

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION, GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC.,
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY, LTD.,
Petitioners,

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,
Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2017-00280¹
Patent No. RE40,264 E

PETITIONERS' UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

¹ Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd. was joined as a party to this proceeding via a Motion for Joinder in IPR2017-01750.

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Petitioner's Exhibits	
Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 (“264 patent”)
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 5,605,600 (“Muller”)
Ex. 1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,151,871 (“Matsumura”)
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,226,056 (“Kikuchi”)
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,063,710 (“Kadomura”)
Ex. 1006	Declaration of Dr. John Bravman in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. RE40,264
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent Application No. 08/567,224 (“224 application”)
Ex. 1008	Wright, D.R. et al., <i>A Closed Loop Temperature Control System for a Low-Temperature Etch Chuck</i> , <i>Advanced Techniques for Integrated Processing II</i> , Vol. 1803 (1992), pp. 321–329 (“Wright”)
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,711,849 (“849 patent”)
Ex. 1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,331,485 (“Gat”)
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,393,374 (“Sato”)
Ex. 1012	PTAB Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2016-00470, Paper 6 (July 1, 2016)
Ex. 1013	PTAB Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2015-01768, Paper 7 (February 24, 2016)
Ex. 1014	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E Fourth Petition, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2015-01768, Paper 1 (August 18, 2015)

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST
(continued)

Ex. 1015	PTAB Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2016-00469, Paper 6 (July 1, 2016)
Ex. 1016	PTAB Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2015-01764, Paper 7 (February 24, 2016)
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,446,824 (“Moslehi ’824”)
Ex. 1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,628,871 (“Shinagawa”)
Ex. 1019	U.S. Patent No. 5,174,856 (“Hwang”)
Ex. 1020	Declaration of Rachel J. Watters regarding Exhibit 1008
Ex. 1021	Declaration of Jared Bobrow in Support of Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 1022	Declaration of Chad Campbell in Support of Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 1023	Reply Declaration of Dr. John Bravman in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 and Reply to Patent Owner’s Response
Ex. 1024	Final Written Decision, <i>Thorley Indus. LLC v. Kolcraft Enter., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00352, Paper 25 (June 1, 2017)
Ex. 1025	Final Written Decision, <i>inContact, Inc. v. Microlog Corp.</i> , IPR2015-00560, Paper 21 (July 28, 2016)
Ex. 1026	Patent Owner Preliminary Response, <i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2016-00470, Paper 5 (April 27, 2016)
Ex. 1027	Final Written Decision, <i>Curt G. Joa, Inc. v. Fameccanica.Data S.p.A.</i> , IPR2016-00906, Paper 79 (October 11, 2017)
Ex. 1028	Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, <i>Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Sols., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (September 23, 2014)

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST
(continued)

Ex. 1029	Intel Petitioners' Demonstratives for Oral Argument
----------	---

Dated: March 5, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

PERKINS COIE LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101
206-359-8000

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
650-802-3237

WHITE & CASE LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3807
202-626-3600

/Jonathan McFarland/
Lead Counsel
Jonathan McFarland, Reg. No. 61,109

Back-up Counsel
Chad Campbell, *Pro Hac Vice*
Tyler Bowen, Reg. No. 60,461
Daniel Keese, Reg. No. 69,315
Jeremy Jason Lang, Reg. No. 73,604
Jared Bobrow, *Pro Hac Vice*
David M. Tennant, Reg. No. 48,362
Nathan Zhang, Reg. No. 71,401

Attorneys for Petitioners

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.