1	The state of the s			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN			
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION			
3	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, and EMCORE CORPORATION,			
4				
5	Plaintiffs, No. 12-cv-11758			
	NO. 12-CV-11/58			
6				
7	NICHIA CORPORATION, and NICHIA AMERICA CORPORATION,			
8				
9	Defendants. /			
10	TUDY MDIAI MOLIME VII OF VII			
11	JURY TRIAL - VOLUME XII OF XII			
12	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE GERSHWIN A. DRAIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
14	Theodore Levin United States Courthouse			
13	231 West Lafayette Boulevard			
14	Detroit, Michigan Wednesday, April 22, 2015			
15	APPEARANCES:			
16				
17	For the Plaintiffs: MR. A. MICHAEL PALIZZI MR. MICHAEL C. SIMONI			
1.0	Miller, Canfield, Paddock and			
18	Stone, PLC 150 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 2500			
19	Detroit, Michigan 48226			
20	(313) 486-7645			
21	MR. RAYMOND N. NIMROD			
21	MR. MATTHEW A. TRAUPMAN Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,			
22	LLP			
23	51 Madison Avenue, 29th Floor New York, New York 10010			
24	(212) 849-7412			



1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Defendants:	MR. STEVEN J. RIZZI MR. RAMY E. HANNA
3		MR. RYAN SCHMID
4		Foley and Lardner, LLP 90 Park Avenue, 37th Floor
5		New York, New York 10016 (212) 682-7474
6		MS. LISA S. MANKOFSKY MR. MICHAEL KAMINSKI
7		MS. DEBRA LANGE
8		Foley & Lardner, LLP 3000 K Street N. W, Suite 600
9		Washington, DC 20007 (202) 672-5300
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	Reported by:	Merilyn J. Jones, RPR, CSR Official Federal Court Reporter
16		merilyn_jones@mied.uscourts.gov
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
WITNESSES: PLAINTIF	<u>F</u>	PAGE
None		
WITNESSES: DEFENDAN	<u>T</u>	
None		
OTHER MATERIAL IN TR	ANSCRIPT:	
Jury Verdict		5
EXHIBITS:	Identified	Received
None		



```
1
                 Detroit, Michigan
 2
                 Wednesday, April 22, 2015 - 4:00 p.m.
 3
                 THE CLERK: All rise. The United States District
     Court for the Eastern District of Michigan is now in session.
 4
 5
     Honorable Gershwin A. Drain presiding.
 6
                 Calling Civil Action, Everlight Electronics
 7
     Company versus Nichia Corporation. Number 12-11758.
 8
                 You may be seated. Please put your appearance on
 9
     the record.
10
                 MR. NIMROD: Good afternoon, your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12
                 MR. NIMROD: Ray Nimrod from Quinn Emanuel for
13
     Everlight. With me is Matt Traupman, Mike Simoni and Mike
14
     Palizzi.
15
                 MR. RIZZI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Steven
16
     Rizzi, Foley and Lardner, for Nichia. With me is Lisa
17
     Mankofsky, Debra Lange, Tamy Hanna, Ryan Schmid and Mike
18
     Kaminski, and the corporate representative, Mr. Hironori
19
     Takaqi, is here.
20
                              Like I mentioned, the jury wrote a
                 THE COURT:
21
     note saying a verdict has been reached, so I'm going to have
2.2
     them come in and deliver it.
2.3
                 And I'll poll them also.
24
                 THE CLERK: All rise.
            (At 4:01 p.m. jury present)
```



```
1
                 THE CLERK: You may be seated.
                 THE COURT: All right, Mr. -- is it "Mong"?
 2
 3
                 JUROR MONG: "Mong", yes.
                 THE COURT: -- Mong. Okay, we've had a little
 4
 5
     back and forth, you've asked some questions and asked for
 6
     items; we've tried to answer those as best we could. And the
 7
     last note that I got says that you have reached a verdict; is
 8
     that correct?
 9
                 JUROR MONG: That's correct, yes.
10
                 THE COURT: Can you take the verdict form out and
11
     read your answers to us?
12
                 JUROR MONG: Sure.
13
                 Just the answers?
14
                 THE COURT: Well, no, let's read the questions,
15
     too.
16
                 JUROR MONG: Number One: Has Everlight proven by
17
     clear and convincing evidence that Claims 2 and 3 of the '925
18
     patent are invalid due to anticipation?
19
                 We find on claim, with regard to Claim 2 the
20
     answer would be, "No".
21
                 And with Claim 3 the answer is, "No".
2.2
                 THE COURT: All right.
23
                 JUROR MONG: Number Two: Has Everlight proven by
24
     clear and convincing evidence that Claims 2, 3 and 5 of the
     '925 patent are invalid due to obviousness?
```



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

