

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA) Inc.
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00713
Patent 6,895,449 B2

**PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '449 PATENT	5
III. OVERVIEW OF THE APPLIED ART	8
A. Aytac's CaTbox Requires User-Loaded Specialized Software On The Host Computer To Communicate And Function Properly	8
B. American National Standard For Information Systems – Small Computer System Interface-2 ("SCSI Specification").....	14
C. MS-DOS Encyclopedia.....	14
D. Alleged Admitted Prior Art.....	15
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	15
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	16
A. "whereupon the host device communicates with the interface device by means of the [driver for the storage device customary in a host device/specific driver for the multi-purpose interface]"	19
B. Data transmit/receive device	23
C. "Simulating a virtual file system to the host"	25
D. "Interface device"	27
VI. PETITIONERS DID NOT MEET THEIR BURDEN TO SHOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	27
A. The Petition Fails To Provide A Proper Obviousness Analysis	27
B. Aytac Does Not Disclose That A Host Computer Communicates with Aytac's CaTbox Without	

Using Specialized Software On The Host
Computer..... 31

1. Aytac Intended CaTbox To Be A
Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem
Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple
Devices With A PC..... 33

2. CaTbox Uses And Requires Specialized
Software Installed On The Host PC To Be A
Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem
Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple
Devices With A PC And To Enable
Communications Therebetween 35

C. Petitioner Admits That Aytac’s Specialized
Software Facilitates Communications Between the
CaTbox and the Host Computer..... 38

D. Aytac And The Other Relied Upon Art Do Not
Teach Or Suggest To A POSITA That Aytac’s
Specialized Software Is Optional Or Should Be
Removed..... 42

E. Petitioner Fails To Show That Aytac Discloses
“The Interface Device Is Arranged For Simulating
A Virtual File System To The Host,” As Required
In Claims 1 and 17 46

VII. CONCLUSION 50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Activevideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	29
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	29
<i>Canon Inc. v. Papst Licensing GMBH & Co., KG</i> , IPR2016-01200, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016).....	9
<i>David Netzer Consulting Engineer LLC v. Shell Oil Co.</i> , 824 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	18, 23
<i>DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 469 F.3d 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	17
<i>Fujifilm Corp. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG</i> , IPR2016-01199, Paper 1 (PTAB June 14, 2016).....	40
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	4, 27
<i>Honeywell Int'l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	18
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	13
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	30
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	46
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	28
<i>In Re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation</i> , 778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	22
<i>In re Zurko</i> , 258 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	30

Intel Corp. v. MicroUnity Systems,
Appeal No. 2010-008981 (BPAI Dec. 9. 2010)..... 12, 13
Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd.,
IPR2014-00309, Paper 83 (PTAB Mar. 23, 2014)..... 28
K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC,
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... passim
KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) 4, 27, 28
Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys.,
357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 18
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG v. Apple, Inc.,
6:15-cv-01095, ECF No. 275 (E.D. Tex. March 3, 2017) 17
Personal Web Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.,
2016–1174, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 14, 2017) 28
Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 17
Plas-Pak Indus. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG,
600 Fed. Appx. 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 46
SciMed Life Systems v. Advanced Cardiovascular,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 18
Solaia Tech. LLC v. Arvinvmeritor Inc.,
2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16482 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 17, 2003) 12
Southwest Software, Inc. v. Harlequin, Inc.,
226 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 12
TRW Auto. US LLC v. Magna Elecs. Inc.,
IPR2014-00258, Paper 16 (PTAB June 26, 2014)..... 41
Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.,
503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 18
Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.,
IPR2013-00054, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 8, 2013)..... 41

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 27
35 U.S.C. § 311..... 13
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 1
35 U.S.C. § 316(e) 27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.