

Filed on behalf of: Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00386
U.S. Patent No. RE44,913

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

EXHIBIT LISTvi

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 3

 A. THE RE’913 PATENT 3

 B. THE RE’913 PATENT CLAIMS 8

III. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL 9

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 10

V. LEGAL STANDARDS 14

 A. Obviousness..... 14

VI. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE REVIEW ON
EITHER OF GROUNDS 1-2 16

 A. WASTE OF BOARD RESOURCES 16

 1. Petitioner Relies on Substantially the Same Prior
 Art Previously Presented to the Office 16

 2. Petitioner Improperly Presents Multiple
 Redundant Grounds 21

 B. GROUND 1 – SAKATA II 24

 1. Petitioner’s Proposed Modification Contradicts
 the Express Teaching of Sakata II 26

 2. Petitioner’s Reason for Modifying Sakata II to
 Avoid an “Inefficiency” Ignores the Express
 Teaching of Sakata II 32

 3. Petitioner Fails to Provide Any Explanation as to
 How a POSA Would Have Modified Sakata II 34

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| C. | GROUND 2 – SAKATA II + BUXTON..... | 37 |
| 1. | Buxton Does Not Teach “returning the keypad to the default state” from a Second State as Claimed | 39 |
| 2. | There Would Have Been No Reason for a POSA to Modify Sakata II Using Buxton, As Proposed | 45 |
| a. | Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Contradicts Sakata II’s Stated Purpose..... | 45 |
| b. | Buxton’s Method is Inapplicable to Sakata II | 47 |
| VII. | CONCLUSION..... | 49 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|---|----------------|
| <i>Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc. v. Blitzsafe Texas, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01473, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 24, 2017) | 37 |
| <i>Black & Decker, Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc., RW</i> , 646 Fed. App'x 1019 (Fed. Cir. 2016) | 33 |
| <i>Canon Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , IPR2014-00535, IPR2014-00536, IPR2014-00537, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 24, 2014) | 23 |
| <i>Daifuku Co., Ltd. v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00083, Paper 63 (P.T.A.B. May 3, 2016) | 36, 47 |
| <i>Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) | 14, 15, 32, 33 |
| <i>DirectTV, LLC v. Qurio Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-02006, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 4, 2016) | 37 |
| <i>Genetics Inst., LLC v. Novartis Vaccines & Diagnostics, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2011) | 33 |
| <i>Illumina, Inc. v. Trs. of Columbia Univ. in the City of New York</i> , IPR2012-00006, Paper 43 (P.T.A.B. May 10, 2013) | 24 |
| <i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 10 |
| <i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 14 |
| <i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) | 36, 47 |
| <i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) | 33 |
| <i>Innovative Env'tl. Techs., Inc. v. Peroxychem LLC</i> , IPR2016-00198, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. May 16, 2016) | 37 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| <i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... | 37 |
| <i>Liberty Mutual Insur. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insur. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012) | 21, 22, 23 |
| <i>Microboards Tech., LLC v. Stratasys Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00287, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. May 28, 2015) | 16 |
| <i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , IPR2015-01860, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016) | 16 |
| <i>Petroleum Geo-Services Inc. v. WesternGeco LLC</i> , IPR2014-00689, Paper 32 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2014) | 37 |
| <i>Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 Fed. App’x 755 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 27, 2015)..... | 29 |
| <i>Ricoh Co., Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc.</i> , 550 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2008) | 14 |
| <i>Securus Techs., Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp.</i> , IPR2015-00155, Paper 30 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 7, 2016) | 34 |
| <i>Shaw Indus. Grp., Inc. v. Automated Creel Sys., Inc.</i> , 817 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2016) | 24 |
| <i>Silver Star Capital, Inc. v. Power Integrations, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00736, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 26, 2016)..... | 37 |
| <i>Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.</i> , IPR2012-00041, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 22, 2013) | 15, 24 |
| <i>Toyota Motor Corp. v. Am. Vehicular Sciences LLC</i> , IPR2013-00423, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 13, 2014) | 23 |
| <i>TRW Auto. US LLC v. Magna Elecs. Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00293, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Jul. 1, 2014)..... | 15 |
| <i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011) | 14 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.