## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., Petitioner

v.

MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00532 Patent 5,894,506

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), Google Inc. ("Google" or "Petitioner") and Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC ("Patent Owner") (jointly, the "Parties") jointly request termination of IPR2017-00532, which is directed to U.S. Patent No. 5,894,506 ("the '506 Patent").

On December 28, 2016, Petitioner filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("Petition") before the United States Patent Trial and Appeal Board. Patent Owner's preliminary response was filed on April 11, 2017. The United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") has not yet issued a Decision to Institute *inter partes* review of the '506 Patent. The Parties have settled their dispute, and have reached agreement to terminate this *inter partes* review.

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement. *See, e.g.*, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed.Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The Board authorized the filing of the instant Motion on April 18, 2017. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 provides guidance as to the content of a motion to terminate. There, the Board indicates that a joint motion, such as this one, should (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue, and the status of each; and (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 at 2. This Motion satisfies each of the above requirements.

Indeed, the Parties have entered into a Settlement Agreement, and a true copy of the same is attached hereto as Exhibit 2007, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).<sup>1</sup> The Parties desire that the Settlement Agreement (Exhibit 2007) be maintained as business confidential information under 37 C.F.R. §42.74(c) and a separate joint request to that effect is being filed on even date herewith.

#### 1. Reasons Why Termination is Appropriate.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), "[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed."

Because the parties are jointly requesting termination and the Office has not yet "decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed," termination of the *inter partes* review in its entirety is warranted. *See, e.g.*, *Microsoft Corp. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC*, IPR2015-01576, Paper No. 9, p. 2 (P.T.A.B. January 19, 2017). Within the context of Section 317(a), a decision on the merits must be something beyond a decision

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Settlement Agreement is being filed electronically via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) as "Parties and Board Only."

instituting trial. Otherwise the quoted phrase would be rendered meaningless because every "*inter partes* review instituted under this chapter" originates with a decision instituting trial. Here, no decision on the merits has been made, and "this proceeding is in its initial stages." *Microsoft Corp. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC*, IPR2015-01581, Paper No. 9, p. 2 (P.T.A.B. January 19,

2017). Moreover, Petitioner, Google, does not oppose Patent Owner in seeking termination of this *inter partes* review proceeding altogether. Accordingly, the Parties jointly request that the USPTO terminate this *inter partes* review in its entirety for reasons similar to those identified by the Board in the above-cited cases (IPR2015-01576 at Paper No. 9; IPR2015-01581 at Paper No. 9).

# 2. All parties in any pending related litigation involving the patents at issue, and current status of each such related litigation.

Petitioner is involved in a pending related litigation involving the '506 Patent. However, as indicated in the table below, that litigation is also resolved by the parties settlement agreement.

Case Name	Case No.	Court	Defendants	Status
Mobile Telecommunications Technologies LLC v. Google Inc.	2.16-cv- 00002- JRG-RSP	EDTX Marshall	Google Inc.	Settled

# 3. Related proceedings currently before the Office and Status.

Aside from this inter partes review proceeding, the '506 Patent is also the

subject of the following proceeding(s) currently before the Office:

Related Proceeding	<b>Requestor/Petitioner</b>	Status	
IPR2017-00533	Google Inc.	Motion to terminate filed concurrently	
IPR2017-00534	Google Inc.	Motion to terminate filed concurrently	
IPR2017-00535	Google Inc.	Motion to terminate filed concurrently	

Accordingly, as discussed above, the Parties jointly request termination of IPR2017-00532, which is directed to the '506 Patent.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.