

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS INC.,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,363,345

Issued: March 26, 2002

Filed: February 18, 1999

Inventors: Joseph Marash, *et al.*

Title: SYSTEM, METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CANCELLING NOISE

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2017-00626

---

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345**

**Table of Contents**

**I. Introduction.....1**

**II. Regulatory Information .....2**

**A. Certification that Petitioner May Contest the '345 Patent (§ 42.104(a)).....2**

**B. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b)) .....2**

**C. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review (§ 42.15(a)) .....4**

**III. The '345 Patent and Background Technology.....4**

**A. Background Technologies.....4**

    1. Audio Signal Processing .....4

    2. Spectral Subtraction and Boll .....7

**B. The '345 Patent Disclosure .....9**

**C. Effective Filing Date.....12**

**D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....12**

**IV. Claim Construction .....13**

**A. “magnitude”.....13**

**B. “frequency spectrum generator” / “generating the frequency spectrum” .....14**

**C. “threshold detector for setting a threshold... and for detecting”.15**

**D. “generating a noise canceling signal for canceling noise” .....15**

**V. Analysis of the Patentability of the '345 Patent.....17**

**A. Introduction .....17**

    1. Illustrative Claim.....17

    2. Index of Grounds .....17

**B. Hirsch Anticipates Claims 1-3, 12-13, 21, 23, and 38.....18**

    1. Publication .....18

    2. Overview of Hirsch.....19

    3. Hirsch Anticipates Claims 1-3, 12-13, 21, 23, and 38.....22

- a) Independent Claim 38.....22
- b) Independent Claim 1 .....26
- c) Claim 2.....28
- d) Claim 3.....29
- a) Claim 12.....29
- b) Claim 13.....30
- c) Claim 21.....31
- d) Claim 23.....31
- C. Hirsch and Martin Render Claims 4-11, 25, 39-42, and 46 Obvious.....31**
  - 1. Overview of Martin.....32
  - 2. A Skilled Person Would Have Modified the Hirsch Scheme to Incorporate Features Shown in Martin .....34
  - 3. Hirsch and Martin Render Claims 4-11, 25, 39-42, and 46 Obvious .....38
    - a) Claims 4-11 and 39-41 .....38
    - b) Claims 25 and 46 .....48
    - c) Claim 42.....49
- D. Hirsch and Boll Render Claims 13-14, 17-21, 23, and 47 Obvious49**
  - 1. Overview of Boll.....49
  - 2. A Skilled Person Would Have Considered Hirsch with Boll ...51
  - 3. Hirsch and Boll Render Claims 13-14, 17-21, 23, and 47 Obvious .....53
    - a) Claims 13-14.....53
    - b) Claims 17 and 47 .....54
    - c) Claim 18.....55
    - d) Claim 19.....56
    - e) Claim 20.....56
    - f) Claims 21 and 23 .....58
- E. Hirsch, Martin, and Boll Render Claim 43 Obvious .....59**

- 1. Combining Hirsch, Martin, and Boll .....59
- 2. Hirsch, Martin, and Boll Render Claim 43 Obvious .....59
- F. Hirsch, Boll, and Arslan Render Claims 15-16 and 24 Obvious ..60**
  - 1. Overview of Arslan (Ex. 1011).....60
  - 2. A Skilled Person Would Have Considered Hirsch, Boll, and Arslan Together.....61
  - 3. Hirsch, Boll, and Arslan Render Claims 15-16 and 24 Obvious62
    - a) Claim 15.....62
    - b) Claim 16.....63
    - c) Claim 24.....64
- G. Hirsch and Uesugi Render Claim 22 Obvious.....66**
  - 1. Overview of Uesugi (Ex. 1015).....66
  - 2. Hirsch and Uesugi Render Claim 22 Obvious.....67
- H. Hirsch, Martin, and Uesugi Render Claims 44-45 Obvious.....68**
  - 1. Claim 44 .....68
  - 2. Claim 45 .....69
- I. No Secondary Considerations Exist .....70**
- VI. Conclusion .....70**

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	13
<i>Ericsson, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , IPR2014-00527, Paper 41 (May 18, 2015) .....	19, 32, 50
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. Straight Path IP Grp., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00196, Paper 20 (May 15, 2015) .....	2
<i>Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. &amp; Eng’g, Inc.</i> , 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	13
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	passim
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	3
37 CFR § 42.100(b) .....	13

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.