UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00700 (Patent 7,421,032 B2) Case IPR2017-00701 (Patent 7,421,032 B2) Case IPR2017-00728 (Patent 7,421,032 B2)

Record of Oral Hearing Held: May 8, 2018

Before KEN B. BARRETT, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON and JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

RICHARD GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE MICHAEL H. SMITH, ESQUIRE WilmerHale Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-663-6055

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

MICHAEL ROSATO, ESQUIRE QUINCY LU, ESQUIRE MATTHEW ARGENTINI, ESQUIRE Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, LLP 701 Fifth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98115 206-883-3529

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES M. DOWD, ESQUIRE Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90071

TODD M. BRIGGS, ESQUIRE Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores California 94065

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, May 8, 2018, commencing at 9:01 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE BARRETT: You may be seated. Good morning, everyone.
3	We are on the record in IPR 2017-00700, IPR 2017-00701 and IPR 2017-
4	00728, Apple Incorporated v. California Institute of Technology. Is that
5	correct?
6	MR. GOLDENBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. If we can have the attorneys'
8	appearances, please, Petitioner?
9	MR. GOLDENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is
10	Richard Goldenberg, I am Lead Counsel for Apple Incorporated, and with
11	me at the counsel table is Michael Smith, my Co-Counsel.
12	MR. SMITH: Hi.
13	MR. GOLDENBERG: And with me right across the table is Jim
14	Dowd, also my Co-Counsel.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Good morning. Welcome. Patent Owner?
16	MR. ROSATO: Good morning, Your Honor. Mike Rosato for Cal
17	Tech; I have Quincy Lu who is at the counsel table with me, Matthew
18	Argenti behind me, and Todd Briggs. Thank you.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Welcome. If you are prepared to discuss, a
20	preliminary matter, the Supreme Court's decision in SAS. I know you had a
21	chance to meet and confer, and I want to commend you for that. What are
22	your thoughts on how should we proceed; Petitioner?
23	MR. GOLDENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. So, we did reach an
24	agreement outside before the hearing this morning, and so we have
25	agreement



1 JUDGE JEFFERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you. Why don't you step 2 to that podium, just in case the recording device doesn't pick you up there? MR. GOLDENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Mr. Rosato 3 4 and I spoke outside the hearing this morning, before the hearing, and we do 5 have an agreement on a joint proposal to request that the Board dismiss or 6 withdraw all the claims that were originally not instituted, in these IPRs as 7 well as the IPRs that were argued a few weeks ago, 210, 219 and --8 MR. ROSATO: 297. 9 MR. GOLDENBERG: 297. So, as long as the Board would agree to 10 withdraw those claims such that there's no final written decision on them, 11 then we would jointly request that the Board dismiss that. 12 JUDGE BARRETT: All right. I tell you what I will do, after the 13 hearing today or tomorrow, I will issue an order authorizing a joint motion, 14 and we'll go from there. 15 MR. GOLDENBERG: Thank you. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, both of you. All right then, on to 17 the hearings. We set forth the procedure in the hearings order, but we do 18 have some flexibility here. We set aside 30 minutes per side, per case. I suspect it won't take that long. We will have one continuous transcript for 19 20 all three proceedings. There is no need to repeat arguments. I believe most 21 of the arguments in these cases the issues are the same across all three. So, 22 no need to repeat. 23 The working assumption is, we will handle these sequentially. Is that

24

still how you want -- the parties want to handle it?

- 1 MR. GOLDENBERG: For Petitioner, yes, Your Honor. We agree
- 2 that second and third hearings may be substantially shorter than the first, but
- 3 we had planned to address them sequentially.
- 4 MR. ROSATO: That's fine with us, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE BARRETT: All right. Wonderful! As always, for clarity of
- 6 the transcript when you refer to an exhibit on the screen, please state for the
- 7 record the exhibit and the page number, or the demonstrative page, so we'll
- 8 be able to understand that later.
- 9 So, we are going to handle these sequentially. Petitioner, you'll go
- 10 first. You can reserve time for rebuttal, and Patent Owner then will present
- its response, and then you can use your rebuttal time, Petitioner. And I'll
- 12 give each -- I'm going to use the clock, and I'll give each Counsel a warning
- when you're reaching the end. Any questions?
- MR. GOLDENBERG: I'd like to reserve about 10 minutes for
- rebuttal, if I can, if Mr. Smith can give me a warning when I'm getting near
- 16 20 minutes.
- 17 MR. SMITH: Okay.
- JUDGE BARRETT: With that then, Petitioner, you may begin.
- MR. GOLDENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. So, if we can
- 20 turn to slide 2 for the 700 slides, I plan to follow a similar roadmap that we
- 21 did in the prior hearings, but as always, if the Court has questions or would
- 22 like to hear things in a different order, just let me know, I'm happy to
- 23 address the Board's questions at any time.
- But turning to the first point on slide 4, and this is an overview of the
- 25 invalidity of the challenged claims, here, on slide 4 we are showing the two



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

