## A Model of the Chemical Processes Occurring in CF<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> Discharges Used in Plasma Etching

I. C. Plumb<sup>1</sup> and K. R. Ryan<sup>1</sup>

Received September 20, 1985; revised February 17, 1986

A model has been developed in an attempt to explain the chemistry which occurs in plasmas produced in mixtures of  $CF_4$  and  $O_2$ . Emphasis is placed on gas-phase free radical reactions, and the predictions of the model are compared with experimental results. Dissociation rates following electron impact are deduced mainly from experimental observations although relative dissociation rates have been calculated. An important assumption of the model is that  $CF_2$  can be produced as primary dissociation product following electron impact. Furthermore, this process is favored over that producing  $CF_3$  by more than a factor of 2. Experimental evidence is presented to support this assumption. Although the model agrees well with experiment on the total amount of fluorine produced, some discrepancy exists between the predicted and measured values of  $[F_2]$ . It is suggested that the higher concentrations detected in the experiments resulted from recombination of F atoms in the sampling region. The agreement for concentrations of  $CO_2$ , CO, and  $COF_2$  is generally better than a factor of 2 over a wide range of experimental conditions.

KEY WORDS: Plasma etching; gas-phase reactions; modelling.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plasma etching represents an important step in the fabrication of semiconductor devices for the microelectronics industry. Nevertheless the rapid progress which has been evident in this area has been based largely on an empirical approach. This is because the multitude of complex processes which occur in the plasma environment make it very difficult to develop a model which can predict or explain the observed results for a given set of conditions. It is evident that, for further rapid development of etching technology, the fully empirical approach will have to give way to more sophisticated methods based upon at least a partial understanding of the processes involved. Attempts to develop quantitative models must focus on

<sup>1</sup> CSIRO Division of Applied Physics, Sydney, Australia 2070.



206 Plumb and Ryan

two complicated aspects of the plasma process, namely processes occurring in the gas phase and those occurring at the gas-solid interface. Clearly these are strongly coupled regions of interaction, but this somewhat arbitrary separation can provide some insight into the possible mechanisms of the overall process. For example, a reasonable model for the gas-phase chemistry will be able to predict the chemical identity of the active species which arrive at the gas-surface interface. With this knowledge, one is then in a better position to begin to consider how these identified species interact with the surface.

In the gas phase one is confronted with a particularly complicated set of conditions which can lead to a diverse range of reactions. Furthermore, important parameters such as the electron number density and energy distribution are poorly defined and, even if they were well known, dependent parameters such as the rates and pathways of molecular dissociation resulting from electron impact are not. One aspect of the gas-phase processes about which there is considerable uncertainty is the free radical chemistry. In particular one needs to know which are the important reactions, how the rates of these reactions vary with gas number density, gas composition and temperature, and what role these variations play in controlling the identity of the species arriving at the surface.

Recent publications from this laboratory<sup>(1-4)</sup> have reported measurements of the rate coefficients for gas-phase free radical reactions which are believed to occur in plasma etching. In this study we consider in more detail the implications of some of those measurements for the chemistry of plasmas of mixtures of CF<sub>4</sub> with O<sub>2</sub>. In particular a reaction set is developed using the best available reaction rate coefficients—either estimated or measured—and the predictions derived from this reaction set are compared with available measurements of the gas-phase composition downstream of the plasma. In an accompanying work<sup>(5)</sup> the relevant parts of the model are applied to plasmas of pure CF<sub>4</sub> and the results again compared with experimental findings.

The most detailed experimental information concerning stable products detected downstream of a  $CF_4/O_2$  plasma can be found in the work of Smolinsky and Flamm. <sup>(6)</sup> In that work, mixtures of  $CF_4/O_2$  at a total gas number density of  $1.6\times10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (0.5 torr) flowing in a 1.9 cm i.d. alumina tube were excited by a 49 W 13.56 MHz discharge extending over 5 cm of the tube length. Sampling of the effluent from the discharge was by mass spectrometry with the inlet pinhole located 15 cm downstream from where the discharge commenced. The residence time could be varied by changing the pumping speed, while the pressure was maintained by increasing or decreasing the incoming flow rates. Experimental results were reported for nominal discharge residence times of 7, 20, and 99 ms.



Perhaps the most notable feature of the results at each of these residence times was the dependence of  $[COF_2]$  and  $[CO_2]$  on the mole percent of  $O_2$  in the feed gas. In all cases  $[COF_2]$  was much higher than  $[CO_2]$  at low mole percent  $O_2$  while for high mole percent  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$  became the dominant product. In a recent publication<sup>(3)</sup> we have speculated that reactions of COF may provide a partial explanation for these observations. It was proposed that, under conditions of low [O], COF would be converted mainly to  $COF_2$  whereas at higher [O], COF would be converted mainly to  $CO_2$ . The mechanisms controlling the production of  $COF_2$  and  $CO_2$  in these plasmas must clearly be an important part of any model developed to explain the chemistry.

In the next section details of the development and structure of the model are given. This is followed in Section 3 by a detailed comparison of the predictions of this model with experimental results obtained by Smolinsky and Flamm.

#### 2. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MODEL

Apart from the free radical chemistry the most uncertain aspects in the formulation of the model are: (a) the electron impact dissociation rates for molecules and radicals in the plasma, (b) the branching ratios for these dissociations, particularly for CF<sub>4</sub>, and (c) the influence of walls on the chemistry by providing a significant sink for radicals. Each of these aspects will be considered in turn.

### 2.1. Dissociation Rates

In principle these can be derived by estimating the electron number density and the electron energy distribution and combining these estimates with what is known of the electron impact dissociation cross-sections of the species concerned. We have made such estimates for CF<sub>4</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> and the results of our calculations are given in Appendix 1. Because of the number of approximations employed in these calculations we would not expect them to be accurate to better than an order of magnitude.

An alternative approach for the estimation of dissociation rates is suggested by the results of Fig. 7 of Smolinsky and Flamm. The percent conversions of both  $CF_4$  and  $O_2$  are plotted as functions of both composition and discharge residence time. At the longest residence time, 99 ms (equivalent to a flow rate of 5 sccm), it is seen that for 80%  $O_2$ , the  $CF_4$  is 82% converted to products. At this particular  $[O_2]$  it would be expected that reactions between O and  $CF_4$  dissociation products ( $CF_3$  and  $CF_2$ ) would dominate and that reactions between  $CF_3$  or  $CF_2$  and F to reform



208 Plumb and Ryan

 $CF_4$  would be unimportant. From the reported percent conversion it can be concluded that the phenomenological rate coefficient for dissociation is of the order of  $20 \, s^{-1}$ .

One can arrive at an estimate of the dissociation rate coefficient for  $O_2$  using arguments similar to those outlined above for  $CF_4$ . Thus in Fig. 7 of Smolinsky and Flamm it can be seen that for a gas composition of 18%  $O_2$ , 76% of the  $O_2$  is converted to products. If it is assumed that the [O] is kept so low by reaction with radicals derived from  $CF_4$  that combination to reform  $O_2$  downstream may be ignored, then these figures again result in a value of about  $20 \, \text{s}^{-1}$  for the first-order dissociation rate coefficient for  $O_2$ . From these observations we make the simplifying assumption that the dissociation rate for all species is  $20 \, \text{s}^{-1}$  throughout the entire range of conditions considered in this study unless more quantitative information is available.

### 2.2. Branching Ratio for CF<sub>4</sub> Dissociation

No quantitative experimental evidence exists from which the breakdown pathways for  $CF_4$ , following electron impact, can be deduced. Obviously  $CF_3$  formed by electron impact on  $CF_4$  can dissociate further following subsequent electron impact. However, because  $CF_3$  reacts rapidly with neutral species under the conditions discussed here, electron impact of  $CF_3$  is a negligible source of  $CF_2$ . However,  $CF_2$  can be produced rapidly following the initial electron impact on  $CF_4$ . This can occur through the production of  $CF_3$  in an excited state which then undergoes a rapid dissociation to produce  $CF_2$ . In this regard we note that  $Flamm^{(31)}$  postulated a short-lived state of  $CF_3$  which dissociates, after a transition to a repulsive state, into  $CF_2+F$ . These observations were made in a radiofrequency discharge and support the suggestion that  $CF_2$  can be looked upon as a direct product of electron impact of  $CF_4$  on the time scale appropriate to collisions in the plasma etching environment.

The branching ratio forms an important component of any model designed to describe these plasma processes. Earlier studies from this laboratory demonstrate that, in O atom rich environments, CF<sub>3</sub> reacts to produce COF<sub>2</sub> while CF<sub>2</sub> produces CO<sub>2</sub> and CO.<sup>(1,3)</sup> This information may be used to obtain an estimate of the relative rates for dissociation of CF<sub>4</sub> to produce CF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>2</sub> from the results in Fig. 8 of the work of Smolinsky and Flamm. In part c of that diagram the results obtained at high mole % O<sub>2</sub> and short discharge residence times indicate that [COF<sub>2</sub>], [CO<sub>2</sub>], and [CO] are all roughly equal. Assuming that under these conditions COF<sub>2</sub> arises predominantly from CF<sub>3</sub> while CO<sub>2</sub> and CO are derived from CF<sub>2</sub>, the results imply that the primary dissociation of CF<sub>4</sub> favors the production of CF<sub>2</sub> by about a factor of 2.



In view of the importance of this branching ratio and the uncertainty in the estimate given above, it was decided to make a more direct determination which was again based upon product analysis in an oxygen-rich environment. Such a determination will be accurate provided that:

- (i) the degree of dissociation of products by electron impact is negligible,
- (ii) [O] > [F], [CF<sub>2</sub>], or [CF<sub>3</sub>] so that CF<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub> react only with oxygen atoms, and
- (iii) sufficient time is allowed downstream of the discharge so that CF<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub> are consumed completely.

Experiments were performed using the gas flow reactor described previously. Mixtures of CF<sub>4</sub> with O<sub>2</sub> in helium were passed through a microwave discharge. Typically the [O<sub>2</sub>] was  $1.6 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and the [CF<sub>4</sub>]  $10^{13}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The residence time in the discharge was 1 ms, which resulted in 5% dissociation of O<sub>2</sub> and 7% dissociation of CF<sub>4</sub>, although the amount of dissociation depended on the discharge power employed. The [O] was  $> 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and typically > 200 times the combined initial concentrations of CF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>2</sub>. Given the rate coefficients for reactions of CF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>2</sub> with O atoms, the pseudo-first-order loss rates for CF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>2</sub> with O would be  $> 3.1 \times 10^3$  and  $1.8 \times 10^3$  s<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Assuming that the first-order dissociation rates for CF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>2</sub> are comparable to those for CF<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, then, under these experimental conditions, a negligible fraction of the radicals produced in the primary dissociation step is subjected to further electron impact dissociation.

The sensitivity of the instrument for CO and for CO<sub>2</sub> was determined using known flows of the pure gases while that for COF<sub>2</sub> was obtained by allowing CF<sub>3</sub> produced by reaction of F atoms with CF<sub>3</sub>H to react with excess O atoms. The [COF<sub>2</sub>] produced was equated with the measured change in [O]. These experiments resulted in a value of  $(2.5 \pm 1.0)$  for the ratio of the primary dissociation rate for CF<sub>2</sub> production relative to that for CF<sub>3</sub> production. In subsequent treatment of the dissociation of CF<sub>4</sub> by electron impact it has been assumed that (CF<sub>3</sub>+F) and (CF<sub>2</sub>+2F) are the dissociation routes. The processes producing CF<sub>2</sub> are viewed as consecutive unimolecular dissociations of CF<sub>4</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub> rather than a direct process which yields CF<sub>2</sub>+F<sub>2</sub>. This view is taken mainly because, in experiments using the flow reactor, the [F<sub>2</sub>] detected was <1% of the [F].

It is important to note that the experiments of Smolinsky and Flamm were carried out in a radiofrequency discharge whereas those described here used a microwave discharge to produce the free radicals. Clearly, one would expect that the electron energy distribution would be quite different in these two cases but, nevertheless, both provide strong evidence for the production of CF<sub>2</sub> by very fast processes following electron impact on CF<sub>4</sub>.



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

