

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**MONOSOL RX, LLC**  
Petitioner

v.

**ICOS CORPORATION,**  
Patent Owner

---

**Case: IPR2017-00412**  
**Patent 6,943,166**

---

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,943,166**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- I. PAYMENT OF FEES ..... 1
- II. REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-12 OF THE  
‘166 PATENT ..... 1
  - A. The Alleged Invention of the ‘166 Patent ..... 2
  - B. Brief Description of the Technology ..... 3
  - C. Critical Date ..... 4
- III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 4
  - A. Standards For Claim Construction ..... 4
  - B. Construction of Terms ..... 5
- IV. GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM ..... 6
  - A. Ground 1: Claims 1-12 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As  
Being Obvious Over Daugan ‘675 In View of the Guideline for  
Industry, Dose Response Information to Support Drug Registration ..... 7
  - B. Ground 2: Claims 1-12 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As  
Being Obvious Over Daugan ‘675 Alone Or In View the Petition To  
Add Information About Sildenafil’s Danger’s To The Drug Label ..... 23
  - C. Ground 3: Claims 1-12 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)  
Over Daugan ‘675 ..... 33
- V. MANDATORY NOTICES ..... 44
- VI. CONCLUSION..... 47

**PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Exh #</b>
U.S. Patent 6,943,166	1001
U.S. Patent 5,859,006	1002
U.S. Patent 6,140,329	1003
U.S. Patent 6,087,362	1004
WO 9703675	1005
VIAGRA® (sildenafil citrate) label	1006
CIALIS® (tadalafil) label	1007
D. Eros, et al., Structure-Activity Relationships of PDE5 Inhibitors, Current Medicinal Chemistry, 2008 (15), 1570-1585.	1008
Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 6,943,166	1009
Expert Declaration of Roger Williams, M.D. Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,943,166	1010
Excerpt from Viagra Approval Pkg	1011
Filloon, Estimating the minimum therapeutically effective dose of a compound via regression modelling and percentile estimation, <i>Stat Med.</i> 1995 May 15-30;14(9-10):925-32	1012
Effects of sildenafil citrate on human hemodynamics, <i>Am. J. of Cardiology</i> , 83(5), Supp. 1, pp. 13-20 (March 4, 1999)	1013
The Guideline for Industry, Dose Response Information to Support Drug Registration ("Guideline for Industry")	1014
Petition To Add Information About Sildenafil's Danger's To The Drug Label	1015
Cutler, et al., Defining the Maximum Tolerated Dose: Investigator, Academic, Industry and Regulatory Perspectives, <i>J. Clin. Pharmacol.</i> 1997; 37:767-783	1016
ICOS 10K FY 1998	1017
FDA Clinical Hold - 21-368 FDA Cialis Correspondence P5	1018
FDA Review – Pharmr Part 5	1019

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 311, MonoSol Rx, LLC (“Petitioner”) respectfully petitions for Inter Partes Review, seeking cancellation of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. **6,943,166** (the ‘166 Patent). According to USPTO records, the ‘166 patent is assigned to ICOS CORP c/o Eli Lilly and Co. (“Patent Owner”). A copy of the ‘166 Patent is attached as Exh. 1001. As demonstrated by the grounds presented below, the alleged invention of the challenged claims are obvious and should be canceled under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

**I. PAYMENT OF FEES**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. section 42.103, \$23,000 is being paid at the time of filing this petition, charged to Deposit Account 19-4293. Should any further fees be required by the present Petition, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) is hereby authorized to charge the above referenced Deposit Account.

**II. REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-12 OF THE ‘166 PATENT**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b), Petitioner requests that the PTAB find unpatentable Claims 1-12 of the ‘166 patent. Such relief is justified as the alleged invention of the ‘166 patent was described by others prior to the filing date of the ‘166 patent and obvious to one of skill in the art.

Petitioner is aware that the ‘166 patent was previously challenged by IntelGenx Corp. in a request for Inter Partes Review, and that this Petition was

denied institution on September 1, 2016. IPR2016-00678, Paper 13. That Petition raised two grounds of unpatentability: (1) Daugan and (2) Daugan and SNDA (the Viagra® Approval Package). However, in that case, the PTAB found that the Petitioner “ignored the maximum-total dose requirement” in failing to “point to the asserted prior art or otherwise explain why an ordinary artisan would limit the tadalafil dose to 20 mg per day.” *Id.* at 7. The PTAB therefore concluded that the Petitioner had “not established a reasonable likelihood it would prevail in showing that claim 1 would have been obvious over Daugan, either alone or in combination with SNDA.” *Id.*

**A. The Alleged Invention of the ‘166 Patent**

The ’166 patent relates generally to a method of treating sexual dysfunction by orally administering tadalafil in a specific dose range that is encompassed by the prior art. The ‘166 patent acknowledges that tadalafil was already known to be administered in doses of 0.2-400 mg without apparent “significant side effects” Ex. 1001, col. 2, lines 12-21. The ‘166 patent therefore sought to claim a method of administering a specific dose of tadalafil, namely “about 1 to about 20 mg, up to a maximum total dose of 20 mg per day.” *Id.* at claim 1.

During prosecution, there was no dispute that the prior art taught methods of treating sexual dysfunction by orally administering to a patient in need thereof one or more unit dose of tadalafil, in 0.2 to 400 mg, once or several times per day and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.