

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Harvey et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 7,752,649 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0029IP2
Issue Date: July 6, 2010 IPR Control No. IPR2017-00290
Appl. Serial No.: 08/449,097
Filing Date: May 24, 1995
Title: SIGNAL PROCESSING APPARATUS AND METHODS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 7,752,649 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319, 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-
42.123**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8.....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest	2
B. Related Matters.....	2
C. Counsel.....	2
D. Service Information.....	2
E. Payment.....	3
F. Requirements for IPR.....	3
1. Grounds for Standing.....	3
2. Challenge and Relief Requested.....	3
II. INTRODUCTION	8
A. Overview of the ‘649 Patent.....	8
Description of the Alleged Invention.....	8
B. Summary of the Prosecution History	9
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art as of the Critical Date.....	10
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	10
A. “digital television signals” (claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 26, 27, 28, 29, 39, 41, 42, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51, 67, 78, 82, 83, 84, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, and 94).....	11
B. “digital video signals” (claims 62 and 97).....	13
C. “processor” (all Challenged Claims).....	13
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims are Unpatentable	14
E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge.....	14
IV. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘649 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	15
A. Summary of Grounds of Unpatentability.....	15
B. Grounds 1A and 1B: The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Over Campbell in View of the Knowledge of APOSITA	15
3. Claim 1	15
4. Claim 2.....	21
5. Claim 3.....	22
6. Claim 7.....	22
7. Claim 8.....	23
8. Claim 11.....	23

9.	Claim 13	24
10.	Claim 26	25
11.	Claim 27	26
12.	Claim 28	26
13.	Claim 29	27
14.	Claim 39	28
15.	Claim 41	36
16.	Claim 42	37
17.	Claim 45	37
18.	Claim 48	38
19.	Claim 49	39
20.	Claim 50	39
21.	Claim 51	40
22.	Claim 62	40
23.	Claim 63	43
24.	Claim 64	44
25.	Claim 67	44
26.	Claim 78	49
27.	Claim 82	54
28.	Claim 83	56
29.	Claim 84	56
30.	Claim 88	57
31.	Claim 90	57
32.	Claim 91	58
33.	Claim 92	58
34.	Claim 93	59
35.	Claim 94	60
36.	Claim 97	61
C.	Ground 2: In the Alternative, the Challenged Claims are Obvious Based on Campbell in View of Widergren	65
1.	The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Based on Campbell in View of Widergren.....	66
V.	CONCLUSION	67

EXHIBITS

SAMSUNG-1001	Declaration of Stuart Lipoff Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,752,649 (“Dec.”)
SAMSUNG-1002	U.S. Patent No. 7,752,649 to Harvey, et al. (“’649 patent”)
SAMSUNG-1003	U.S. Patent No. 4,694,490 to Harvey, et al (“’490 patent”)
SAMSUNG-1004	U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 8/27/1996 Non-Final Rejection
SAMSUNG-1005	Continuity Data of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 07/096,096
SAMSUNG-1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,752,650 to Harvey, et al. (“’650 patent”)
SAMSUNG-1007	U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 4/2/1998 Non-Final Rejection
SAMSUNG-1008	Plaintiff’s Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, <i>Personalized Media Communications, LLC v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. et al.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-01754-JRG-RSP (E.D. Texas Feb. 8, 2016)
SAMSUNG-1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,536,791 to Campbell, et al. (“Campbell-1B”)
SAMSUNG-1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,302,775 to Widergren, et al (“Widergren”)
SAMSUNG-1011	U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 10/2/1998 Amendment

SAMSUNG-1012 U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635 to Harvey, et al. (“’635 patent”)

SAMSUNG-1013 Plaintiff’s Identification of Claim Terms Requiring Construction, Personalized Media Communications, LLC. v. Apple Inc., C.A. No. 2:15-cv-01366-JRG-RSP (E.D.T.X. Jan. 27, 2016).

SAMSUNG-1014 Notice of Institution in Apple, Inc. v. Personalized Media Communications, Inc., IPR2016-00753

SAMSUNG-1015 U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 1/27/1997 Applicant Arguments and Remarks Made in an Amendment

SAMSUNG-1016 Institution Decision, Amazon.com, Inc. v. Personalized Media Communications, LC, IPR2014-01532 (Paper 8) (Mar. 31, 2015)

SAMSUNG-1017 Plaintiff’s Joint Claim Construction Submission Exhibit A, Personalized Media Communications, LLC. v. Amazon.com, Inc., C.A. No. 1:13-cv-01608-RGA (D. Del. Sept. 23, 2014).

SAMSUNG-1018 Personalized Media Communications LLC v. Zynga, Inc., C.A. No. 2:12-cv-68-JRG-RSP, 2013 WL 4630447 (E.D.T.X. Aug. 28, 2013).

SAMSUNG-1019 U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 4/6/1999 Claims

SAMSUNG-1020 U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,097: 2/1/2010 Index of Claims

SAMSUNG-1021 Intel
P8748H/P8749H/8048AH/8035AHL/8049AH/8039AHL /8050AH/ 8040AHL HMOS Single-Component -Bit Microcontroller Datasheet, Aug. 1989, Section 1, pp. 27-39.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.