

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

CASE IPR2017-00353  
Patent No. 8,983,134

---

**PATENT OWNER IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S  
RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| I.   | Introduction.....  | 1  |
| II.  | Overview of the '134 Patent.....   | 3  |
| A.   | Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....  | 3  |
| B.   | Claim Construction.....  | 4  |
|      | 1. “forming at least one histogram of the pixels in the one or more of a plurality of classes in the one or more of a plurality of domains” .....  | 4  |
|      | 2. “class” and “domain” .....  | 4  |
|      | 3. “forming at least one histogram . . . said at least one histogram referring to classes defining said target” .....  | 5  |
|      | 4. “wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises determining X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima of boundaries of the target.” .....   | 12 |
| III. | Summary of the Prior Art .....   | 15 |
| A.   | Gilbert.....   | 15 |
| B.   | Hashima.....   | 18 |
| C.   | Ueno .....   | 23 |
| IV.  | Legal Standards .....  | 26 |
| V.   | The Patentability of Claims 1 and 2 Should Be Affirmed .....   | 27 |
| A.   | Summary of Patent Owner’s Argument .....   | 27 |
| B.   | None of the Asserted References Teaches or Suggests “wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises determining X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima of boundaries of the target” ..... | 28 |

|    |   |    |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Gilbert does not teach or suggest “wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises determining X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima of boundaries of the target”  | 29 |
| 2. | Hashima does not teach or suggest “wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises determining X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima of boundaries of the target”  | 31 |
| 3. | Ueno does not teach or suggest “wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises determining X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima of boundaries of the target”   | 33 |
| 4. | Because Gilbert, Hashima, and Ueno Do Not Teach or Suggest, Alone or in Combination, Claim Element [1c] of Claims 1 and 2, These Claims Are Not Obvious under Grounds 1 or 3  | 35 |
| C. | For Ground 1, Neither Gilbert nor Hashima Teaches or Suggests “forming at least one histogram of the pixels in the one or more of a plurality of classes in the one or more of a plurality of domains, said at least one histogram referring to classes defining said target” | 36 |
| 1. | Gilbert does not teach or suggest “forming at least one histogram of the pixels in the one or more of a plurality of classes in the one or more of a plurality of domains, said at least one histogram referring to classes defining said target”                             | 36 |
| 2. | Hashima does not teach or suggest “forming at least one histogram of the pixels in the one or more of a plurality of classes in the one or more of a plurality of domains, said at least one histogram referring to classes defining said target”                             | 39 |
| 3. | Because Gilbert and Hashima Do Not Teach or Suggest, Alone or in Combination, Claim Element [1a] of Claims 1 and 2, These Claims Are Not Obvious under Ground 1   | 42 |
| D. | For Both Ground 1 and Ground 3, Gilbert Does Not Teach or Suggest “identifying the target in said at least one histogram itself”  | 43 |
| E. | A POSA Would Not Have Selected and Combined the Asserted References to Arrive at the Invention of the ’134 Patent   | 47 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. A POSA Would Not Have Selected and Combined Gilbert and Hashima ..... | 47 |
| 2. A POSA Would Not Have Selected and Combined Gilbert and Ueno .....    | 50 |
| F. Reservation of Rights Regarding Constitutionality of Proceeding ..... | 54 |
| VI. Conclusion .....   | 55 |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| <i>Grain Processing v. American-Maize Prods,</i><br>840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....                             | 27     |
| <i>In re NTP, Inc.,</i><br>654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....   | 27     |
| <i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation,</i><br>536 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....                                  | 27     |
| <i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGo Communs., Inc.,</i><br>751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....                           | 27     |
| <i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,</i><br>550 U.S. 398 (2007).....   | 26, 27 |
| <i>Oil States Energy Svcs. LLC v. Greene’s Energy Group LLC,</i><br>No. 16-712 (cert. granted June 12, 2017) ..... | 3, 54  |
| <i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm. v. Mylan Labs,</i><br>520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....                                   | 27     |
| <i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.,</i><br>655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....                                 | 26     |
| <b>Statutes</b>  |        |
| 35 U.S.C. § 316(e) .....   | 26     |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.