63
g.	Independent Claim 73.....	64
h.	Dependent Claims 106, 112, 134, 142, and 145.....	65
V.	Conclusion	65

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Number</u>	<u>Short Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
1001	'760 patent, or '760	U.S. Patent 8,902,760 to Austerman, III et al.
1002	Crayford	Declaration of Ian Crayford
1003	Hunter	WO 96/23377 to Hunter
1004	Bulan	U.S. Patent 5,089,927 to Bulan et al.
1005	Bloch	U.S. Patent 4,173,714 to Bloch et al.
1006	IEEE-1993	IEEE International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3: 1993
1007¹	IEEE-1995 (part 1)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
1008	IEEE-1995 (part 2)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
1009	Huizinga	U.S. Patent 4,046,972 to Huizinga et al.
1010	Blacharski	Dan Blacharski, "Maximum Bandwidth: A Serious Guide to High-Speed Networking", Que Corporation (1997)
1011	Katz	Randy H. Katz, "High Performance Network and Channel-Based Storage", Report UCB/CSD 91/650, September 1991
1012	Related Matters	List of Pending Cases Involving U.S. Patent 8,902,760
1013	Crayford CV	Resume of Ian Crayford

¹ IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995 has been separated into Exhibits 1007 and 1008 to comply with file size limitations for Exhibits. Exhibits 1007 and 1008 are continuously paginated, from 1-200, and 201-415, respectively.

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,902,760

<u>Number</u>	<u>Short Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
1014	IEEE Press Release	Shuang Yu, IEEE 802.3 “Standard for Ethernet” Marks 30 Years of Innovation and Global Market Growth, IEEE Press Release, June 24, 2013
1015	Complaint	Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Case No. 3:16-cv-00186-SI (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. No. 1.
1016	'058 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,247,058 to Miller et al.
1017	'152 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,865,152 to Luhmann
1018	Complaint	Chrimar Systems, Inc. et al. v. Netgear, Inc., Case No. 3:16-cv-00624-SI (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. No. 1.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.