

Filed on behalf of:

Edwards Lifesciences Corporation

By: Craig S. Summers

Brenton R. Babcock

Christy G. Lea

Cheryl T. Burgess

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404

Fax: (949) 760-9502

Email: BoxEdwards@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION,

Petitioner

v.

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.,

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2017-00444

Patent 6,915,560

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,915,560

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE PRESENTED.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION TO THE STATE OF THE ART	9
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	14
A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	14
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	14
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	15
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	15
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	15
V. U.S. PATENT NO. 6,915,560	16
A. Specification and Claims.....	16
B. Prosecution History	23
1. October 22, 2003 Office Action and Response	23
2. April 22, 2004 Office Action and Response.....	27
3. October 19, 2004 Office Action and Response	29
VI. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)	30
VII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	30
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND RELATED ISSUES	31
A. “A stent crimper comprising”	31
B. “Dies” and “blades”.....	32

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
C. “Stationary end-walls” and “stationary plates”	34
IX. THE PRIOR ART	35
A. Analogous Art	35
1. Field Of Endeavor	35
2. Pertinent To The Particular Problem	36
B. Applicant’s Admitted Prior Art.....	37
C. Yasumi.....	38
D. Morales	47
X. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR CANCELLATION (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a) AND 42.104(b)).....	49
A. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-10, 14, 15, 18, 23, 25, 27, 28, 31, 33, 37, and 40 Are Invalid As Obvious Over Yasumi In View Of The AAPA	49
1. Claim 1	49
2. Claim 10	58
3. Claim 18	67
4. Claim 27	71
5. Claim 37	74
6. Claim 40	77
7. Claims 2 and 28.....	79

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd)

	Page No.
8. Claims 6 and 15.....	80
9. Claims 8, 25, and 33	80
10. Claims 9, 14, 23, and 31	81
11. Reason, Basis, or Motivation to Combine	81
B. Ground 2: Claims 11, 17, 19, 26, 34, 35, and 39 Are Obvious Over Yasumi In View Of the AAPA and Morales.....	85
1. Claim 39	85
2. Claims 11, 19, and 35	92
3. Claims 17, 26, and 34	93
4. Reason, Basis, or Motivation to Combine	94
XI. THIS PETITION IS NOT REDUNDANT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(D).....	95
XII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS CANNOT OVERCOME THE STRONG EVIDENCE OF OBVIOUSNESS.....	97
XIII. CONCLUSION.....	97

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page No(s).
<i>Allied Erecting & Dismantling Co. v. Genesis Attachments, LLC</i> , No. 2015-1533, slip op. (Fed. Cir. June 15, 2016)	80
<i>Boston Scientific Corp. and Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp.</i> , Civil Action No. 8:16-cv-0730 (C.D. Cal.)	15
<i>In re Casey</i> , 370 F.2d 576 (C.C.P.A. 1967)	50
<i>Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	31, 32
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	35
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>aff'd</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	31
<i>Innovention Toys, LLC v. MGA Entm't, Inc.</i> , 637 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	35
<i>Intri-Plex Tech., Inc. v. Mmi Holdings Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd.</i> , IPR2014-00309	37
<i>Leapfrog Enters., Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.</i> , 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	96
<i>Newell Cos., Inc. v. Kenney Mfg. Co.</i> , 864 F.2d 757 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	96

OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 102	38, 47, 96
35 U.S.C. § 103	30, 80

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.