

By: William D. Belanger
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)
belangerw@pepperlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2017-00627
U.S. Patent 6,363,345

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70**

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Andrea Electronics Corporation (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests oral argument in IPR2017-00627 involving U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order dated July 24, 2017 (Paper 8), oral argument is currently scheduled for April 25, 2018.

Oral argument in the related proceedings IPR2017-00626 involving the ’345 Patent has also been scheduled for April 25, 2018. Patent Owner requests 45 minutes of total time in a single, consolidated hearing to present its arguments in the ’626 and the ’627 IPRs in view of the common issues to be addressed. Without waving consideration of any issue not requested, Patent Owner specifies the following issues to be addressed:

1. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 1-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 21, 23, 38-41, and 43 are anticipated by *Helf* (Ex. 1010);
2. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 1-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 21, 23, 38-41, and 43 are obvious over *Helf*;
3. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 6, 8, 9, 12, 25, 42, and 46 are obvious over *Helf* in view of *Martin* (Ex. 1006);
4. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 17-20 and 47 are obvious over *Helf* in view of *Boll* (Ex. 1009);
5. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 15 and 16 are

obvious over *Helf* in view of *Arslan* (Ex. 1011);

6. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claim 24 is obvious over *Helf* in view of *Boll* and *Arslan*;
7. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claim 22 is obvious over *Helf* in view of *Uesugi* (Ex. 1015);
8. Petitioner has not met its burden of proof that claims 44 and 45 are obvious over *Helf* in view of *Martin* and *Uesugi*;
9. Any issue raised by a Motion to Exclude Evidence filed by Petitioner;
and
10. Any other issue for which the Board requests argument.

At the oral argument, the Patent Owner requests use of audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including an Elmo, projector, and screen. In accordance with the Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48768, Patent Owner will contact the Board Trial Division paralegal to discuss this request.

Dated: March 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted,
By: /William D. Belanger/
William D. Belanger, Reg. No. 40,509
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)
Attorney for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 19, 2018, a true and accurate copy of this paper, PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served on the Petitioner at the following email address, pursuant to Petitioner's consent to e-mail service:

iprnotices@sidley.com

Dated: March 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted,
By: William D. Belanger/
William D. Belanger, Reg. No. 40,509
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)
Attorney for Patent Owner