### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC; Cox Communications, Inc.; Time Warner Cable Enterprises LLC; Verizon Services Corp. and ARRIS Group, Inc.

Petitioner

v.

TQ Delta, LLC

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 9,014,243



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices1					
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest				
	B.	Related Matters				
	C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information3				
II.	Grou	nds for Standing3				
III.	Relie	f Requested4				
IV.	Reaso	ons for the Requested Relief4				
	A.	Summary of Reasons				
	B.	Summary of the '243 Patent6				
	C.	Prosecution History9				
	D.	Note Regarding Page Citations9				
V.	Ident	Identification of Challenges and Claim Construction9				
	A.	Challenged Claims9				
	B.	Claim Construction				
		1. "multicarrier" (claims 1-25):				
		2. "transceiver" (claims 1-12, 15-17, 20, 22 and 23):10				
	C.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges				
	D.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art11				
	E.	Identification of How the Claims Are Unpatentable12				
		1. Challenge #1: Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-16, and 20-22 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Shively in view of Stopler				
		1. Brief Summary of Shively12				



		a)	Brief Summary of Stopler	14
		b)	Reasons to Combine	15
		c)	Detailed Claim Analysis	17
	2.	obvious und	2: 4-6, 10-12, 17-19 and 23-25 are rendered der 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Shively in view of Stopler in view of Gerszberg	
		a)	Brief Summary of Gerszberg	45
		b)	Reasons to Combine	46
		c)	Detailed Claim Analysis	50
<b>3</b> 71	Complysion			55



## PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,014,243 to Tzannes ("the '243 patent")
Ex. 1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 9,014,243
Ex. 1003	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,355,427
Ex. 1004	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,218,610
Ex. 1005	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,073,041
Ex. 1006	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 7,292,627
Ex. 1007	Prosecution File History of U.S. Pat. No. 6,961,369
Ex. 1008	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/164,134
Ex. 1009	Declaration of Dr. Jose Tellado under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex. 1010	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Jose Tellado
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,144,696 to Shively et al. ("Shively")
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,625,219 to Stopler ("Stopler")
Ex. 1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,424,646 to Gerszberg et al. ("Gerszberg")
Ex. 1014	Harry Newton, Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 13th Ed. (1998) (selected pages)
Ex. 1015	Kim Maxwell, "Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line: Interim Technology for the Next Forty Years," <i>IEEE Communications Magazine</i> (Oct. 1996).
Ex. 1016	Walter Goralski, ADSL and DSL Technologies (McGraw-Hill 1998) (selected pages)
Ex. 1017	American National Standard for Telecommunications, Network and Customer Installation Interfaces-Asymmetric Digital Subscribers Line (ADSL) Metallic Interface (ANSI T1.413-1995)
Ex. 1018	Declaration of David Bader



## I. Mandatory Notices

### A. Real Party-in-Interest

Petitioner, along with the following entities, are the real parties-in-interest: Comcast Corporation; Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC; CoxCom, LLC; Time Warner Cable, LLC; and, Spectrum Management Holding Company, LLC. Additionally, the Verizon Petitioner (Verizon Services Corp.), out of an abundance of caution in light of prior challenges to the named real parties-in-interest in separate and unrelated IPR petitions, identifies each of Verizon Communications Inc., Verizon Data Services LLC, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Verizon Corporate Resources Group LLC, Verizon Services Organization Inc., as a real party-in-interest for the IPR requested by this Petition solely to the extent that Patent Owner contends that any of these separate legal entities should be named a real party-in-interest in the requested IPR, and the Verizon Petitioner does so to avoid the potential expenditure of resources to resolve such a challenge. Also, the Verizon Petitioner notes that Verizon Communications Inc. has over 500 affiliated entities and each of these entities agrees to be estopped under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 315 and/or 325 as a result of any final written decision in the requested IPR to the same extent that the Verizon Petitioner is estopped. No unnamed entity is funding, controlling, or



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

