

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before The Honorable Thomas B. Pender
Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of)

CERTAIN AUDIO PROCESSING HARDWARE)
AND SOFTWARE AND PRODUCTS)
CONTAINING THE SAME)
_____)

Investigation No. 337-TA-949

COMPLAINANT ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORP.'S
REPLY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Legal Standards.....	3
	A. Standards for Claim Construction.....	3
	B. Standards for Indefiniteness.....	4
III.	Argument	5
	A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	5
	B. Complainants’ Proposed Constructions of Disputed Terms.....	5
	1. U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345.....	5
	a. Magnitude of the Frequency Bin / Magnitude of the Corresponding Frequency Bin (claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 21, 22, 38, 39, 40, 44)	5
	b. Current Minimum Value (claims 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 39) / Future Minimum Value (claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 39, 40)	16
	c. Subtractor for Subtracting said Noise Elements / Subtracting said Noise Elements (claims 13, 38)	20
	2. U.S. Patent No. 6,377,637.....	23
	a. Canceled (claims 1, 5).....	23
	3. U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607.....	28
	a. Interference Signal (claims 1, 2, 25, 26).....	28
	b. Main Signal (claims 5, 8, 12, 29, 32, 36).....	42
	c. Transform Function (9, 33).....	47
	d. Beam Splitter for Beam-Splitting said Target into Band Limited Target Signals and Beam-Splitting said Interference Signal into Band-Limited Interference Signals (claim 1).....	51
	e. Beam-Splitting said Target Signal into a Plurality of Band Limited Target Signals; Beam Splitting said Interference Signal into Band-Limited Interference Signals (claim 25)	56
	f. Band-Limited . . . (Target, Interference) . . . Signals (claims 1, 25)	57
	g. Adaptively Filtering . . . Each Band-Limited Interference Signal from Each Corresponding Band-Limited Target Signal (claim 25).....	58
IV.	Conclusion	59

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation

'345 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345
'607 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607
'637 Patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,377,637
Asserted Patents	'345, '637, and '607 Patents, collectively
Compl.'s Br.	Complainant's Initial Claim Construction Brief
Resp'ts' & Intervenors' Br.	Respondents' and Intervenors' Initial Claim Construction Brief
Staff Br.	Staff's Initial Claim Construction Br.
Init. Douglas Decl.	Declaration of Scott C. Douglas, Ph.D. In Support of Complainant's Initial Claim Construction Brief
Douglas Decl.	Declaration of Scott C. Douglas, Ph.D. In Support of Complainant's Reply Claim Construction Brief
col. X:XX	Patent column and line citations

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
<i>Aventis Pharms. Inc. v. Amino Chems. Ltd.</i> , 715 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	4
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	3
<i>Comcast Cable Communications, LLC v. Sprint Communications Co. LP</i> , 38 F. Supp. 3d 589 (E. D. Pa. 2014).....	43, 48
<i>Edwards Lifesciences LLC v. Cook Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	52
<i>Elcommerce.com, Inc. v. SAP AG</i> , 745 F.3d 490 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	2
<i>Energizer Holdings, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 435 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	43, 48
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	13
<i>Every Penny Counts, Inc. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28106, 2014 WL 869092 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 5, 2014)	5
<i>Grober v. Maco Prods., Inc.</i> , 686 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	14
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	50
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (2014).....	5
<i>Leibel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996)	3
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Omega Eng’g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	53
<i>On-Line Techs., Inc. v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer GmbH</i> , 386 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	3, 53
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm’t Arena LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	1
<i>TMI Products, Inc. v. Rosen Entertainment Sys., L.P.</i> , 610 Fed. Appx. 968 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	27

Trover Grp., Inc. v. Dedicated Micros USA, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33876 at *28 (E.D. Tex. March 19, 2015).....5, 43, 48

Varco, L.P. v. Pason Sys. USA Corp., 436 F.3d 1368, 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2006)3

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576, 1583 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....7, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.