No. 2014-1110

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

IN RE PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA PATENT LITIGATION

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION, FUJIFILM NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION (formerly known as FUJIFILM USA, INC.), HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, JVC COMPANY OF AMERICA, NIKON CORPORATION, NIKON, INC., OLYMPUS CORP., OLYMPUS IMAGING AMERICA INC., PANASONIC CORPORATION (formerly known as MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.), PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, SAMSUNG OPTO-ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG TECHWIN CO., AND VICTOR COMPANY OF JAPAN, LTD.,

Defendant-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Case No. 1:07-mc-00493-RMC, United States District Judge Rosemary M. Collyer

BRIEF FOR PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

February 20, 2014

DOCKE

Alan M. Fisch R. William Sigler John T. Battaglia Thomas C. Chen FISCH HOFFMAN SIGLER LLP 5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20015 (202) 362-3500

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG. certifies:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

Not Applicable

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the parties or amicus represented by me are:

None

4. The names of all law firms and partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

Fisch Hoffman Sigler LLP: Alan M. Fisch; Roy William Sigler; John T. Battaglia, Thomas C. Chen

Husch Blackwell LLP: Jerold B. Schnayer; Walter J. Kawula, Jr.; William Francis Demarest, Jr.; James P. White; John Aron Carnahan; Joseph E. Cwik; Raymond R. Ricordati, III; Daniel R. Cherry; Yasmin S. Schnayer

Stein Mitchell & Muse, LLP: Robert F. Muse

Date: February 20, 2014

DOCKE

<u>/s/ John T. Battaglia</u> John T. Battaglia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST i							
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES vii							
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES xiii							
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT							
INTRODUCTION							
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES							
STATEMENT OF THE CASE7							
I. 7	THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT7						
P	4.	Background of the Invention: Interface Devices and Drivers					
		1.	Unde	Art Interface Devices Suffered esirable Tradeoffs Between Flexibility as Speed			
			a.	Prior Art Interface Devices8			
			b.	Prior Art Interface Devices Could Be Used in Specific Configurations for Specific Applications			
		2.	The Claimed Interface Device Simultaneously Achieves Both Flexibility and Speed By Relying on Host Drivers11				
			a.	The Claimed Interface Device12			
			b.	The Claimed Interface Device Can Be Used in Specific Configurations for Specific Applications			
		3.		Patents Describe Various Embodiments e Claimed Invention to Demonstrate Its			

		Overall Superiority— <i>Independent</i> of Any Specific Structure or Use	14	
	В.	The Independent Claims Broadly Define the Interface Device Without Specifying Any Particular Structure or Use	15	
	C.	The Specification Discloses Various Ways in Which the Interface Device and Its Components May Be "Attached"	20	
	D.	The Specification Discloses Various "Customary" Input/Output Devices That May Be Simulated By the Claimed Interface Device	21	
	E.	The File History Confirms Patentability Did Not Turn on Any Specific Structural Configurations, Uses, or Types of Devices	22	
II	. THI	E ACCUSED PRODUCTS	22	
II	I. THI	E DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS	23	
	A.	Papst's History of Innovation and Licensing	23	
	В.	Litigation Began After Unsuccessful Licensing Negotiations	24	
	C.	The District Court Narrowly Construed Several Claim Limitations and Granted Summary Judgment	25	
SUMMA	ARY OF	ARGUMENT	27	
I.	FUN	IE DISTRICT COURT REPEATED TWO INDAMENTAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION RORS		
	A.	The District Court Wrongly Construed the Claimed "Interface Device" as a Separate, Stand- Alone Structure	27	

	B.	The Wor Cont	27		
STANDARD OF REVIEW					
ARGUME	NT			29	
I.	"IN]	ΓERFA	RICT COURT ERRED IN CONSTRUING ACE DEVICE" TO REQUIRE A STAND- TRUCTURE	29	
	А.		Papst's Construction Is Correct Based on the Intrinsic Record and This Court's Precedent		
		1.	The Claims Do Not Include Language Limiting the "Interface Device" to a Separate Stand-Alone Structure	30	
		2.	Nothing in the Specification Limits the Claimed "Interface Device" to a Separate, Stand-Alone Structure	33	
		3.	This Court Has Repeatedly Held That Separate Claim Elements Do <i>Not</i> Require Separate, Stand-Alone Structures	35	
B.		-	The District Court's Construction of "Interface Device" Relied on Additional Errors		
		1.	The District Court Further Read "Attached" as Requiring the "Interface Device" <i>Not</i> Have "Permanent" Attachments		
		2.	The District Court Improperly Relied on the Specification's Embodiments and Figures to Narrow the Claims	39	
		3.	The District Court Misread Statements Regarding "Desirable" Flexibility to Narrow the Claims	42	

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.