

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS INC.,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,363,345  
Issued: March 26, 2002  
Filed: February 18, 1999

Inventors: Joseph Marash, *et al.*

Titles: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND APPARATUS FOR CANCELLING NOISE

IPR2017-00626

---

**DECLARATION OF BERTRAND HOCHWALD REGARDING U.S.  
PATENT NO. 6,363,345**

Petitioner Apple Inc

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A.	Engagement .....	1
B.	Background and Qualifications .....	1
C.	Compensation and Prior Testimony .....	4
D.	Information Considered.....	4
<b>II.</b>	<b>LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
A.	Anticipation .....	6
B.	Obviousness.....	7
<b>III.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND INFORMATION ABOUT THE '345 PATENT.....</b>	<b>9</b>
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '345 Patent .....	9
B.	The Prosecution History of The '345 Patent.....	9
C.	Technical Field .....	10
D.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	10
<b>IV.</b>	<b>TECHNICAL BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>11</b>
A.	Digital Audio Signals .....	11
B.	Signal Processing .....	14
C.	Filtering .....	22
D.	Spectral Subtraction .....	23
<b>V.</b>	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE '345 PATENT .....</b>	<b>26</b>
A.	Overview of the '345 Patent.....	26
1.	Boll Summary .....	27
2.	Improvements to Boll (1979) prior to Filing of '345 Patent.....	29
3.	The '345 Patent's Purported Improvements to Boll .....	31
B.	Construction of Terms Used in the '345 Patent Claims.....	34
1.	Background on the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.....	34

2. Broadest Reasonable Interpretation of Terms of the '345 Patent.....35

    a) “magnitude” (claims 1, 38) .....35

    b) “frequency spectrum generator” / ”generating the frequency spectrum” (claims 1, 38) .....36

    c) “threshold detector for setting a threshold... and for detecting” (claim 1).....36

    d) “generating a noise canceling signal for canceling noise”.....36

    e) “current minimum value” (claims 4, 6, 8, 10-11, 39) .....37

    f) “future minimum value” (claims 4-7, 9, 39-41).....38

**VI. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRIOR ART .....39**

**VII. ANALYSIS OF THE PRIOR ART AND '345 CLAIMS .....40**

    A. Hirsch .....40

        1. Overview of Hirsch.....40

        2. Hirsch Describes the Elements of Claims 1-3, 12, 13, 21, 23, and 38 of the '345 Patent .....44

    B. Martin .....48

        1. Overview of Martin.....48

        2. A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Considered Hirsch and Martin Together.....50

        3. Martin Describes the Elements of Claims 4-11 and 39-42 of the '345 Patent.....54

        4. Hirsch and Martin Render Claims 25 and 46 of the '345 Patent Obvious .....62

    C. Boll .....62

        1. Overview of Boll.....62

        2. A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Considered Hirsch and Boll Together.....63

        3. Hirsch and Boll Render Claims 13-14, 17-20, 43, and 47 of the '345 Patent Obvious.....63

- 4. Hirsch and Boll Render Claims 21 and 23 of the '345 Patent Obvious .....68
- D. Additional Combinations .....69
  - 1. Other References .....69
    - a) Overview of Arslan .....69
    - b) Overview of Uesugi.....72
  - 2. Hirsh, Martin, Boll .....73
    - a) A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Considered Hirsh, Martin, and Boll, Together .....73
    - b) Hirsch, Martin, and Boll Render Claim 43 Obvious.....73
  - 3. Hirsh, Boll, and Arslan .....75
    - a) A Person of Ordinary Skill Would Have Considered Hirsh, Boll, and Arslan Together .....75
    - b) Arslan, Boll, and Hirsch Render Claims 15-16 and 24 Obvious.....76
  - 4. Hirsch, Martin, and Uesugi .....79

**I. INTRODUCTION****A. Engagement**

1. I have been retained by counsel for Apple Inc. as an expert witness in the above-captioned proceeding. I have been asked to provide an opinion regarding the patentability of certain claims in U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345 (“the ’345 Patent”) (Exhibit 1001). I have been asked to provide a discussion of the meaning of certain words and phrases in the claims of the ’345 patent, to provide a description of state of the art of the technology described in the ’345 patent, and to analyze various references that I understand are prior art to these patents.

**B. Background and Qualifications**

2. In 1995 I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Yale University. My PhD work involved the analysis and processing of electromagnetic and audio signals for the estimation of the location of electromagnetic and audio sources. In 1993 I received an M.A. in Statistics from Yale University. My primary area of study was Statistical Signal Processing. I received an M.S. in Electrical Engineering from Duke University in 1986, and a B.S. in Engineering from Swarthmore College in 1984.

3. I have twenty years of combined industry and academic experience in the research and design of systems for signal processing, and wireless and wireline communications.

Petitioner Apple Inc

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.