

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2017-00627
U.S. Patent 6,363,345

**DECLARATION OF SCOTT C, DOUGLAS, PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

Patent Owner
Andrea Electronics Corp.
EXHIBIT 2002
IPR2017-00627

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
A.	Engagement.....	1
B.	Compensation and Prior Testimony.....	1
C.	Qualifications and Professional Experience.....	2
D.	Summary of My Study.....	5
II.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	7
A.	Claim Construction	7
B.	Anticipation	7
C.	Obviousness	8
III.	ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
IV.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	11
A.	Audio Signals	11
B.	Analyzing Audio Signals	15
C.	Adaptive Filtering	20
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '345 PATENT	20
VI.	Opinion On Claim Construction.....	22
VII.	Grounds Based on <u>Helf</u> Alone.....	24
A.	Summary of <u>Helf</u>	24
1.	<u>Helf</u> 's Stationary Estimator.....	26
2.	<u>Helf</u> 's Running Minimum Estimator.....	26
3.	<u>Helf</u> 's Use of the Two Noise Estimates.....	27
4.	<u>Helf</u> 's Noise Confidence Decisions.....	27
a)	<u>Helf</u> 's Global Speech Detector.....	28
b)	<u>Helf</u> 's Local Speech Detector.....	28
5.	Setting the Gain and Attenuating Noise.....	29
VIII.	Claims 4-7, 9-11, 39-41 and 43 Are Not Anticipated by <u>Helf</u>	30
A.	Neither B_k nor M_k are Minimum Values.....	30
B.	N_k is Not Derived "In Accordance With" M_k	35
C.	N_k is not Set to M_k Periodically.....	36
D.	M_k Is Not Set to a Current Magnitude Value.....	40
E.	M_k Is Not Set Periodically.....	41
F.	Method Claims.....	41

IX.	<u>Helf</u> and The Relied Upon Secondary References Do Not Render obvious claims 6, 8-9, 12, 25, 42 and 46 of the '345 patent	42
A.	Summary of the Asserted References	42
	1. <u>Martin</u>	42
	a) <u>Martin's</u> Techniques Allegedly Reduce Delay.....	46
	b) The Role of Subwindows in <u>Martin's</u> Algorithm.....	48
	c) <u>Martin's</u> SNR Computation.....	49
	2. <u>Boll</u>	50
	3. <u>Arslan</u>	51
	4. <u>Uesugi</u>	51
B.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <u>Helf</u> and <u>Martin</u> Does Not Render Obvious Claims 6, 8, 9, 12, 25, 42, or 46.....	51
	1. Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <u>Helf</u> and <u>Martin</u> Does Not Meet Each and Every Limitation of Claims 6, 8, or 9	52
	a) Claim 6.....	52
	b) Claim 8.....	55
	c) Claim 9.....	58
	2. Method Claims	59
	3. A Skilled Artisan Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine <u>Helf</u> And <u>Martin</u> As Alleged.....	59
C.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <u>Helf</u> and <u>Boll</u> Does Not Render Obvious Claims 17-20 and 47	64
D.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <u>Helf</u> and <u>Arslan</u> Does Not Render Obvious Claims 15-16	66
E.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <u>Helf</u> and <u>Uesugi</u> Does Not Render Obvious Claims 22	68

I, Scott C. Douglas, Ph.D., do hereby declare:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Engagement

1. I have been retained by counsel for Andrea Electronics Corporation as an expert witness to render opinions on certain issues concerning *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2017-00627 of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345 to Joseph Marash *et al.* (Ex. 1001, “the ’345 Patent”). This is my written report.

B. Compensation and Prior Testimony

2. I am being compensated at a standard rate of \$575 per hour for my study and preparation of this declaration. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this study. This compensation is not dependent on my opinions or testimony or the outcome of this matter.

3. I have previously testified as an expert in the following matters, which also involved the ’345 Patent: U.S. International Trade Commission Investigation Nos. 337-TA-949 and 337-TA-1026 on behalf of Andrea Electronics Corp. During the previous four years, I have additionally testified as an expert in the following matters: *Ericsson Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, E.D.Tx., 2:15-cv-288-JRG-RSP; and *Masimo v. Covidien*, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Interference No. 105875.

C. Qualifications and Professional Experience

4. I am currently a professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering at the Bobby B. Lyle School of Engineering at Southern Methodist University. I have been a professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering at Southern Methodist University since August 1998. I have taught, and continue to teach, courses to undergraduate and graduate level students in the areas of signal processing, including adaptive filtering and adaptive arrays. My research at Southern Methodist University is focused in the areas of acoustic signal processing, active noise control, adaptive filtering, array processing, multichannel blind deconvolution and source separation.

5. Prior to my position at Southern Methodist University, I was an assistant professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering at the University of Utah. I taught courses to undergraduate and graduate level students in the areas of signal processing, including digital signal processing, adaptive filtering, and active noise control. In addition to teaching, I also performed research in the areas of adaptive filtering, active noise control, multichannel blind deconvolution and source separation, and hardware implementations of adaptive signal processing systems.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.