DOCKET NO.: 1033300-00287

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT: 7,916,781

INVENTORS: JIN HUI, AAMOD KHANDEKAR, ROBERT J. McELIECE

FILED: JUNE 30, 2008

ISSUED: MARCH 29, 2011

TITLE: SERIAL CONCATENATION OF INTERLEAVED

CONVOLUTIONAL CODES FORMING TURBO-LIKE

CODES

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc. Petitioner

V.

California Institute of Technology Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00423

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,916,781 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Ma	ndatory Notices	1
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
	B.	Related Matters	1
	C.	Counsel	1
	D.	Service Information	2
II.	Cer	tification of Grounds for Standing	2
Ш	. Ove	erview of Challenge and Relief Requested	2
	A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.	2
	B.	Relief Requested	3
IV	. Ove	erview of the Technology	4
	A.	Error-Correcting Codes in General	4
	B.	Coding Rate	7
	C.	Performance of Error-Correcting Codes	7
	D.	LDPC Codes, Turbocodes, and Repeat-Accumulate Codes	8
	E.	Mathematical Representations of Error-Correcting Codes	12
	F.	Irregularity	17
V.	The	e '781 Patent	19
	A.	Claims	19
	B.	Summary of the Specification	20
	C.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	22
	D.	Summary of the Prosecution History	22
VI	. Cla	im Construction	23
	A.	"codeword" (all claims)	23
VI	I.	Overview of Primary Prior Art References	24
	A.	Ping	24
	B.	MacKay	29
	C.	Coombes	30
VI	II.	Grounds for Challenge	31



U.S. Patent 7,916,781 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

A.	Ground 1: Claims 13-15 and 17-22 Are Obvious Over Ping in View of	
	MacKay	31
В.	Ground 2: Claim 16 Is Obvious Over Ping in View of MacKay, and	
	Further in View of Coombes	48
IX. Cor	nclusion	51



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Apple Inc. ("Apple" or "Petitioner") and Broadcom Corp. are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

U.S. Pat. No. 7,916,781 (the "'781 patent," Ex. 1101) is assigned to the California Institute of Technology ("Caltech" or "Patent Owner.") On May 26, 2016, Caltech sued Apple, Broadcom Corp., and Avago Technologies, Ltd. in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, claiming that Apple products compliant with the 802.11n and 802.11ac wireless communication standards infringe the '781 patent (and three others). On August 15, 2016, Caltech amended its complaint to assert patent infringement against Cypress Semiconductor Corp. See Amended Complaint, California Institute of Technology v. Broadcom, Ltd. et al. (Case 2:16-cv-03714), Docket No. 36. The '781 patent was also asserted by Caltech against Hughes Communications Inc. in California Institute of Technology v. Hughes Communs., Inc (Case 2:13-cv-07245), and its claims were challenged in one petition for *inter partes* review, IPR2015-00059. Patents in the priority chain of the '781 patent were challenged in IPR2015-00068, IPR 2015-00067, IPR2015-00060, IPR2015-00061, and IPR-2015-00081.

C. <u>Counsel</u>



U.S. Patent 7,916,781 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Lead Counsel: Richard Goldenberg (Registration No. 38,895)

Backup Counsel: Brian M. Seeve (Registration No. 71,721)

D. Service Information

E-mail: richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com

Post and Hand Delivery: WilmerHale, 60 State St., Boston MA 02109

Telephone: 617-526-6548

II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2), Petitioner challenges claims 13-22 of the '781 Patent ("the challenged claims") and requests that each challenged claim be canceled.¹

A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications

Petitioner relies upon the patents and printed publications listed in the Table of Exhibits, including:

¹ Petitioner has filed a second petition challenging claims 3-12 and 19-21 of the '781 patent, which is also dated December 12, 2016.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

