Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are request	U.S. Patent and Trad	PTO/SB/30 (01-08 proved for use through 03/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 lemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
(Request	a collection of inform	ation unless it contains a valid OMB control number.				
for	Application Number	10/927,857				
Continued Examination (RCE)	Filing Date	August 27, 2004				
Address to:	First Named Inventor	Andrew Acheampong				
Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents	Art Unit	1654				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Examiner Name	Marcela M. Cordero Garcia				
	Attorney Docket Number	17618(AP)				
Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unents amendment(s). a. Previously submitted. If a final Office action is of considered as a submission even if this box is no i. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Briel ii. Other b. Enclosed I. Amendment/Reply ii. Affidavit(s)/ Declaration(s) Suspension of action on the above-identified app period ofmonths. (Period of suspension b. Other Suspension of action on the above-identified app period ofmonths. (Period of suspension b. Other Other Suspension of action on the above-identified app period ofmonths. (Period of suspension b. Other RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by a. Previous Account No. 01-0885 i. RCE fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(e) ii. Check in the amount of \$ C. Payment by credit card (Fom PTO-2038 enclosed) WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit of suspension on PTO-2038. Suspension	Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application. 1995, or to any design application. See instruction Sheet for RCEE (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2. 1. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and applicant does not while the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If amendments and considered as a submission even if this box is not checked. a. Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked. i. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on					
Name (PrintType) Joel B. German	Date	and the second se				
North C. (2005)(28)	Registratic	n No 48,676				
I heretry careful the opportunities of MAR	ING OR TRANSMISSION	······································				
I hereity carity that this correspondence is being deposited with the United State arbitrated to: Mod State RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box (460, Alexan Office on the date shown balow	of Postal Survice with sufficient postato	s as first class most in the internet				
Shuthing !	non, yn 22213-1450 er bresmin hun	andled to the U.S. Patient and Tractomork				
Name (Prime?) yoe)						
to process) an application. Confidentiality is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is rec	Uired to obtain or mining					
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is rec to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed explication form to t the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for redu Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.C. If you need assistance in completing the form	cing this burden, should be sent to the VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FE	pon the individual case. Any comments on Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and				

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Acheampong et al	Examiner: Marcela M. Cordero Garcia
Serial No.: 10/927,857	Group Art Unit: 1654
Filed: August 27, 2004	Confirmation No.: 2409
For: METHODS OF PROVIDING THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS USING CYCLOSPORIN COMPONENTS	Customer No.: 051957

Response

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Applicants request continued examination of the above-referenced application, thereby withdrawing their pending appeal. They submit with this paper a list of amended claims at page 2. Remarks follow at page 3.

CLAIMS

The following listing of claims will replace all previous versions of claims presented in this application:

1. - 40 (Canceled).

41. (New) A composition for treating an eye of a human or animal comprising cyclosporin A, castor oil, Pemulen, glycerin, and water, wherein the cyclosporin A is in an amount less than 0.05% by weight; the Pemulin is in amount equal to or greater than 1.0% by weight; the glycerin is in amount equal to or less than 1.0% by weight; and wherein the ratio of cyclosporin A to castor oil is less than 0.04.

DOCKE

REMARKS

The applicants have reviewed the prosecution history of the present application and co-pending application no. 11/897,177, and have found significant errors. The purpose of this request for continued examination is to bring those errors to the attention of the examiner, to file an IDS, and to submit new claims.

The Ding reference and obviousness

The present application describes two compositions at Example 1. Composition II is as follows:

	Composition II	
Cyclosporin A	0.05 %	
Castor Oil	1.25 %	
Polysorbate 80	1.00 %	
Pemulin®	0.05 %	
Glycerine	2.20 %	
NaOH	qs	
Purified water	qs	
рН	7.2-7.6	
Ratio cyclosporin to castor oil	0.04	

Present Application

Composition II fell within the scope of the claims that the applicants previously presented for prosecution.

In a final action dated July 2, 2007, the Office rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,474,979 (the "Ding reference). The Ding reference discloses at Examples 1B, 1D, and 1E the compositions shown on the following page.

	Example 1B	Example 1D	Example 1E
Cyclosporin A	0.40 %	0.05 %	0.05 %
Castor Oil	5.00 %	0.625 %	0.625 %
Polysorbate 80	1.00 %	1.00 %	1.00 %
Pemulin®	0.05 %	0.05 %	0.05 %
Glycerine	2.20 %	2.20 %	2.20 %
NaOH	qs	qs	qs
Purified water	qs	qs	qs
рН	7.2-7.6	7.2-7.6	7.2-7.6
Ratio cyclosporin to castor oil	0.08	0.08	0.08

Ding Reference

The only difference between Composition II of the present application, and Examples 1D and 1E of the Ding reference, is that Example 1D has more cyclosporin, and Example 1E has less castor oil. The only difference between Composition II and Example 1B, is that Example 1B has less cyclosporin and less castor oil, although both compositions have cyclosporin and castor oil in the same proportion. Stated differently, Composition II has the same amount of cyclosporin as Example 1E, the same amount of castor oil as Example 1D, and the same proportion of cyclosporin to castor oil as Example 1B. As shown on the following page, the compositions are otherwise the same.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.