### No. 2014-1110

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

# IN RE PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA PATENT LITIGATION

### PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION, FUJIFILM NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION (formerly known as FUJIFILM USA, INC.), HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, JVC COMPANY OF AMERICA, NIKON CORPORATION, NIKON, INC., OLYMPUS CORP., OLYMPUS IMAGING AMERICA INC., PANASONIC CORPORATION (formerly known as MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.), PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, SAMSUNG OPTO-ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG TECHWIN CO., AND VICTOR COMPANY OF JAPAN, LTD.,

Defendant-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Case No. 1:07-mc-00493-RMC, United States District Judge Rosemary M. Collyer

# BRIEF FOR PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

February 20, 2014

Alan M. Fisch
R. William Sigler
John T. Battaglia
Thomas C. Chen
FISCH HOFFMAN SIGLER LLP
5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW
Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20015
(202) 362-3500



### **CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST**

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG. certifies:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

Not Applicable

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the parties or amicus represented by me are:

None

4. The names of all law firms and partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

Fisch Hoffman Sigler LLP: Alan M. Fisch; Roy William Sigler; John T. Battaglia, Thomas C. Chen

Husch Blackwell LLP: Jerold B. Schnayer; Walter J. Kawula, Jr.; William Francis Demarest, Jr.; James P. White; John Aron Carnahan; Joseph E. Cwik; Raymond R. Ricordati, III; Daniel R. Cherry; Yasmin S. Schnayer

Stein Mitchell & Muse, LLP: Robert F. Muse

Date: February 20, 2014 /s/ John T. Battaglia
John T. Battaglia



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFIC	CATE (	OF INT	ERES	T	i
TABLE O	F AUT	'HORI'	ΓIES		vii
STATEME	ENT O	F REL	ATED	CASES	xiii
JURISDIC	TION	AL ST	ATEM	IENT	1
INTRODU	[CTIO]	N			2
STATEME	ENT O	F THE	ISSU	ES	6
STATEME	ENT O	F THE	CASI	Ξ	7
I.	THE	PATE	NTS-	IN-SUIT	7
	A.		_	d of the Invention: Interface Devices	7
		1.	Und	Art Interface Devices Suffered esirable Tradeoffs Between Flexibility us Speed	8
			a.	Prior Art Interface Devices	8
			b.	Prior Art Interface Devices Could Be Used in Specific Configurations for Specific Applications	10
		2.	Sim	Claimed Interface Device altaneously Achieves Both Flexibility Speed By Relying on Host Drivers	11
			a.	The Claimed Interface Device	12
			b.	The Claimed Interface Device Can Be Used in Specific Configurations for Specific Applications	12
		3.		Patents Describe Various Embodiments	



		Specific Structure or Use	14		
	В.	The Independent Claims Broadly Define the Interface Device Without Specifying Any Particular Structure or Use	15		
	C.	The Specification Discloses Various Ways in Which the Interface Device and Its Components May Be "Attached"	20		
	D.	The Specification Discloses Various "Customary" Input/Output Devices That May Be Simulated By the Claimed Interface Device	21		
	E.	The File History Confirms Patentability Did Not Turn on Any Specific Structural Configurations, Uses, or Types of Devices	22		
II.	THE	ACCUSED PRODUCTS	22		
III.	THE DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS				
	A.	Papst's History of Innovation and Licensing	23		
	B.	Litigation Began After Unsuccessful Licensing Negotiations	24		
	C.	The District Court Narrowly Construed Several Claim Limitations and Granted Summary Judgment	25		
SUMMAR	Y OF A	ARGUMENT	27		
I.	FUN	DISTRICT COURT REPEATED TWO DAMENTAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORS	27		
	A.	The District Court Wrongly Construed the Claimed "Interface Device" as a Separate, Stand-Alone Structure	27		



	В.	Woı	The District Court Wrongly Construed Single Words In Isolation, Divorced From Their Proper Context			
STANDA	RD OF	REV	IEW	28		
ARGUMI	ENT			29		
I.	THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN CONSTRUING "INTERFACE DEVICE" TO REQUIRE A STAND- ALONE STRUCTURE					
	A.	_	Papst's Construction Is Correct Based on the Intrinsic Record and This Court's Precedent			
		1.	The Claims Do Not Include Language Limiting the "Interface Device" to a Separate Stand-Alone Structure	30		
		2.	Nothing in the Specification Limits the Claimed "Interface Device" to a Separate, Stand-Alone Structure	33		
		3.	This Court Has Repeatedly Held That Separate Claim Elements Do <i>Not</i> Require Separate, Stand-Alone Structures	35		
	В.		District Court's Construction of "Interface ice" Relied on Additional Errors	38		
		1.	The District Court Further Read "Attached" as Requiring the "Interface Device" <i>Not</i> Have "Permanent" Attachments	39		
		2.	The District Court Improperly Relied on the Specification's Embodiments and Figures to Narrow the Claims	39		
		3.	The District Court Misread Statements Regarding "Desirable" Flexibility to Narrow	40		



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

