

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA) Inc.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00714
Patent 6,470,399 B2

**PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '399 PATENT	5
III. OVERVIEW OF THE APPLIED ART	10
A. Aytac’s CaTbox Requires User-Loaded Specialized Software On The Host Computer For Communication	10
B. American National Standard For Information Systems – Small Computer System Interface-2 (“SCSI Specification”).....	16
C. U.S. Patent No. 6,522,432 to Lin	16
D. Alleged Admitted Prior Art.....	16
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	17
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
A. “whereupon the host device communicates with the interface device by means of the [driver for the input/output device customary in a host device/specific driver for the multi-purpose interface/usual driver for the input/output device]”	21
B. “Data transmit/receive device”	25
C. “The driver for the input/output device customary in a host device”	27
D. “The usual driver for the input/output device”	29
E. “An input/output device customary in a host device.”	30
VI. Petitioners Did Not Meet Their Burden To Show The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	30
A. The Petition Fails To Provide A Proper Obviousness Analysis	30

B.	Aytac Does Not Disclose An Interface Device With Which A Host Device Communicates Without Using Specialized Software On The Host Device	35
1.	Aytac Intended CaTbox To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC.....	37
2.	CaTbox Uses And Requires Specialized Software Installed On The Host PC To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC And To Enable Communications Therewith.....	39
C.	Petitioner Admits That Aytac’s Specialized Software Facilitates Communications Between the CaTbox and the Host Computer.....	43
D.	Aytac And The Other Relied Upon Art Do Not Teach Or Suggest To A POSITA That Aytac’s Specialized Software Is Optional Or Should Be Removed.....	46
VII.	CONCLUSION	50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Activevideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	32
<i>Allergan Inc. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 754 F.3d 952 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	47
<i>Apple Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG</i> , IPR2016-01843, Paper 13 (PTAB Mar. 10, 2017).....	10
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	32
<i>Canon Inc. v. Papst Licensing GMBH & Co., KG</i> , IPR2016-01200, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016).....	11
<i>Catch Curve, Inc. v. Venali, Inc.</i> , 363 Fed. Appx. 19 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	28
<i>David Netzer Consulting Engineer LLC v. Shell Oil Co.</i> , 824 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	20, 25
<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 469 F.3d 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	19
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	4, 31
<i>Honeywell Int'l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	20
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	15
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	50
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	50
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	4
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	31, 32

In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation,
778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 19, 24, 25

In re Zurko,
258 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 33

Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs,
512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 29

Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd.,
IPR2014-00309, Paper 83 (PTAB Mar. 23, 2014) 31

K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC,
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 32, 33, 34, 35

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) 4, 31

Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.,
357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 20

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc.,
6:15-cv-01095, D.E. 275 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 7, 2017) 19

PC Connector Solutions, LLC v. SmartDisk Corp.,
406 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 28

Personal Web Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.,
2016–1174, Slip op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 14, 2017) 32

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 19, 20

Plas-Pak Indus. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG,
600 Fed. Appx. 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 49

SciMed Life Systems v. Advanced Cardiovascular,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 20

Solaia Tech. LLC v. Arvinmeritor Inc.,
2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16482 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 17, 2003) 14

Southwest Software, Inc. v. Harlequin, Inc.,
226 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 14

SuperGuide Corp. v. DirecTV Enters., Inc.,
358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 28, 29

Upsher-Smith Labs., Inc. v. Pamlab LLC,
412 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 47

Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.,
503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.