

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUJIFILM Corporation *et al.*

Petitioners

v.

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG,

Patent Owner

CASE: Unassigned

Patent No. 8,966,144

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,966,144**

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 Declaration of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D.
- Ex. 1002 Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D.
- Ex. 1003 U.S. Patent No. 8,966,144 to Michael Tasler (“the ’144 Patent”).
- Ex. 1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,758,081 to Haluk M. Aytac (“Aytac” or “the ’081 Patent”).
- Ex. 1005 American National Standard for Information Systems, Small Computer System Interface-2, ANSI X3.131-1994 (1994) (“SCSI Specification”).
- Ex. 1006 Prosecution History of the ’081 Patent.
- Ex. 1007 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’144 Patent.
- Ex. 1008 MPEP 6th ed., Rev. 1, Sept. 1, 1995, § 608.05.
- Ex. 1009 Patent Owner (“Papst”)’s Opening Claim Construction Brief and Declaration of Robert Zeidman, filed in related litigation in the District of Columbia. *In re: Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation*, MDL No. 1880, Case No. 1:07-mc-00493, Dkt. Nos. 630, 630-12 (June 3, 2016).
- Ex. 1010 Ray Duncan, ed., “The MS-DOS Encyclopedia,” Microsoft Press (1988).
- Ex. 1011 Federal Circuit decision, *In re: Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation*, No. 2014-1110 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 2, 2015).
- Ex. 1012 American National Standards Institute, Procedures for the Development and Coordination of American National Standards, Approved by the ANSI Board of Directors (Sept. 9, 1993).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	37 C.F.R. § 42.8: MANDATORY NOTICES	3
	A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Parties-in-Interest	3
	B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters	4
	C. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	7
III.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A): GROUNDS FOR STANDING	12
IV.	37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B): IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	13
	A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR is Requested	13
	B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Identification of Prior Art and Asserted Grounds for Which IPR is Requested	13
	C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	16
	D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction	16
	E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable	20
	F. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge	20
V.	THERE EXISTS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	21
	A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '144 Patent	21
	B. Prosecution History of the '144 Patent	22
	C. Summary of Unpatentability Arguments	23
	D. Ground #1: Claims 1-8, 10, 14-20, 22, 26, 28-29, 38, 52, 56-57, 59-65, 67, 71-74, 77-80, 84, and 86-87 Would Have Been Obvious Over Aytac in View of the SCSI Specification	25
	1. Common Claim Elements of the Challenged Claims	26
	2. The Aytac Patent	26
	3. SCSI Specification and Overview	31

4.	Independent Claims 1, 84, and 86 [common elements].....	37
	a. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [preamble]	37
	b. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [input/output (i/o) port]	38
	c. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [program memory]	39
	d. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [data storage memory].....	40
	e. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [sensor designed to transmit data]	42
	f. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [processor]	44
	g. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [processor adapted to be involved in a data generation process]	47
	h. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [processor adapted to be involved in an automatic recognition process].....	49
	i. Claims 1, 84, and 86 [processor that is further adapted to be involved in an automatic file transfer process]	63
5.	Claim 84 (non-overlapping elements with claim 1)	67
	a. A processor adapted to cause ADGPD file system information to be automatically sent to the i/o port	68
	b. “The ADGPD file system information comprises at least an indication of the type of a file system that is used to store the at least one file of digitized analog data in the data storage memory”	70
	c. “Wherein at least one parameter is consistent with the ADGPD being a mass storage device that operates in a manner consistent with a hard disc drive”	70
	d. “Wherein the processor and the program memory after the at least one parameter has been sent to the i/o port, file allocation table information to be sent to the i/o port, the file allocation table information including at least a start location of a file allocation table”	71

e.	“Wherein the processor and the program memory are adapted to be configured to cause a virtual boot sequence to be sent to the i/o port which includes at least information that is representative of a number of sectors of a storage disk”	72
6.	Claim 86.....	72
7.	Dependent Claims	73
a.	Claim 2.....	73
b.	Claim 3.....	74
c.	Claims 4 and 5	74
d.	Claim 6.....	76
e.	Claim 7.....	77
f.	Claim 8.....	77
g.	Claims 10 and 22	78
h.	Claim 14.....	80
i.	Claims 15 and 16	80
j.	Claims 17-20.....	80
k.	Claim 26.....	82
l.	Claims 28 and 87	83
m.	Claim 29.....	83
n.	Claim 38.....	83
o.	Claim 52.....	84
p.	Claim 56.....	84
q.	Claim 57.....	85
r.	Claim 59.....	85
s.	Claim 60.....	86
t.	Claim 61.....	86
u.	Claims 62 and 63	86
v.	Claims 64 and 65	87
w.	Claim 67.....	87

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.