

By: William D. Belanger
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)
belangerw@pepperlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2017-00627
U.S. Patent 6,363,345

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
Table of Authorities	ii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '345 PATENT	3
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
A. A Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art	8
B. Claim Construction	9
IV. THE '627 PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER <i>HELFF</i>	10
A. Summary of <i>Helff</i>	13
B. Independent Claim 38 Is Not Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by <i>Helff</i>	19
1. Petitioner's multiple mapping of <i>Helff</i> and conclusory analysis fails to meet the burden for institution of trial	20
2. Neither of <i>Helff</i> 's "thresholds" identified by Petitioner meet the recitations of claim 38	27
C. Independent Claim 1 Is Not Anticipated or Rendered Obvious by <i>Helff</i>	32
D. Dependent Claims 2 and 3	33
E. Dependent Claims 13 and 14	34
F. Dependent Claims 21 and 23	35
V. THE '627 PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>HELFF</i> IN COMBINATION WITH ANY OTHER REFERENCE	37
VI. THE '626 PETITION OR '627 PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED AS BEING REDUNDANT	37
VII. CONCLUSION.....	41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>Apple Inc. v. ZiiLabs Inc. Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00963, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2015).....	11, 31
<i>In re Arkley</i> , 455 F.2d 586 (CCPA 1972)	12, 20
<i>In re Bond</i> , 910 F.2d 831 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	12, 20
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	12
<i>Canon Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , IPR2014-00535, Paper 9 (PTAB Sep. 24, 2014)	38
<i>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. Autoalert, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00225, Paper 15 (PTAB Oct. 10, 2013).....	11, 27, 35
<i>Endo Pharmaceuticals v. Depomed</i> , IPR2014-00652, Paper 12 (PTAB Sep. 29, 2014)	12
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00324, Paper 19 (PTAB Nov. 21, 2013)	38
<i>LG Display, Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC.</i> , IPR2014-01094, Paper 10 (PTAB Jan. 13, 2015).....	27
<i>LG Display, Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC.</i> , IPR2014-01094, Paper 18 (PTAB April 9, 2015).....	27
<i>LG Electronics, Inc. v. ATI Technologies</i> , IPR2015-00327, Paper 13 (PTAB Jul. 10, 2015).....	38
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00436, Paper 17 (PTAB June 19, 2014)	38
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	12, 20

<i>Oracle v. Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00075, Paper 15 (PTAB June 13, 2013)	41
<i>Qualcomm Inc. v. ParkerVision, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01819, Paper 8 (PTAB Mar. 8, 2016)	10, 27
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	8
<i>TRW Automotive U.S., LLC v. Magna Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00949, Paper 7 (PTAB Sep. 17, 2015)	8
<i>Unilever, Inc. d/b/a Unilever v. Proctor & Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2014-00506, Paper 17 (PTAB Jul. 7, 2014)	38
<i>United States v. Lanzotti</i> , 205 F.3d 951, 957 (7th Cir. 2000)	12
<i>Vista Outdoor Operations v. Liberty Ammunition, LLC</i> , IPR2016-00539, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 3, 2016)	<i>passim</i>

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 312	10, 23, 27
35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 314	13, 38
35 U.S.C. § 325	2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.1	38
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	10, 23, 27
37 C.F.R. § 42.65	27
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	8
37 C.F.R. 42.104	10, 27

37 C.F.R. § 42.107 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108 2
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 8, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.