

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

GOOGLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. PATENT NO. RE44,913  
Case No. IPR2017-00387

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. RE44,913  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80 & 42.100-.123**

**Mail Stop: Patent Board**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	iv
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	3
A.	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	3
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) .....	3
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)-(4)) .....	4
III.	GROUND FOR STANDING, POWER OF ATTORNEY, AND FEES....	4
IV.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	4
A.	How the Claims Are Unpatentable.....	6
B.	Supporting Evidence.....	6
V.	THE '913 PATENT AND PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	7
A.	The Purported Invention of the '913 Patent .....	7
B.	The Challenged Claims of the '913 Patent.....	11
1.	Independent Claims 1, 3 and 4.....	11
2.	Dependent Claim 2 .....	12
3.	Dependent Claims 5, 9 and 13 .....	13
C.	Prosecution History .....	14
1.	Prosecution of the '318 Patent .....	14
2.	Prosecution of the '913 Reissue Patent.....	15
A.	Initial Prosecution and Allowance.....	15
B.	Request for Continued Examination.....	17
VI.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART .....	22
VII.	CONSTRUCTION OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	22
A.	“character” .....	23
B.	“keypad” .....	24
C.	“touchscreen” .....	24
D.	Means-Plus-Function Limitations .....	25
1.	Claim 4 .....	25
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR INVALIDITY .....	29
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-5, 9, and 13 Are Obvious Over Hoeksma in View Of Sakata II .....	30
1.	Claims 1 and 2 .....	30
a.	Overview of Hoeksma .....	32

b.	Overview of Sakata II .....	35
a.	“A method for inputting a character to a device” .....	42
b.	“the device including a keypad, the keypad including a plurality of keys,” .....	42
c.	“at least one of the keys has a primary character, a plurality of secondary characters and an associated display area,” .....	44
d.	“the keypad in a default state displaying the primary character associated with the at least one key in the associated display area, the method comprising acts of:” .....	49
e.	“In the default state: returning the primary character as an input character in response to selection of the at least one key for a period shorter than a predetermined time period;” .....	50
f.	“switching to a second state after detecting a first key selection of the at least one key for a period longer than the predetermined time period;” .....	51
g.	“in the second state: displaying each of the secondary characters associated with the first selected key in a respective display area;” .....	52
h.	“detecting a second key selection;” .....	53
i.	“selecting for the input character the secondary character associated with the second key selection;” .....	53
j.	“returning the keypad to the default state.” .....	53
k.	[Claim 2 step] “further comprising an act of displaying the keypad in the default state associated secondary characters adjacent the primary character, the location of each secondary character providing an indication of which display area will display that secondary character following a first key selection” .....	55
2.	Dependent Claim 9 .....	58
3.	Claims 3 and 13 .....	59
4.	Claims 4 and 5 .....	61
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	64

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**FEDERAL CASES**

*Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee*, No. 15-446 (June 20, 2016) .....22

*In re Donaldson Co.*, 16 F.3d 1189 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (en banc) .....25

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Acer Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-01170-GMS  
(D. Del.) .....3

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Asustek Computer Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-  
01125-GMS (D. Del.) .....3

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Double Power Technology, Inc. et al.*, Case No.  
1:15-cv-01130-GMS (D. Del.) .....3

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. HTC Corp. et al.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-01126-GMS  
(D. Del.) .....3

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Southern Telecom, Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-  
01128-GMS (D. Del.) .....3

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Visual Land, Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-01127-gms  
(D. Del.) .....4

*Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Yifang USA, Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-01131-GMS  
(D. Del.) .....4

## EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. RE44,913 (“the ’913 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Declaration of Dr. Andrew Cockburn (“Cockburn Decl.”)
Ex. 1003	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2000-56912 (“Sakata I”)
Ex. 1004	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2000-148366 (“Sakata II”)
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,271,835 to Hoeksma (“Hoeksma”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,094,197 to Buxton (“Buxton”)
Ex. 1007	Certified USPTO File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,885,318 (“’318 Patent File History”)
Ex. 1008	Certified USPTO File History of U.S. Patent No. RE44,913 (“’913 Patent File History”)
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,737,980 to Curtin (“Curtin”)
Ex. 1010	Japanese Patent No. 4019512 (“Sakata ’512 Patent”)
Ex. 1011	Shneiderman, B., “ <i>Direct Manipulation: A Step Beyond Programming Languages</i> ”, <i>Computer, IEEE.</i> , 16(8), 57-69 (Aug. 1983)
Ex. 1012	Apple Newton MessagePad Handbook (1995) (“Newton Manual”)
Ex. 1013	Matias, E., MacKenzie, I.S., and Buxton, W., <i>Half-QWERTY: A one-handed keyboard facilitating skill transfer from QWERTY</i> , Proceedings of the INTERCHI ’93 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 88-94, New York: ACM (1993)
Ex. 1014	Matias, E, MacKenzie, I.S. and Buxton, W., <i>One-handed Touch-Typing on a QWERTY Keyboard</i> , <i>Human-Comput. Interact.</i> , 11, 1-27 (March 1996) (“HCIJ Paper”)
Ex. 1015	Psion 5mx User Guide (1999)
Ex. 1016	Psion Series 3a User Guide (1993)
Ex. 1017	IBM Simon Users Manual (1994)
Ex. 1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,689,253 to Hargreaves
Ex. 1019	Kurtenbach, G. and Buxton, W., <i>The Limits of Expert Performance Using Hierarchic Marking Menus</i> . Proceedings of the INTERCHI ’93 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 482-87, New York: ACM (1993)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.