IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Pinter

U.S. Pat. No.: 5,894,506 Attorney Docket No.: 19473-0348IP4

Issue Date: Apr. 13, 1999 Appl. Serial No.: 08/708,696 Filing Date: Sep. 5, 1996

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR GENERATING AND

COMMUNICATING MESSAGES BETWEEN SUBSCRIBERS

TO AN ELECTRONIC MESSAGING NETWORK

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,894,506 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8	1
	A. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3
	A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	
V.	SUMMARY OF THE '506 PATENT	7
VI.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3)	8
VII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '506 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	12
	A. Ground 1: Claims 8, 10-13 and 19-21 are Obvious under § 103 over Will in view of Shimura	12
	B. Ground 2: Claims 9 and 14 are Obvious under § 103 over Will in view of Shimura and Cannon	82
VIII.	CONCLUSION	88



EXHIBITS

GOOGLE1001	U.S. Pat. No. 5,894,506 to Pinter ("the '506 patent")
GOOGLE1002	Prosecution History of the '506 patent (Serial No. 08/708,696)
GOOGLE1003	Declaration of Peter Rysavy
GOOGLE1004	RESERVED
GOOGLE1005	RESERVED
GOOGLE1006	RESERVED
GOOGLE1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,588,009 to Will ("Will")
GOOGLE1008	Claim Construction Order in <i>Mobile Telecommunications</i> Technologies, LLC, v. Google, Inc., Case No. 2:16-cv-00002 (E.D. Tex)
GOOGLE1009	Complaint in <i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC</i> , v. <i>Google, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:15-cv-2123 (E.D. Tex)
GOOGLE1010	Corrected complaint in <i>Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC, v. Google, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-00002 (E.D. Tex)
GOOGLE1011	MTEL's Opening Claim Construction Brief in <i>Mobile Tele-communications Technologies, LLC, v. Google, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-0002 (E.D. Tex)
GOOGLE1012	EP Patent Application No. 89108853 to Shimura et al. ("Shimura")



GOOGLE1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,850,594 to Cannon et al. ("Cannon")
GOOGLE1014	April 2014 Deposition of Mr. Gregory Pinter in <i>Mobile Tele-communications Technologies, LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corporation</i> , Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-832-JRG-RSP, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of Texas (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2000)
GOOGLE1015	Sheth Memo dated February 17, 1995 (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2001)
GOOGLE1016	Huller Memo dated February 23, 1995 (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2002)
GOOGLE1017	1995 Functional Requirements dated March 13, 1995 (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2003)
GOOGLE1018	The WSJ article dated September 19, 1995 (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2004)
GOOGLE1019	USA Today article dated September 19, 1995 (IPR2014-01033 & 01034 Exhibit 2005)



I. INTRODUCTION

Google Inc. ("Petitioner") petitions for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of claims 8-14 and 19-21 of U.S. Patent 5,894,506 ("the '506 patent"). The '506 patent describes a communications system for transmitting "canned messages" between paging devices in message code form. GOOGLE1001, 1:50-67.

The claimed system, however, was not new by September 1996. Indeed, as evidenced by the publications here, transmission message codes representing canned messages between communication devices was predictable and routine in similar prior art systems. GOOGLE1007 27:56-67; 13:8-13; 21:10-12; GOOGLE1012, 1:34-35 ("the message data is coded as an alpha-numeric code"); 5:34-57; 7:12-18; GOOGLE1013, 8:5-9.

Will, Shimura, and Cannon were not considered during prosecution and disclose all of the elements of the claimed system. Petitioner therefore requests IPR of the challenged claims.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8

A. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

Google Inc. is the Petitioner and the real party-in-interest. No other party had access to the Petition, and no other party had any control over, or contributed to any funding of, the preparation or filing of the present Petition.

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

