

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.S,  
BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC., AND  
RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00640  
Patent 5,659,891

---

**MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF  
KORULA T. CHERIAN UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

***Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Pursuant to 37 U.S.C. § 42.10(C), and as authorized in the Board’s Notice of Filing Date in this proceeding (Paper 5, page 2) (“Notice”), Petitioners Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. (“Brocade”) and Ruckus Wireless, Inc. (“Ruckus”, collectively “Petitioners”), by and through their attorneys, respectfully requests that the Board admit Korula T. Cherian *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

## **II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES, AND PRECEDENT**

Section 42.10(c) states as follows:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

Further, the Notice requires that a motion for *pro hac vice* admission be filed in accordance with the “ORDER-AUTHORIZING MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10” in *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case No. IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (“Representative Order”). The Representative Order states that the motion must “[c]ontain a statement of facts

showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding” and “[b]e accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:”

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following statement of facts, as supported by the Declaration of Korula Cherian (Exhibit 1020) submitted herewith, Petitioners submit that a showing of good cause has been made and respectfully request the *pro hac vice* admission of Korula T. Cherian in this proceeding:

1. Lead counsel, Nima Hefazi, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 63,658).
2. Backup counsel, Ronald R. Wielkopolski (Reg. No. 69,359) and Don F. Livornese (Reg. No. 32,040), are registered practitioners.
3. Mr. Cherian is a partner at the law firm of RuyakCherian LLP. He is an experienced litigating attorney with over twenty-five years of experience in litigating patent cases. Declaration of Korula T. Cherian (“Declaration”, Ex. 1020) at ¶ 6.
4. Mr. Cherian is a member in good standing of the State Bar of California.
5. Mr. Cherian has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. *Id.* at ¶ 3.

6. No application filed by Mr. Cherian for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. *Id.* at ¶ 4.
7. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed against Mr. Cherian by any court or administrative body. *Id.* at ¶ 5.
8. Mr. Cherian has read and agrees to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R. *Id.* at ¶ 7.
9. Mr. Cherian understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.*
10. Mr. Cherian is concurrently seeking *pro hac vice* admission in the inter partes challenges to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,590,403 and 5,915,210. These proceedings have been designated IPR2017-00642 and IPR2017-00637, respectively. Otherwise, Mr. Cherian has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the Office in the last three (3) years. *Id.* at ¶ 8.
11. Mr. Cherian has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding, U.S. Patent No. 5,556,891

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.