

1 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY vs. MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES

2 02:01

3 ROUGH DRAFT- UNCERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

4 TELECONFERENCE: 6-9-17 2:00 p.m.

5 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY

6 vs.

7 MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES

8

9 JUDGE PETRAVICK: This is Judge

10 Petravick. I'm on the line with my colleague,

11 Scott Daniels.

12 I would like to know if counsel for the 02:01

13 7685R is on the line. 02:01

14 MS. HIGGINS: Good afternoon, your 02:02

15 Honor. This is Gabrielle Higgins and I am 02:02

16 counsel for petitioner Hewlett-Packard 02:02

17 Enterprise, Hewlett-Packard, Inc., and Aruba, 02:02

18 Networks, Inc. And that's in connection with 02:02

19 the IPR2016-768, which was joined with the 02:02

20 766 proceeding. 02:02

21 And perhaps to clarify, we are not a 02:02

22 party to the IRP 000640. 02:02

23 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Yes, I'm getting 02:02

24 there. 02:02

25 MS. HIGGINS: Excellent, sorry. 02:02

1 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY vs. MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES

2 JUDGE PETRAVICK: And Ms. Higgins, you 02:02
3 represent parties in the 766, or are there 02:02
4 also counsel for the 766 on the line? 02:02

5 MS. HIGGINS: So, your Honor, counsel 02:02
6 for 766, Arris, is on the line. I will be 02:02
7 speaking on behalf of 768 and 766, you know, 02:02
8 but counsel for Arris is welcome to chime in. 02:03

9 JUDGE PETRAVICK: For the 2017 00637? 02:03

10 MS. HIGGINS: And your Honor, to the 02:03
11 extent that that comes up, while we 02:03
12 weren't -- we didn't understand that that 02:03
13 would be involved today as well. I will 02:03
14 speak for 210, as well. 02:03

15 JUDGE PETRAVICK: You are listed as 02:03
16 back-up counsel in that case I have noted. 02:03

17 MS. HIGGINS: I believe with respect to 02:03
18 367 I am lead counsel, your Honor. 02:03

19 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Ok, thank you. 02:03

20 And 640, Ms. Higgins, are you counsel 02:03
21 for that case, too? 02:03

22 MS. HIGGINS: No. I believe 02:03
23 Hewlett-Packard, Inc., and Aruba are not 02:04
24 counsel to those parties, and I believe 02:04
25 counsel for Juniper will be speaking on 02:04

1 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY vs. MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES
2 behalf of petitioners there. 02:04

3 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Is counsel for 02:04
4 Juniper on the line? 02:04

5 MS. CARSON: Yes, your Honor. This is 02:04
6 Rebecca Carson. I am counsel for Juniper 02:04
7 Networks, Inc. 02:04

8 JUDGE PETRAVICK: You're back-up 02:04
9 counsel for Juniper Networks, and you have 02:04
10 not been admitted to the the proceedings 02:04
11 because I believe I've noted that your pro 02:04
12 hac motion was not filed until last night, 02:04
13 and it's not been granted. 02:04

14 Is there other counsel on the line? 02:04

15 MS. CARSON: So my colleague Nima 02:04
16 Hefazi, also from Irell and Manella, is on 02:04
17 the line. 02:04

18 MR. HEFAZI: Morning, your Honor. 02:04

19 JUDGE PETRAVICK: And the last one is 02:04
20 642. 02:04

21 MS. CARSON: So this is Rebecca Carson 02:04
22 again. We were planning on taking the lead 02:04
23 on speaking in any issues related to that 02:04
24 petition. I'm on the line, as well as my 02:05
25 colleague Nima. 02:05

1 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY vs. MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES

2 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Ok, Ms. Carson, but 02:05
3 you've not been admitted to these proceedings 02:05
4 right now. 02:05

5 MS. CARSON: Ok, so Mr. Hafezi. 02:05

6 JUDGE PETRAVICK: You are not 02:05
7 authorized to speak on those issues, but I 02:05
8 don't have a lot of questions for that 02:05
9 petitioner group in general. 02:05

10 MS. CARSON: Understood. 02:05

11 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Counsel for patent 02:05
12 owner, Mr. Carson, are you on the line? 02:05

13 MR. KASHA: Thank you, your Honor. 02:05
14 Yes, I am on the line, and I also have Kelly 02:05
15 Kasha should be on the line, and also Henning 02:05
16 Schmidt. 02:05

17 JUDGE PETRAVICK: All right. It looks 02:05
18 like we have the required parties are on the 02:05
19 line. 02:05

20 We called this meeting today to discuss 02:05
21 the papers that have been filed in these 02:05
22 proceedings and how they are deficient, 02:06
23 according to our role. 02:06

24 We're a little disappointed that some 02:06
25 of the papers came in in this fashion, that 02:06

1 HP ENTERPRISE COMPANY vs. MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES

2 we're going to discuss it today and it's 02:06

3 going to be put on the record in each of 02:06

4 these cases so that there will be no further 02:06

5 filings with these deficiencies. 02:06

6 Or just to make clear that we expect 02:06

7 all the rules to be followed according to the 02:06

8 formatting of papers or accounts and the 02:06

9 formatting of evidence. 02:06

10 In the 367, the 640 and the 642, we 02:06

11 still have the majority of trial to go, and 02:06

12 we don't want to see papers in this format 02:06

13 again. 02:06

14 So the first thing I'm going to talk 02:06

15 about, and I have a list, is the petitioner's 02:06

16 reply in the 768 case. So, according to our 02:06

17 rules the petitioner's reply is only supposed 02:07

18 to be 5,600 words. The word count 02:07

19 certification in the petitioner's reply says 02:07

20 the petitioner's reply is 5,590 words. So 02:07

21 only 10 words under the count. 02:07

22 However, when we reviewed the 02:07

23 petitioner's reply, we see quite a few odd 02:07

24 formatting choices for the citations, and I'm 02:07

25 going to list a few, and this is just a few 02:07

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.