

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS INC.,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,049,607

Issued: April 11, 2000

Filed: Sept. 18, 1998

Inventors: Joseph Marash, *et al.*

Titles: INTERFERENCE CANCELING METHOD AND APPARATUS

IPR2017-00628

**DECLARATION OF BERTRAND HOCHWALD REGARDING
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607**

Petitioner Apple Inc

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Engagement	1
B.	Background and Qualifications	1
C.	Compensation and Prior Testimony	4
D.	Information Considered.....	4
II.	LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	7
A.	Anticipation	8
B.	Obviousness.....	9
III.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION ABOUT THE '607 PATENT.....	11
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '607 Patent	11
B.	The Prosecution History of The '607 Patent.....	11
C.	Technical Field	11
D.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	11
IV.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND.....	12
A.	Echo Cancellation.....	12
B.	Discrete Fourier Transform	16
C.	Microphone Arrays and Beams.....	16
V.	ANALYSIS OF THE '607 PATENT	17
A.	Overview of the '607 Patent.....	17
B.	The Meaning of Certain Terms Used in the '607 Patent's Claims.....	19
VI.	IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRIOR ART	20
VII.	ANALYSIS OF THE PRIOR ART AND '607 CLAIMS	21
A.	Chu	21
1.	Overview of Chu	21

- 2. Conventional Echo Cancellation: Comparison of Chu to the Independent Claims of the '607 Patent23
- B. Kellermann28
 - 1. Overview of Kellermann.....28
 - 2. A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Considered Chu and Kellermann Together.....31
 - 3. Conventional Echo Cancellation: Comparison of Chu and Kellermann to the Independent Claims of the '607 Patent.....35

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Engagement

1. I have been retained by counsel for Apple Inc. as an expert witness in the above-captioned proceeding. I have been asked to provide an opinion regarding the patentability of certain claims in U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607 (“the ’607 patent”). I have been asked to provide a discussion of the meaning of certain words and phrases in the claims of the ’607 patent, to provide a description of state of the art of the technology described in the ’607 patent, and to analyze various references that I understand are prior art to this patent.

B. Background and Qualifications

2. In 1995 I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Yale University. My PhD work involved the analysis and processing of electromagnetic and audio signals for the estimation of the location of electromagnetic and audio sources. In 1993 I received an M.A. in Statistics from Yale University. My primary area of study was Statistical Signal Processing. I received an M.S. in Electrical Engineering from Duke University in 1986, and a B.S. in Engineering from Swarthmore College in 1984.

3. I have twenty years of combined industry and academic experience in the research and design of systems for signal processing, and wireless and wireline communications.

4. My most recent appointment, starting in 2011, is with the University of Notre Dame, where I am currently a Freimann Chaired Professor of Electrical Engineering. I teach both graduate and undergraduate classes in Communication Systems and in Signals and Systems, where the emphasis is on the processing of analog and digital signals. My primary areas of research include communication systems, radio-frequency circuits, and signal design and processing. I advise graduate students who are attaining Ph.D. degrees through research and coursework.

5. Prior to Notre Dame, I worked from 2005-2010 at Beceem Communications, a cellular wireless communication chipset start-up company in Santa Clara, California, where I was Chief Scientist and Vice President of Systems Engineering. I was an integral part of the chipset development team. Beceem was bought by Broadcom Corporation in 2010 and no longer exists as a separate company.

6. Prior to Beceem, I worked from 1996-2005 at Lucent Bell Laboratories in New Jersey, where I was as a Distinguished Member of the Technical Staff doing research into communications systems and multiple-antenna systems. As a result of my research, I obtained many patents and wrote numerous publications across a variety of areas in communication theory, information theory, and circuit design.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.