Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_ Filed: November 7, 2017

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2017-00701 Patent 7,421,032

PATENT OWNER'S SECOND NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner California Institute of Technology ("Caltech"), submits the following objections to Petitioner Apple Inc.'s ("Petitioner") Exhibits 1129-1143. As required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.62, Patent Owner's objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence ("F.R.E.").

#### II. **OBJECTIONS**

Caltech objects to Ex. 1129, "OCLC Record of Proceedings of the 36<sup>th</sup> Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing"; Ex. 1130, "Declaration of Library Expert Theodore A. Fons"; Ex. 1131, "Affidavit of Pamela Stansbury"; Ex. 1132, "Affidavit From Dr. Bruce Hajek"; Ex. 1133, "Affidavit from Dr. Tamer Basar"; Ex. 1134, "Affidavit from Dr. Ramavarapu Sreenivas"; Ex. 1135, "Declaration of Dr. Brendan Frey"; Ex. 1136, "A Screen Capture of an Archived Version of the Website for the 37<sup>th</sup> Annual Allerton Conference"; Ex. 1137, "A Purchase Order for the 37<sup>th</sup> Allerton Conference Proceedings"; Ex. 1138, "A Bill of Lading for the 37<sup>th</sup> Annual Allerton Conference Proceedings"; Ex. 1139, "An Excerpt from the Deposition of Dr. Stephen Wicker"; Ex. 1140, "An Excerpt from the Deposition of Dr. Rüdiger Urbanke"; Ex. 1141, "Jafar A. Alzubi, Omar A. Alzubi, and Thomas M. Chen, 'Forward Error Correction Based on Algebraic-Geometric Theory'": Ex. 1142. "MARC Record of *Proceedings of the 37<sup>th</sup> Allerton* Conference on Communication, Control and Computing"; Ex. 1143, "BEACON

\_1\_

Case IPR2017-00701 Patent 7,421,032

Record of Proceedings of the 37<sup>th</sup> Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing".

Grounds for Objection: F.R.E. 401 (Test for Relevant Evidence); F.R.E. 402 (General Admissibility of Relevant Evidence); F.R.E. 403 (Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons).

Exhibits 1129-1143 are not cited in the petition that initiated this proceeding. As such, these exhibits are not relevant to the instituted ground of review or any other aspect of this proceeding as they have no tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence. Moreover, to the extent Petitioner intends to rely on any of Exhibits 1129-1143 as evidence that the Frey or Divsalar references qualify in this proceeding as prior art printed publications, Exhibits 1129-1143 are not relevant to the purported dates of publication specifically asserted in the petition. Further, to the extent any of Exhibits 1129-1143 are deemed relevant admission of the exhibit would be unduly prejudicial, misleading, and a waste of time.

#### **III.** CONCLUSION

Exhibits 1129-1143 were filed and served on October 31, 2017. These objections are made within five business days of service.

Case IPR2017-00701 Patent 7,421,032

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 7, 2017

/ Michael T. Rosato / Michael T. Rosato, Lead Counsel Reg. No. 52,182 Case IPR2017-00701 Patent 7,421,032

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing Patent Owner's Second Notice of Objection to

Evidence was served on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017, on the Petitioner at the

electronic service addresses of the Petitioner as follows:

Richard Goldenberg Dominic Massa Michael H. Smith WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com dominic.massa@wilmerhale.com michaelh.smith@wilmerhale.com

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 7, 2017

/ Michael T. Rosato / Michael T. Rosato, Lead Counsel Reg. No. 52,182