

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, L.L.C.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-00288 Patent 7,747,217 B1  
Case IPR2017-00289 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00290 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00291 Patent 7,752,650 B1  
Case IPR2017-00292 Patent 7,856,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00293 Patent 8,675,775 B1  
Case IPR2017-00294 Patent 8,711,885 B1  
Case IPR2017-00295 Patent 8,711,885 B1

---

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, GEORGIANNA  
W. BRADEN, JOHN F. HORVATH, ROBERT L. KINDER, and  
AMANDA F. WIEKER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WIEKER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceeding  
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Case IPR2017-00288 Patent 7,747,217 B1  
Case IPR2017-00289 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00290 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00291 Patent 7,752,650 B1  
Case IPR2017-00292 Patent 7,856,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00293 Patent 8,675,775 B1  
Case IPR2017-00294 Patent 8,711,885 B1  
Case IPR2017-00295 Patent 8,711,885 B1

On February 7, 2017, counsel for Petitioner requested authorization to file (1) a joint motion to terminate, and (2) a request for confidential treatment of settlement papers pursuant to 37 CFR §42.74(c) in IPR2017-00288, IPR2017-00289, IPR2017-00290, IPR2017-00291, IPR2017-00292, IPR2017-00293, IPR2017-00294, and IPR2017-00295.

A joint motion for termination should (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patent at issue in this proceeding; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the USPTO; and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding. The joint motion for termination must be accompanied by a true copy of the settlement agreement between the parties, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). A redacted version will not be accepted as a true copy of the settlement agreement.

Therefore, it is:

ORDERED that the parties are authorized to file in each proceeding a joint motion to terminate the proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.20; and

ORDERED that the parties are authorized to file in each proceeding a request for confidential treatment of settlement papers pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.74(c).

Case IPR2017-00288 Patent 7,747,217 B1  
Case IPR2017-00289 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00290 Patent 7,752,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00291 Patent 7,752,650 B1  
Case IPR2017-00292 Patent 7,856,649 B1  
Case IPR2017-00293 Patent 8,675,775 B1  
Case IPR2017-00294 Patent 8,711,885 B1  
Case IPR2017-00295 Patent 8,711,885 B1

PETITIONER:

Walter Renner  
[Axf-ptab@fr.com](mailto:Axf-ptab@fr.com)

Thomas Rozylowicz  
[tar@fr.com](mailto:tar@fr.com)

Andrew Patrick  
[patrick@fr.com](mailto:patrick@fr.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Dmitry Kheyfits  
[dkheyfits@kheyfits.com](mailto:dkheyfits@kheyfits.com)

Andrey Belenky  
[abelenky@kheyfits.com](mailto:abelenky@kheyfits.com)

Thomas Scott, Jr.  
[tscott@goodwinprocter.com](mailto:tscott@goodwinprocter.com)