

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 08/460,711	Applicant(s) HARVEY ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	Art Unit 2467	

All Participants:

- (1) MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.
(2) Carl L. Benson (Reg. No. 38,378).

Status of Application: Ex Parte Quayle

- (3) _____
(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 December 2009

Time: 10:00am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 2, 6, 57, 59, and 61 were discussed.

Prior art documents discussed:

Yanagimachi et al. (U.S. 3,936,595)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Moore, Jr./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Amendments to independent claims 2, 57, 59, and 61 that would obviate the Yanagimachi et al. reference of record were suggested to Applicant by Examiner. Applicant agreed to incorporate these suggested amendments which are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Upon Applicant complying with the Administrative Requirement, the application may then proceed to allowance..