

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,989,445

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO.8,989,445**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8..... | 1 |
| III. | PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) | 2 |
| IV. | GROUND FOR STANDING..... | 2 |
| V. | PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED | 3 |
| VI. | LEGAL STANDARDS | 3 |
| | A. Claim Construction | 3 |
| | B. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art..... | 4 |
| VII. | OVERVIEW OF THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY AND '445 PATENT | 4 |
| VIII. | DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS..... | 12 |
| | A. Overview Of The Prior Art References | 12 |
| | 1. Alton L. Gilbert et al., <i>A Real-Time Video Tracking System</i> , PAMI-2 No. 1 IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 47 (Jan. 1980) ("Gilbert") (Ex. 1005)..... | 12 |
| | 2. U.S. Patent No. 5,521,843 ("Hashima") (Ex. 1006)..... | 19 |
| | 3. U.S. Patent No. 5,761,326 ("Brady") (Ex. 1007) | 29 |
| | 4. O. D. Altan et al., "Computer Architecture And Implementation Of Vision-Based Real-Time Lane Sensing," Proceedings Of The Intelligent Vehicles '92 Symposium 202 (1992) ("Altan") (Ex. 1008) | 36 |
| IX. | SPECIFIC EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR INVALIDITY | 37 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| A. | Ground 1: Gilbert In View Of Brady Renders Obvious The Challenged Claims | 37 |
| 1. | Reasons To Combine Gilbert And Brady | 37 |
| 2. | Claim 1 | 40 |
| 3. | Claim 4: “The process of claim 1, further comprising displaying an outline associated with the target at a display location based on the target location” | 46 |
| 4. | Claim 6: “The process of claim 4, wherein displaying the outline includes moving a center point of the outline” | 48 |
| 5. | Claim 9 | 49 |
| 6. | Claim 18: “The process of claim 1, wherein adjusting the target location includes not adjusting the target location during input of a third frame located in the input signal between the first and second frames” | 52 |
| 7. | Claim 24 | 54 |
| 8. | Claim 25: “The image processing system of claim 24, further comprising a display, and wherein the processing system is further configured to display an outline associated with the target at a display location based on the target location” | 57 |
| 9. | Claim 27: “The image processing system of claim 24, wherein the processing system is further configured to move a center point of the outline based on the histogram based on the first frame and the histogram based on the second based on the second frame” | 57 |
| 10. | Gilbert And Brady Are Not Cumulative | 58 |
| B. | Ground 2: Hashima In View Of Gilbert Renders Obvious The Challenged Claims | 59 |
| 1. | Reasons To Combine Hashima And Gilbert | 59 |
| 2. | Claim 1 | 62 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 3. | Claim 4: “The process of claim 1, further comprising displaying an outline associated with the target at a display location based on the target location” | 67 |
| 4. | Claim 6: “The process of claim 4, wherein displaying the outline includes moving a center point of the outline” | 69 |
| 5. | Claim 9 | 70 |
| 6. | Claim 18: “The process of claim 1, wherein adjusting the target location includes not adjusting the target location during input of a third frame located in the input signal between the first and second frames” | 72 |
| 7. | Claim 24 | 73 |
| 8. | Claim 25: “The image processing system of claim 24, further comprising a display, and wherein the processing system is further configured to display an outline associated with the target at a display location based on the target location” | 76 |
| 9. | Claim 27: “The image processing system of claim 24, wherein the processing system is further configured to move a center point of the outline based on the histogram based on the first frame and the histogram based on the second based on the second frame” | 76 |
| 10. | Hashima and Gilbert Are Not Cumulative | 77 |
| C. | Ground 3: Hashima In View Of Brady Renders Obvious The Challenged Claims | 78 |
| 1. | Reasons To Combine Hashima And Brady | 78 |
| 2. | Hashima In View Of Brady Renders Challenged Claims Obvious | 81 |
| 3. | Hashima and Brady Are Not Cumulative | 81 |
| X. | CONCLUSION..... | 83 |
| | Certification of Word Count | 84 |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Patent No. 8,989,445

The undersigned certifies pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and § 42.105 that on November 30, 2016, a true and correct copy of Petitioner Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,989,445 was served via express mail on the Petitioner at the following correspondence address of record:1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.