

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VIPTELA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

FATPIPE NETWORKS INDIA LIMITED,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. 2017-_____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,775,235
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a))	2
D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	3
E.	Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103(a))	3
F.	Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	3
II.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
A.	Publications Relied Upon.....	3
B.	Grounds For Challenge	4
III.	RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT	4
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '235 Patent	4
B.	The '235 Patent (Ex. 1001)	5
1.	Overview of the '235 Patent	5
2.	Prosecution History.....	5
C.	Claim Construction	6
1.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	6
2.	Patent Owner's Proposed Constructions.....	6
IV.	SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART AND REFERENCES RELIED ON.....	8
A.	Brief Summary of Karol (Ex. 1006)	8
B.	Brief Summary of Stallings (Ex. 1011).....	9
V.	A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD EXISTS THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	9
A.	Ground 1: Claims 4-11, 14, 19, and 22-24 of the '235 Patent (Ex. 1001) are anticipated by Karol (Ex. 1006).....	9
B.	Ground 2: Claims 5, 6, 11-15, 19, 22, and 23 of the '235 Patent are obvious over Karol (Ex. 1006) in view of Stallings (Ex. 1011).....	31
C.	Ground 3: Claims 4-15, 19, and 22-24 of the '235 Patent (Ex. 1001) are obvious over Karol (Ex. 1006).....	45
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc., 239 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2001)....7
In re Translogic Tech., Inc., 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)6
In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....7
KSR v. Teleflex, 550 U.S. 398 (2007) passim

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1034
35 U.S.C. § 318(b)60
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-3191

Other Authorities

MPEP § 2143 passim

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.81
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1001
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.103(a).....3

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235 by Sanchaita Datta and Ragula Bhaskar entitled "Tools and Techniques for Directing Packets Over Disparate Networks" ("the '235 Patent")
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235
1003	U.S. Patent No. 7,406,048 by Sanchaita Datta and Ragula Bhaskar entitled "Tools and Techniques for Directing Packets Over Disparate Networks" ("the '048 Patent")
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,406,048
1005	Declaration of Dr. Leonard J. Forsy
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,628,617 by Mark John Karol and Malathi Veeraraghavan entitled "Technique for Internetworking Traffic on Connectionless and Connection-Oriented Networks" ("Karol")
1007	W.R. Stevens, "TCP/IP Illustrated Volume 1, the Protocols," Addison-Wesley Professional Computing Series, 1994, ISBN-0-201-63346-9 ("Stevens").
1008	Complaint, D.I. 1 in 1:16- cv-00182-LPS in the District of Delaware
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,748,439 by David R. Monachello et al. entitled "System and Method for Selecting Internet Service Providers from a Workstation that is Connected to a Local Area Network" ("Monachello")
1010	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, IPR2016-00976, Paper No. 1 (April 29, 2016)
1011	William Stallings, "Data and Computer Communications," Prentice-Hall, 5th Edition, 1997, ISBN-81-203-1240-6, ("Stallings")
1012	Office Action dated 4/13/2012 for U.S. Application No. 10/034,197
1013	Office Action dated 2/2/2012 for U.S. Application No. 10/034,197
1014	FatPipe's Proposed Modifications to Claim Construction
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,317,431 by Terence G. Hodgkinson and Alan W. O'Neill entitled "ATM Partial Cut-Through" ("Hodgkinson")
1016	Adaptive Private Networking Configuration Editor User's Guide, APNware Release 2.5 (FATPIPE-001374-1448)
1017	Decision, IPR2016-00976, Paper No. 7 (November 2, 2016)
1018	FatPipe's Infringement Contentions

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100 *et seq.*, Viptela, Inc. (“Petitioner”) hereby respectfully requests *inter partes* review of claims 4, 5, 6-15, 19, and 22-24 (“Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235 (Ex. 1001; “the ’235 Patent”). There exists a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one of the Challenged Claims, which are unpatentable over the prior art discussed herein.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8, Petitioner provides the following disclosures:

A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))

Petitioner, Viptela, Inc., located at 1732 North First St., Suite 600, San Jose, California 95112, is the real party-in-interest for the instant petition.

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))

The ’235 Patent is currently involved in a pending lawsuit (the “District Court Litigation”) involving Petitioner originally captioned *FatPipe, Inc. v. Viptela, Inc.*, United States District Court For the District Of Delaware, Case No. 1:16-CV-182. (Ex. 1008.)

FatPipe, Inc. is also asserting U.S. Patent No. 7,406,048 (Ex. 1003; “the ’048 Patent”) in the District Court Litigation against Petitioner. A separate IPR petition has been filed by Petitioner with respect to the ’048 Patent. Petitioner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.