

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Facebook, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Windy City Innovations, LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

TITLE: REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,694,657**

Table of Contents

	Page
I. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	4
D. Service Information	4
E. Power of Attorney	5
II. Payment of Fees - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	5
III. Requirements for Inter Partes Review under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 and 42.108	5
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	5
B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	6
C. Requirements for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	6
IV. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	7
A. “token”	7
B. “pointer”	8
V. The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	9
A. Brief Summary and Date Qualification of the Prior Art.....	10
1. Brief Overview of Roseman (Ex. 1003)	10
2. Brief Overview of Rissanen (Ex. 1004).....	13
3. Brief Overview of Vetter (Ex. 1005)	14
4. Brief Overview of Pike (Ex. 1006).....	15
5. Brief Overview of Lichty (Ex. 1007).....	16
B. Ground 1: Claims 203, 209, 215, 221, 477, 482, 487 and 492 Are Obvious Over Roseman, Rissanen, Vetter, Pike, and Lichty	16
2. Intermediate Dependent Claim 202 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the video)	51
3. Claim 203 (two client software alternatives).....	52

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
5. Intermediate Dependent Claim 214 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the graphic)	58
7. Claims 209, 215 and 221 (two client software alternatives)	61
8. Claim 465 (Apparatus Corresponding to Claim 189)	62
9. Intermediate Dependent Claims 476, 481, 486 and 491 (the data presents the video, audio, graphic and multimedia)	65
10. Claims 477, 482, 487 and 492 (two client software alternatives)	67
VI. Conclusion	68

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01156 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....2

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01157 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....3

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01158 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....3

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01159 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....2

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2017-00622 (PTAB January 7, 2017).....3

Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2017-00624 (PTAB January 7, 2017).....3

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01067 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....3

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01141 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....3

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01155 (PTAB June 3, 2016).....2

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2017-00603 (PTAB January 7, 2017).....3

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2017-00605 (PTAB January 7, 2017).....3

Microsoft Corporation v. Windy City Innovations, LLC,
Case IPR2017-00606 (PTAB January 9, 2017).....3

Table of Contents
(continued)

Page

Windy City Innovations, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.,
Case No. 4:16-cv-01730-YGR, pending2

Windy City Innovations, LLC v. Microsoft Corporation,
Case No. 4:16-cv-01729-YGR2

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)13, 14, 16

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) 10

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)6, 9

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(1).....5

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)1, 5, 6

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)4, 5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)2

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....2

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....2

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)5

37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.224

37 C.F.R. § 42.1007

37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.