

Filed on behalf of Valencell, Inc.

By: Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com)
Nicholas C Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com)
Jonathan H. Rastegar (jrastegar@bcpc-law.com)
Bragalone Conroy PC
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.785.6670
Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VALENCELL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00317
U.S. Patent No. 8,989,830

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO
35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION6

 A. Grounds in the Petition.....8

 B. Background.....8

 C. Overview of the Technology10

 D. Legal Standards for Instituting an Inter Partes Review13

 1. Inter Partes Review13

 2. Obviousness14

 E. Petitioner Has Not Met Its Burden of Proof.**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

II. Overview of the '830 Patent **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

III. Summary of the References Asserted in the Grounds of the Petition.... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

 A. Goodman21

 B. Asada23

 C. Delonzor24

IV. Claim Construction..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

 A. “Cladding Material” (Claims 1 and 11)26

B. “Light-Guiding Interface” (Claims 1 and 11)28

C. “Near” (Claims 1 and 11).....30

V. Petitioner Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood of Success on the Petitioned Grounds.....30

A. Ground 1: Goodman Does Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious, Even if Modified in the Manner Petitioner Suggests.....30

1. Goodman teaches that “apertures allow light to pass” Without Any Limitation as to the Angle Between the Light Delivered to the Photodiode and the Light Delivered to the Body. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

2. Petitioner Cannot Argue that Goodman Inherently Discloses a “light transmissive material [that] is configured to” Deliver Light to a Light Detector in a Direction “substantially parallel” to the Direction Light Was Delivered to the Body. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

B. The Petition Fails to Comply with 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(2) and 42.104(b)(4).35

1. Petitioner Fails to Appreciate That the Cladding Material Operates with the Light Transmissive Material of the Inner Layer to Confine Light for its Delivery to the Body Through a Light-Guiding Interface and Back to the Detector. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

2. Petitioner’s Expert Merely Parrots Attorney Argument from the Petition and Places into the Declaration.....37

3. The Inconsistencies Between the Assertions of the Petition and the Prior Art Demonstrate that Petitioner Failed to Provide Sufficient Notice to the Patent Owner of the Grounds for Unpatentability.....40

C. Ground 3: Persons of Ordinary Skill Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Goodman, Hannula, and Asada under Ground 3.41

D. Ground 4: Persons of Ordinary Skill Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Goodman and Asada under Ground 4.....44

E. Ground 5: Persons of Ordinary Skill Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Goodman and Delonzor. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

VI. Conclusion..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	S. LeBoeuf, et al., Earbud-Based Sensor for the Assessment of Energy Expenditure, HR, and VO2max, OFFICIAL J. AM. C. SPORTS M., 2014, 1046–1052
2002	Biometrics Lab: Performance of Leading Optical Heart Rate Monitors During Interval Exercise Conditions
2003	Valencell website (http://valencell.com/customers/)
2004	CTA - It Is Innovation (i3) Magazine 2016 Innovation-Entrepreneur Awards

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.