1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION
3 4 5	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, and EMCORE CORPORATION,  Plaintiffs, No. 12-cv-11758
6 7 8 9	NICHIA CORPORATION, and NICHIA AMERICA CORPORATION,  Defendants.
10 11 12 13 14	JURY TRIAL - VOLUME VII OF XII  PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE GERSHWIN A. DRAIN  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse  231 West Lafayette Boulevard  Detroit, Michigan  Wednesday, April 15, 2015
15	APPEARANCES:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For the Plaintiffs:  MR. A. MICHAEL PALIZZI  MR. MICHAEL C. SIMONI  Miller, Canfield, Paddock and  Stone, PLC  150 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 2500  Detroit, Michigan 48226  (313) 486-7645  MR. RAYMOND N. NIMROD  MR. MATTHEW A. TRAUPMAN  MS. ANASTASIA M. FERNANDS  Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,  LLP  51 Madison Avenue, 29th Floor
24 25	New York, New York 10010 (212) 849-7412



1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Defendants:	MR. STEVEN J. RIZZI MR. RAMY E. HANNA
3		MR. RYAN SCHMID Foley and Lardner, LLP
4		90 Park Avenue, 37th Floor New York, New York 10016
5		(212) 682-7474
6		MS. LISA S. MANKOFSKY MR. MICHAEL D. KAMINSKI
7		Foley & Lardner, LLP 3000 K Street N. W, Suite 600
8		Washington, DC 20007 (202) 672-5300
9		MR. JOHN R. TRENTACOSTA
10		Foley & Lardner 500 Woodward Avenue
11		Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 234-2800
12		(313) 234 2000
13		
14		
15	Reported by:	Merilyn J. Jones, RPR, CSR Official Federal Court Reporter
16		merilyn_jones@mied.uscourts.gov
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	WITNESSES: PLAINTIFF None	PAGE			
3	None				
4	WITNESSES: DEFENDANT				
5	YASANOBU NOGUCHI  Redirect examination by Mr. Kaminski	22			
6	Recross-examination by Mr. Nimrod	25			
7	E. FRED SCHUBERT  Direct examination by Mr. Rizzi	30			
8					
9					
.0	EXHIBITS: Defendant's Exhibit D0401	Received 25			
1	Defendant's Exhibit D171 122				
2	Defendant's Exhibit D359	142			
3	Defendant's Exhibit D364	142			
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
0					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					



- 1 | infringement and validity analysis that they performed?
- 2 A. No. I performed my own independent analysis.
- 3 Q. What do you mean by independent?
- 4 | A. Independent means it's based on my knowledge of the field,
- 5 on the facts, on the patents, on the products, and not
- 6 | influenced by Nichia or the lawyers.
- 7 | Q. And is your compensation affected by the opinions you
- 8 render?
- 9 A. No, it's not affected by my opinions.
- 10 Q. Now, let me ask you just to summarize. Have you, in fact,
- 11 formed an opinion on whether the claims of the two Nichia
- 12 patents in this case are infringed?
- 13 A. Yes, I have formed an opinion.
- 14 Q. What is your opinion?
- 15 A. My opinion is that a number of claims are being infringed
- 16 | by Everlight LED products.
- 17 Q. Have you formed an opinion as to whether the two patents
- 18 | at issue are valid?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And your opinion?
- 21 A. I believe that both patents are valid.
- 22 Q. All right. You're aware that in connection with forming
- 23 | your opinions in this case you need to consider what we call
- 24 | the hypothetical level of ordinary skill in the art?
- 25 A. Yes.



- 1 Q. Have you, in fact, provided an opinion in this case on the
- 2 | level of skill in the art?
- 3 A. Yes. What is shown here is my opinion of a person with
- 4 ordinary skill in the art.
- 5 Q. Would you like a pointer?
- 6 Can you please walk through your opinion for the
- 7 jury, please?
- 8 A. Yes. It is shown here.
- And so we either have a Ph.D. degree, a Master
- 10 degree, or a Bachelor degree. The higher degree requires less
- 11 experience in industry.
- 12 So if we have, for example, a person with a
- 13 Bachelor degree, in addition to the degree, about ten years of
- 14 | practical experience in industry is required.
- 15 If we have a Master degree, about five years of
- 16 additional experience would be required.
- And if we have a person with a Ph.D. degree, about
- 18 three additional years in industry would be required to make
- 19 that person a person of ordinary skill.
- 20 Q. And how did you come up with your opinion, these three
- 21 | different ways to satisfy that level of skill in the art?
- 22 A. Well, I have been working in industry for 10 years and I
- 23 | have been working with LEDs, and it is my estimate that a
- 24 | person who is just entering industry is not yet a person of
- 25 ordinary skill. There is a certain time required until the

