Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper 28 Entered: May 31, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VIPTELA, INC., Petitioner,

v.

FATPIPE NETWORKS PRIVATE LIMITED, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2017-00684 Patent 6,775,235 B2

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), "the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months" The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c), the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision in the present proceeding.

The Supreme Court issued its decision on April 24, 2018, in *SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018). Here, *SAS* may affect the parties' arguments and the Board's analysis of evidence and arguments presented, particularly with respect to non-instituted claims in the Petition. Because of the potential impact of *SAS* and the limited amount of time for the Board and parties to apply *SAS* to this proceeding, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Ant P. Onkla

David P. Ruschke Chief Administrative Patent Judge

2

IPR2017-00684 Patent 6,775,235 B2

PETITIONER: Robert Hilton George Davis McGUIRE WOODS LLP rhilton@mcguirewoods.com gdavis@mcguirewoods.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert Mattson Sameer Gokhale OBLON, McLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP <u>CPDdocketMattson@oblon.com</u> <u>cpdocketgokhale@oblon.com</u>