

Filed on behalf of Valencell, Inc.

By: Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com)  
Nicholas C Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com)  
Jonathan H. Rastegar (jrastegar@bcpc-law.com)  
Bragalone Conroy PC  
2200 Ross Ave.  
Suite 4500 – West  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Tel: 214.785.6670  
Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

VALENCELL, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-00318  
U.S. Patent No. 8,886,269

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
A.	Summary of Patent Owner Valencell’s Argument .....	1
B.	Background .....	2
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE ’269 PATENT .....	4
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE PETITION .....	7
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
A.	“generally cylindrical” (Claim 1).....	9
B.	“cladding material” (Claim 1).....	11
C.	“a layer of cladding material near the inner body portion inner surface” (Claim 1).....	12
V.	LEGAL STANDARDS .....	15
A.	Standard for Instituting Petition .....	15
B.	Obviousness.....	16
VI.	PETITIONER FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PROVING THE UNPATENTABILITY OF ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	20
A.	Petitioner Offers Weak or Non-existent Rationales to Modify and Fails to Treat the Claims As a Whole Using Impermissible Hindsight Analysis to Purportedly Arrive at the Claimed Invention.....	20
1.	Ground 1: Petitioner Does Not Demonstrate that Claim 1 is Obvious Based on Asada. ....	20
2.	Ground 6: Petitioner Fails to Show that Goodman Renders Claim 1 Obvious. ....	32
B.	Petitioner Proposes Redundant Grounds for Challenging Claims 3-4, and 8-10.....	42
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	44

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Anchor Wall Sys., Inc. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls, Inc.*,  
340 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....10

*AOL Inc. & Cloudera, Inc., v. Coho Licensing LLC*,  
No. IPR2014-00966, 2014 WL 6666765 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 20, 2014).....18

*Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.*,  
No. IPR2015-00357, 2015 WL 9899009 (P.T.A.B. June 29, 2015) ..... 16, 18

*Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.*,  
No. IPR2015-00358, 2015 WL 9899010 (P.T.A.B. July 2, 2015).....17

*ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.*,  
159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....18

*Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*,  
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....9

*Graham v. John Deere Co.*,  
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....16

*Heart Failure Tech. v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.*,  
No. IPR2013-00183, Paper 12 at 9 (P.T.A.B. July 31, 2013) ..... 17, 32

*In re Chaganti*,  
554 Fed. Appx. 917 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 16, 26

*In re Fine*,  
837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988)..... 19, 27

*In re Gorman*,  
933 F.2d 982 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....17

*In re Kahn*,  
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....16

IPR2017-00318  
Pat. No. 8,886,269

<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14, 15, 24
<i>In re Morris</i> , 127 F.3d 1048 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	9
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959) .....	15
<i>In re Trans logic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	9
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	15, 17, 32
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co.</i> , No. CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 at 3 (P.T.A.B. October 25, 2012) .....	34
<i>N. Am. Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.</i> , 415 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , IPR2015-1860, Paper 11 at 18 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016).....	14
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Clouding IP, LLC</i> , No. IPR2013-00088, 2013 WL 5970180 (P.T.A.B. June 13, 2013) .....	34
<i>Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	9
<i>Rolls Royce PLC v. United Techs. Corp.</i> , 603 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	18
<i>Slimfold Mfg. Co. v. Kinkead Indus., Inc.</i> , 810 F.2d 1113 (Fed. Cir. 1987).....	9
<i>Ube Maxell Co., Ltd. v. Celgard, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01511, Paper 10 at 20-23 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 7, 2016) .....	15
<i>Vandenberg v. Dairy Equipment Co.</i> , 740 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	17

IPR2017-00318  
Pat. No. 8,886,269

*W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.*,  
721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....27

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....35

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) ..... 14, 36

35 U.S.C. § 316(e) .....14

35 U.S.C. § 325(d) .....14

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....36

**Other Authorities**

MPEP § 2143.01 .....15

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....8

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....14

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.