

Declaration of Tal Lavian in Support of
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Facebook, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Windy City Innovations, LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

TITLE: REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

DECLARATION OF TAL LAVIAN, PH.D.

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS | 1 |
| A. Summary of My Opinions..... | 1 |
| B. Qualifications and Experience | 1 |
| C. Materials Considered..... | 5 |
| II. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 6 |
| III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 7 |
| A. “token” | 8 |
| B. “pointer” | 9 |
| IV. APPLICATION OF THE PRIOR ART TO THE CLAIMS OF THE ’657 PATENT..... | 10 |
| A. Brief Description and Summary of the Prior Art..... | 11 |
| 1. Roseman [Ex. 1003]..... | 11 |
| 2. Rissanen [Ex. 1004] | 15 |
| 3. Vetter [Ex. 1005]..... | 17 |
| 4. Pike [Ex. 1006] | 18 |
| 5. Lichty [Ex. 1007] | 19 |
| C. Each Limitation of Claims 189, 202, 203, 208, 209, 214, 215, 220, 221, 465, 476, 477, 481, 482, 486, 487, 491 and 492 Is Disclosed by the Prior Art..... | 20 |
| 1. Claim 189..... | 20 |
| a. “A method of communicating via an Internet network by using a computer system including a controller computer and a database which serves as a repository of tokens for other programs to access, thereby affording information to each of a plurality of participator computers which are otherwise independent of each other, the method including:” (Claim 189, Preamble)..... | 20 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| b. “affording some of the information to a first of the participator computers via the Internet network, responsive to an authenticated first user identity” (Claim 189[a]) | 37 |
| c. “affording some of the information to a second of the participator computers via the Internet network, responsive to an authenticated second user identity” (Claim 189[b]) | 42 |
| d. “determining whether the first user identity and the second user identity are able to form a group to send and to receive real-time communications” (claim 189[c]) | 42 |
| e. “determining whether the first user identity is individually censored from sending data in the communications, the data presenting at least one of a pointer, video, audio, a graphic, and multimedia by determining whether a respective at least one parameter corresponding to the user identity has been determined by an other of the user identities” (claim 189[d]) | 45 |
| f. “if the user identities are able to form the group, forming the group and facilitating sending the communications that are not censored from the first participator computer to the second participator computer, wherein the sending is in real time and via the Internet network, and wherein the communications which are received and which present an Internet URL, facilitating handling the Internet URL via the computer system so as to find content specified by the Internet URL and presenting the content at an output device of the second participator computer” (claim 189[e])..... | 57 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| g. “if the user identity is censored from sending of the data, not allowing sending the data that is censored from the first participator computer to the second participator computer.” (claim 189[f]) | 61 |
| 2. Claim 202 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the video) | 61 |
| 3. Claim 203 (two client software alternatives)..... | 63 |
| 4. Claim 208 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the audio) | 67 |
| 5. Claim 209 (two client software alternatives)..... | 68 |
| 6. Claim 214 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the graphic) | 69 |
| 7. Claim 215 (two client software alternatives)..... | 71 |
| 8. Claim 220 (first user identity is censored from the sending of data presenting the multimedia)..... | 71 |
| 9. Claim 221 (two client software alternatives)..... | 73 |
| 10. Claim 465 (Apparatus Corresponding to Claim 189)..... | 74 |
| 11. Claims 476, 481, 486, and 491 (the data presents the video, audio, graphic and multimedia) | 76 |
| 12. Claims 477, 482, 487 and 492 (two client software alternatives)..... | 79 |
| V. CONCLUSION..... | 81 |

Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D. in Support of
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

I, Tal Lavian, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

A. Summary of My Opinions

1. U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657 purports to describe a computerized technique for facilitating real-time communication between individuals using computers connected via the Internet. As I will explain below, the challenged claims do not recite any feature that would have been regarded as novel or non-obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art. By April 1996 (the earliest priority date of the '657 patent), real-time collaboration over computer networks was well-known, including video/audio conferencing, whiteboarding, and messaging. One of these references, U.S. Patent No. 6,608,636 to Robert D. Roseman, was filed more than four years before the earliest priority date for the '657 patent. Roseman discloses a networked "virtual conferencing" system that discloses all of the supposedly inventive features of the '657 patent. As I will explain below, all of the challenged claims would have been obvious based on the prior art.

B. Qualifications and Experience

2. I have more than 25 years of experience in the networking, telecommunications, Internet, and software fields. I received a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of California at Berkeley in 2006 and obtained a Master's of Science ("M.Sc.") degree in Electrical Engineering from Tel Aviv

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.