

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FAMY CARE LIMITED
Petitioner

v.

ALLERGAN, INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 9,248,191 B2

Declaration of Michael A. Lemp, M.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS.....	1
III.	SCOPE OF ENGAGEMENT.....	3
IV.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	4
V.	THE '191 PATENT OVERVIEW.	7
	A. The '191 Patent Specification.	7
	B. The '191 Patent Claims.	8
	1. Independent Claims.	8
	2. Dependent Claims.	11
	C. '191 Patent File History.	14
VI.	LEGAL STANDARDS.....	17
	A. Obviousness – 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	17
VII.	STATE OF THE ART AS OF SEPTEMBER 15, 2003.	21
	A. CsA Was A Known Treatment for Dry Eye Disease.....	21
	B. CsA-in-Castor Oil Emulsions Were Known In The Art.	28
VIII.	DETAILED BASES FOR OPINIONS.	31
	A. Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	31
	B. Claim Construction.....	32
	1. “Substantially No Detectable Concentration Of Cyclosporin A.”	33
	2. “Therapeutically Effective” “Effective Amount,” “Lacrimal Gland Tearing,” “Overall Efficacy Substantially Equal To,” “As Much Therapeutic Efficacy As.”	34

3.	“Adverse events.”	36
4.	“Breaks Down.”	37
C.	Comparison Of The Claims Of The ’191 Patent To The Prior Art	38
1.	Ground 1: Obviousness In View Of Sall And Ding ’979	38
a.	Sall and Ding ’979 Teach an Emulsion with 0.05% CsA and 1.25% Castor Oil.	40
i.	0.05% CsA	46
ii.	1.25% Castor Oil	49
b.	Twice Daily Administration of the 0.05% CsA/1.25% Castor Oil Emulsion Taught by Sall and Ding ’979 Renders Claims 1-27 Obvious	52
i.	Preamble Elements I-III	60
ii.	Frequency Elements	61
iii.	Formulation Elements	62
iv.	Dry Eye Efficacy Elements	64
v.	Comparative Efficacy Elements	65
vi.	CsA Blood Level Elements	70
vii.	All Performance-Related Elements: Inherency	74
2.	Ground 2: Obviousness Of Claims 1-16, 20, 22 And 27 In View Of Sall, Ding ’979, And Acheampong.	75
3.	Ground 3: Obviousness Of Claims 17-20 In View Of Sall, Ding ’979, And Glonek.	78
4.	Ground 4: Obviousness Of Claim 20 In View Of Sall, Ding ’979, Glonek, And Acheampong.	79

D.	Secondary Considerations Of Nonobviousness.	80
1.	No Unexpected Results.....	86
a.	Schiffman Exhibit B.	88
b.	Schiffman Exhibit C/Attar Exhibit B.	92
c.	Schiffman Exhibit D.....	95
d.	Schiffman Exhibits E and F/Attar Exhibits D and E.	100
IX.	CONCLUDING STATEMENTS.....	104

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,248,191 B2 to Acheampong et al., filed March 21, 2014 (“the ’191 patent”)
1002	Declaration of Peter Kador, Ph.D.
1003	Intentionally Blank
1004	File history of U.S. Patent No. 9,248,191 B2 to Acheampong et al., filed March 21, 2014 (“’191 patent FH”)
1005	File history of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/927,857 to Acheampong et al., filed August 27, 2004 (“’857 application FH”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,474,979 to Ding et al., filed May 17, 1994 (“Ding ’979”)
1007	K. Sall et al., <i>Two Multicenter, Randomized Studies of the Efficacy and Safety of Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion in Moderate to Severe Dry Eye Disease</i> , 107 OPTHALMOLOGY 631 (2000) (“Sall”)
1008	A. Acheampong et al., <i>Cyclosporine Distribution into the Conjunctiva, Cornea, Lacrimal Gland, and Systemic Blood Following Topical Dosing of Cyclosporine to Rabbit, Dog, and Human Eyes</i> , in 2 LACRIMAL GLAND, TEAR FILM, & DRY EYE SYNDROMES 1001 (David A. Sullivan et al. eds., 1998) (“Acheampong”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,578,586 to Glonek et al., filed February 4, 1994 (“Glonek”)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,981,607 to Ding et al., filed January 20, 1998 (“Ding ’607”)
1011	R. Kaswan, <i>Intraocular Penetration of Topically Applied Cyclosporine</i> , 20 TRANSPL. PROC. 650 (1988) (“Kaswan”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.