

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.
Patent Owner

U.S. PATENT NO. RE44,913
Case No. IPR2017-00386

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. RE44,913
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80 & 42.100-.123**

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	3
A.	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	3
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	3
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)-(4))	4
III.	GROUNDINGS FOR STANDING, POWER OF ATTORNEY, AND FEES....	4
IV.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	4
A.	How the Claims Are Unpatentable.....	6
B.	Supporting Evidence.....	6
V.	THE '913 PATENT AND PROSECUTION HISTORY	7
A.	The Purported Invention of the '913 Patent	7
B.	The Challenged Claims of the '913 Patent.....	11
1.	Independent Claims 1, 3 and 4.....	11
2.	Dependent Claims 5, 9 and 13	12
3.	Dependent Claims 6, 10 and 14	12
4.	Dependent Claims 7, 11 and 15	13
5.	Dependent Claims 8, 12, and 16	13
C.	Prosecution History	14
1.	Prosecution of the '318 Patent	14
2.	Prosecution of the '913 Reissue Patent	15
A.	Initial Prosecution and Allowance	15
B.	Request for Continued Examination.....	17
VI.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	22
VII.	CONSTRUCTION OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	22
A.	“character”	23

B.	“keypad”	23
C.	“touchscreen”	24
D.	Means-Plus-Function Limitations	24
1.	Claim 4	25
2.	Claim 6	29
3.	Claim 7	30
4.	Claim 8	30
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR INVALIDITY	31
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1 and 3-16 Are Rendered Obvious by Sakata II	31
1.	Independent Claims 1, 3, and 4	31
2.	Dependent Claims 5, 9, and 13	58
3.	Dependent Claims 6, 10, and 14	59
4.	Dependent Claims 7, 11, and 15	60
5.	Dependent Claims 8, 12, and 16	62
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1 and 3-16 Are Obvious Over Sakata II in View of Buxton	64
IX.	CONCLUSION	71

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASE LAW

<i>Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446 (June 20, 2016)	22
<i>In re Donaldson Co.</i> , 16 F.3d 1189 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (en banc)	25
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Acer Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01170-GMS (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Asustek Computer Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv- 01125-GMS (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Double Power Technology, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01130-GMS (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. HTC Corp. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01126-GMS (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Southern Telecom, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01128- GMS (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Visual Land, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01127-gms (D. Del.)	3
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al. v. Yifang USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-01131-GMS (D. Del.)	4
<i>KSR v. Teleflex</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	42, 70

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. RE44,913 (“the ’913 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Declaration of Dr. Andrew Cockburn (“Cockburn Decl.”)
Ex. 1003	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2000-56912 (“Sakata I”)
Ex. 1004	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2000-148366 (“Sakata II”)
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,271,835 to Hoeksma (“Hoeksma”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,094,197 to Buxton (“Buxton”)
Ex. 1007	Certified USPTO File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,885,318 (“’318 Patent File History”)
Ex. 1008	Certified USPTO File History of U.S. Patent No. RE44,913 (“’913 Patent File History”)
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,737,980 to Curtin (“Curtin”)
Ex. 1010	Japanese Patent No. 4019512 (“Sakata ‘512 Patent”)
Ex. 1011	Shneiderman, B., “ <i>Direct Manipulation: A Step Beyond Programming Languages</i> ”, <i>Computer, IEEE.</i> , 16(8), 57-69 (Aug. 1983)
Ex. 1012	Apple Newton MessagePad Handbook (1995) (“Newton Manual”)
Ex. 1013	Matias, E., MacKenzie, I.S., and Buxton, W., <i>Half-QWERTY: A one-handed keyboard facilitating skill transfer from QWERTY</i> , Proceedings of the INTERCHI ’93 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 88-94, New York: ACM (1993)
Ex. 1014	Matias, E, MacKenzie, I.S. and Buxton, W., <i>One-handed Touch-Typing on a QWERTY Keyboard</i> , <i>Human-Comput. Interact.</i> , 11, 1-27 (March 1996) (“HCIJ Paper”)
Ex. 1015	Psion 5mx User Guide (1999)
Ex. 1016	Psion Series 3a User Guide (1993)
Ex. 1017	IBM Simon Users Manual (1994)
Ex. 1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,689,253 to Hargreaves
Ex. 1019	Kurtenbach, G. and Buxton, W., <i>The Limits of Expert Performance Using Hierarchic Marking Menus</i> . Proceedings of the INTERCHI ’93 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 482-87, New York: ACM (1993)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.