UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC. and FITBIT, INC. Petitioner v. VALENCELL, INC. Patent Owner Case IPR2017-00319¹

PETITIONER APPLE INC.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

¹ Case IPR2017-01555 has been joined with this proceeding.



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Board's November 28, 2017 Amended Scheduling Order (Paper 26), Petitioner Apple Inc. respectfully requests oral argument, which is currently scheduled for February 27, 2018.

The IPR2017-00319² (U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941) proceeding is one of five proceedings—including IPR2017-00315³ (U.S. Patent No. 8,929,965), IPR2017-00317⁴ (U.S. Patent No. 8,989,830), IPR2017-00318⁵ (U.S. Patent No. 8,886,269), and IPR2017-00321⁶ (U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941)—between Petitioner and Patent Owner that are scheduled for oral argument on the same day and before the same panel, Judges Brian J. McNamara, James B. Arpin, and Sheila F. McShane.

Petitioner believes judicial economy would be served by the Board conducting three separate oral hearings for the five *Inter Partes* Review proceedings as outlined below. While all five proceedings involve a family of related patents, only certain proceedings share arguments, asserted prior art, declarants, and other evidence. Specifically, the -00317 and -00318 proceedings

⁶ IPR2017-01556 has been joined with this proceeding.



² IPR2017-01555 has been joined with this proceeding.

³ IPR2017-01552 has been joined with this proceeding.

⁴ IPR2017-01553 has been joined with this proceeding.

⁵ IPR2017-01554 has been joined with this proceeding.

share many prior art references and issues for the instituted grounds. The -00319 and -00321 proceedings also share some prior art and issues, particularly with respect to Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend in the -00321 case and instituted grounds of the -00319 case.

Accordingly, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board schedule oral hearings across IPR2017-00315 (U.S. Patent No. 8,929,965), IPR2017-00317 (U.S. Patent No. 8,989,830), IPR2017-00318 (U.S. Patent No. 8,886,269), and IPR2017-00319 and IPR2017-00321 (U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941) as follows:

- Argument of the proceedings in order:
 - 1. IPR2017-00315 (9 AM to 9:50 AM)
 - 2. IPR2017-00317 and IPR2017-00318 (10 AM to 11:30 AM)

 Break for lunch
 - 3. IPR2017-00319 and IPR2017-00321 (1 PM to 2:30 PM)
- 20 minutes (total) per side for the oral argument per proceeding—to address the instituted grounds and the contingent Motion to Amend (if applicable)—with Petitioner presenting first, Patent Owner responding, and Petitioner rebutting, with approximately a 5-minute break between each side's presentation (e.g., to set up projector for presentation);



- A single oral hearing transcript for each of the shared arguments (3 transcripts);
- The parties may assign different attorneys to argue different cases and different issues during the allotted time; and
- The argument take place in Conference Room A, as assigned, due to the expected number of attendees (e.g., Petitioner expects to bring 6-7 attendees).

Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

- 1. [IPR2017-00315]: Whether Claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 8,929,965 ('965 Patent) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over the applied references (alone or in combination as instituted) *Numaga*, *Vetter*, *Dekker*, *Debreczeny*, *Rafert*, *Negley*, *Miao*, *Fraden*, *Verjus*, and *Fricke*;
- 2. [IPR2017-00317]: Whether Claims 1-6, 8-16, and 18-20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,989,830 ('830 Patent) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the applied combinations of *Goodman, Hicks, Hannula, Asada*, and *Delonzor*;
- 3. [IPR2017-00318]: Whether Claims 1–10 of U.S. Patent No. 8,886,269 ('269 Patent) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the



applied combinations of Asada, Hicks, Hannula, Delonzor, Al-Ali, and Goodman;

- 4. [IPR2017-00319]: Whether Claims 1, 2, and 6-13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,923,941 ('941 Patent) are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the applied combinations of *Luo*, *Craw*, *Fricke*, *Comtois*, *Aceti*, *Mault*, *Al-Ali*, *Han*, *Numaga*, and *Ali*;
- 5. [IPR2017-00321]: Whether Claims 14-21 of the '941 Patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the applied combinations of *Kosuda, Maekawa, Han, Aceti, Fricke*, and *Comtois*;
- 6. Any issues relating to Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend, as applicable, for the respective proceedings;
- 7. Any issues relating to Motions to Exclude, as applicable, for the respective proceedings;
- 8. Any other outstanding motions, pleadings, and other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Petitioner also requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display possible demonstratives and exhibits, including the use of a computer, projector, and screen.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

